arXiv:1511.05899v1 [math.RT] 18 Nov 2015

Invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone of a linear Coxeter group

Claus Mokler

Abstract

We investigate the faces and the face lattices of arbitrary Coxeter group invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone of a linear Coxeter system as introduced by E. B. Vinberg. Particular examples are given by certain Weyl group invariant convex cones which underlie the theory of normal reductive linear algebraic monoids as developed by M. S. Putcha and L. E. Renner. We determine the faces and the face lattice of the Tits cone and the imaginary cone, generalizing some of the results obtained for linear Coxeter systems with symmetric root bases by M. Dyer and for linear Coxeter systems with free root bases by E. Looijenga, P. Slodowy, and the author.

Introduction

(a) Linear Coxeter systems generalize the geometric representations of Coxeter systems. They have been introduced by E. B. Vinberg in [V 1]. We briefly state their definition and some of the properties given in [V 1].

Let $\mathbf{h}$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-linear space. Any half-line $H \subseteq \mathbf{h}$ determines dually a closed half-space $H^{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$, an open half-space $H^{>0} \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$, and a hyperplane $H^{=0} \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $I := \{1, \ldots, n\}$. A linear Coxeter system consists of a family $(H_i, L_i)_{i \in I}$ of half-lines H_i, L_i in $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{h}^*$ with $L_i \setminus \{0\} \subseteq H_i^{>0}$, $i \in I$, such that the following holds: The closed fundamental chamber, which is the convex cone

$$\overline{C} := \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i^{\geq 0},$$

spans $\mathbf{h}^*$, and the panels $\overline{C} \cap H_i^{=0}$, $i \in I$, are its pairwise different 1-codimensional faces. The linear Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ is the group generated by the reflections σ_i at the hyperplanes $H_i^{=0}$ along the lines $L_i \cup -L_i$, $i \in I$. If we set $C := \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i^{>0}$, which coincides with the interior of $\overline{C}$, then

$$\sigma C \cap C = \emptyset \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Some of the properties of linear Coxeter systems are the following: $(\mathcal{W}, (\sigma_i)_{i \in I})$ is a Coxeter system and $\overline{C}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathcal{W}$ on the convex cone obtained by

$$X := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}} \sigma \overline{C},$$

which is is called the Tits cone. A set $J \subseteq I$ is called facial if there exists an element $\lambda \in \overline{C}$ such that its stabilizer $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda)$ is the standard parabolic subgroup $\mathcal{W}_J$ of $\mathcal{W}$ associated to J. There is a partition

$$\overline{C} = \dot{\bigcup_{J \, facial}} F_J \quad \text{where} \quad F_J := \{\lambda \in \overline{C} \mid \mathrm{stab}_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}_J\}.$$

It coincides with the partition given by the relative interiors of the faces of $\overline{C}$, which are $\overline{F}_J$, J facial.

If we choose for every $i \in I$ elements $h_i \in H_i$, $\alpha_i \in L_i$ such that $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$ we get a root base

$$h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \mathbf{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{h}^* \tag{1}$$

over $\mathbb{R}$ such that $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are positively independent and

$$A := (\alpha_j(h_i))_{i,j\in I} \tag{2}$$

is a generalized Cartan matrix. The term 'generalized Cartan matrix' is used here in a more general way as in Lie theory, also certain noninteger entries are possible. It is important to note that for every $i \in I$ the pair h_i, α_i is only determined up to a positive real number. The generalized Cartan matrix A is only determined up to conjugation with a diagonal matrix of positive real numbers. Nevertheless, it contains much information. In particular, the relations of the Coxter generators $(\sigma_i)_{i\in I}$ can be computed from A. Conversely, a root base of this sort gives a linear Coxeter system.

It is also important to note that the definition of a linear Coxeter system is not symmetric if the roles of $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}^*$ are reversed, which can be also read off from the corresponding root bases. The linear Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts dually on $\mathbf{h}$ and we get a convex cone by

$$X^\vee := \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}} \sigma\overline{C}^\vee \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{C}^\vee := \bigcap_{i\in I} L_i^{\geq 0},$$

but to interpret $X^\vee$ as a Tits cone requires, in general, to consider the linear span of $X^\vee$ and a subsystem of $(L_i, H_i)_{i\in I}$.

The imaginary cone, which generalizes the cone of imaginary roots in Lie theory, has been introduced by M. Dyer in [D]. It is the $\mathcal{W}$-invariant convex subcone of $-X^\vee$ obtained by

$$Z := \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}} \sigma K \quad \text{with} \quad K := \sum_{i\in I} H_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee).$$

(b) In this article we investigate the faces and the face lattices of arbitrary $\mathcal{W}$-invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone X, which contain the zero. One of the reasons for these investigations is that the results can be used to construct and describe the analogues of normal reductive linear algebraic monoids whose unit groups are Kac-Moody groups. We explain this briefly:

Let M be a normal reductive linear algebraic monoid. Its unit group G is a reductive linear algebraic group. We choose a maximal torus T of G. Its cocharacter group $X_*(T)$ and its character group $X^*(T)$ are dual free abelian groups of finite rank on which the Weyl group $\mathcal{W} = N_G(T)/T$ acts faithfully. We choose in addition a Borel subgroup B of G which contains T. This determines related sets of simple coroots $\Pi^\vee \subseteq X_*(T)$, simple roots $\Pi \subseteq X^*(T)$, and simple reflections $S \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. If we now number the elements of $\Pi^\vee$ resp. Π we get a root base

$$h_1, \ldots, h_n \in X_*(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in X^*(T) \tag{3}$$

over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$A := (\alpha_j(h_i))_{i,j\in I} \tag{4}$$

is an integer generalized Cartan matrix, whose components are of finite type. Taking $\mathbb{R}$-linear hulls $X_*(T)_\mathbb{R}$ and $X^*(T)_\mathbb{R}$ we get a free root base over $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent. The Tits cone X coincides with the linear space $X^*(T)_\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\overline{T}$ be the Zariski closure of T in M. The monoid of characters $X^*(\overline{T})$ of $\overline{T}$ identifies with a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant subset of $X^*(T)$. It generates a rational $\mathcal{W}$-invariant polyhedral cone

$$X^*(\overline{T})_{\mathbb{R}_0^+} \subseteq X^*(T)_\mathbb{R} = X \tag{5}$$

whose interior is nonempty.

The tuple M, G, B, T is determined by and may be constructed up to suitably defined isomorphy from this root base over $\mathbb{Z}$ and this subcone of the Tits cone; see the classifications of L. E. Renner in [R 1] resp. Section 5.1 of [R 3], or of E. B. Vinberg in [V 2], or Section 6.2 of [Br, Ku].

To obtain the analogues of normal reductive linear algebraic monoids we will carry out in a subsequent article such a construction starting with certain $\mathbb{Z}$-root bases with integer generalized Cartan

matrices and certain subcones of the Tits cone X. This has been done for certain free $\mathbb{Z}$-root bases with integer generalized Cartan matrices and the Tits cone itself in [M 2].

(c) Now we list some of the results of this article and give some remarks to their proofs. For a root base as in (1), (2) the space of linear relations in $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ is defined as

$$L_{\mathbf{h}} := \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}^I \middle| \, r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_n h_n = 0 \right\},$$

which is a linear subspace of the kernel of A^T. Since $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are only determined up to positive real numbers only the sign vectors of the elements of $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ are relevant. For $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ we set

$$I_+(r) := \{ i \in I | \, r_i > 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad I_-(r) := \{ i \in I | \, r_i < 0 \}.$$

We show in Subsection 3.2 that a set $J \subseteq I$ is facial if and only if for all $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ the inclusion $I_+(r) \subseteq J$ implies the inclusion $I_-(r) \subseteq J$. This criteria is advantageous for the following reasons:

- The sign vectors of the elements of $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ can be obtained quickly from a hyperplane arrangement associated to $L_{\mathbf{h}}$. As a consequence the facial sets can be easily computed. For small examples even by hand.
- The properties of the generalized Cartan matrices imply properties of the sign vectors for the elements of the kernel of the the matrix A^T. General theoretical properties of the facial sets can be obtained as consequences.

In the Subsections 3.4 and 3.5 we determine the faces of the Tits cone X as well as of the imaginary cone Z and describe the lattice operations of their face lattices. In particular, cross sections of the $\mathcal{W}$-orbits of nonempty faces of X resp. Z can be parametrized as

$$R(\Theta) \text{ resp. } F(\Theta), \quad \Theta \text{ special facial}, \tag{6}$$

where $R\Theta) \subseteq X$ and $F(\Theta) \subseteq Z$ are certain dual faces and a special facial set is a facial set which is either empty or has only connected components of nonfinite type. For linear Coxeter systems with free root bases and integral generalized Cartan matrices the results on the Tits cone have been obtained in [L], [S], [M 1], [M 3]. For linear Coxeter systems with symmetric root bases, i.e., $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ as well as $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ positively independent and A symmetric the results on the Tits cone and imaginary cone have been obtained in [D] as part of the analysis of the imaginary cone.

We proceed here as follows: We first treat the faces and the face lattice of the Tits cone because most proofs in [L], [S], [M 1], [M 3] work with only minor chances also in the general case. Then we transfer by duality as many results as possible to the faces and the face lattice of the imaginary cone. The faces (6) are found and described quickly. The difficult part is to show that up to $\mathcal{W}$-conjugacy these are all faces of X resp. Z. This is done in a common way, motivated by results obtained for general invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone in Section 4.

Every root base can be obtained as a subquotient of a free root base. The methods developed in Section 1 and 2 allow to push and pull results on the facial sets, the faces of the Tits cone, and the faces of the imaginary cone from free root bases to general root bases. This requires some amount of notation and computations. We use this method sparingly, for a central result on the facial sets and a central result on the faces of the imaginary cone.

Let Y be a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant convex subcone of the Tits cone X, which contains the zero. We denote by $Fa(Y)$ its face lattice of nonempty faces. Important data to describe a normal reductive linear algebraic monoid are the cross section lattice and the type maps, also encoded in the Renner monoid, see [P 1], [P, R], [R 2], and Chapter 7 of [R 3]. These depend on the root base (3), (4) and the rational $\mathcal{W}$-invariant polyhedral cone (5) and can be used for the description of the faces and the face lattice of this cone. Similarly, we set

$$\Upsilon := \left\{ R \in Fa(Y) \middle| \, \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap \overline{C} \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

where $\mathrm{ri}(R)$ denotes the relative interior of R. For $R \in \Upsilon$ the sets

$$\upsilon_*(R) := \{ i \in I | \, R - R \subseteq H_i \} \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R) := \{ i \in I | \, L_i \subseteq R - R \}$$

are separated, i.e., the corresponding subdiagrams of the Coxeter graph are separated, and we show that $\upsilon_*(R)$ is facial. We set $\upsilon(R) := \upsilon_*(R) \cup \upsilon^*(R)$.

Many examples can be obtained from the theory of reductive linear algebraic monoids. For the Tits cone X it is $\Upsilon = \{R(\Theta) \mid \Theta \text{ special facial}\}$ and if Θ is special facial then

$$\upsilon_*(R(\Theta)) = \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R(\Theta)) = \Theta^\perp,$$

where $\Theta^\perp$ denotes the biggest subset of I separated from Θ. Because $X^\vee$ can be interpreted as the Tits cone of a linear Coxeter system also the imaginary cone $Z \subseteq -X^\vee$ can be included as an example. To write down $\upsilon_*(F(\Theta))$ and $\upsilon^*(F(\Theta))$, Θ special facial, is more complicated than for X.

In Section 4 we show that $R \in \Upsilon$ can be described as

$$R = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}).$$

Its pointwise stabilizer resp. stabilizer as a whole are $Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)}$ resp. $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}$. Its linear hull is

$$R - R = (R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}) - (R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}).$$

Its relative interior $\mathrm{ri}(R)$ can be computed from $\mathrm{ri}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)})$ by

$$\mathrm{ri}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)} \dot{\bigcup}_{J_f \subseteq \upsilon^*(R),\, (J_f)^0 = J_f} \underbrace{\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\mathrm{ri}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}))}_{\subseteq F_{\upsilon_*(R) \cup J_f}},$$

where $(J_f)^0$ denotes the union of the components of finite type of J_f and mid_{J_f} is a certain projector.

We show that Υ is a cross section for the $\mathcal{W}$-orbits of $Fa(Y)$ and a complete sublattice of $Fa(Y)$. Every chain in $Fa(Y)$ is $\mathcal{W}$-conjugated to a uniquely determined chain in Υ. The order theoretic operations of $Fa(Y)$ can be reduced to that of Υ. In particular, if R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ and σ_1, $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ then $\sigma_1 R_1 \subseteq \sigma_2 R_2$ if and only if

$$R_1 \subseteq R_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_2)}.$$

It follows that the map $\upsilon : \Upsilon \to \mathcal{P}(I)$, where $\mathcal{P}(I)$ denotes the powerset of I, is a regular type map as considered in the theory of monoids on groups with BN-pairs by M. S. Putcha, see for example [P 2], [Au, P]. A generalized Renner monoid as defined by E. Godelle in [G] is naturally associated.

For R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ we equip the interval

$$[R_1, R_2] := \{\, R \in Fa(Y) |\, R_1 \subseteq R \subseteq R_2\}$$

with the action of the factor group of its stabilizer as a whole by its pointwise stabilizer. We obtain a description as the face lattice of a convex invariant cone of the Tits cone of a certain linear Coxeter system.

If the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ is finitely generated we obtain a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant decomposition

$$Fa(Y) = \dot{\bigcup}_{\Theta\, special\, facial} Fa(Y)_\Theta,$$

such that the parts $Fa(Y)_\Theta$, some of it may be empty, have the following properties:

- If R_1, $R_2 \in Fa(Y)_\Theta$ such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ then every maximal chain between R_1 and R_2 is contained in $Fa(Y)_\Theta$ and has the length $\dim(R_2) - \dim(R_1) + 1$.
- If R_1, $R_2 \in Fa(Y)_\Theta \cap \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ then

$$\upsilon^*(R_1) \dot{\cup} \upsilon_*(R_2) = \bigcap_{\substack{R \in \Upsilon \\ R_1 \subseteq R \subseteq R_2}} \upsilon(R)\,.$$

The imaginary cone $Z \subseteq \mathbf{h}$ is paired with the Tits cone $X \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$. Similarly, there exists an imaginary cone $Z^\vee \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$ paired with $X^\vee \subseteq \mathbf{h}$. In Lie theory this is the convex cone generated by the imaginary roots. We show that if $\upsilon^*(Y) = I$ and $Y \cap \overline{C}$ is closed then $-Z^\vee \subseteq Y$.

Contents

1 A collection of some results related to convex geometry **5**

2 Realizations of matrices and their morphisms **10**

3 Linear Coxeter groups **13**
3.1 Linear Coxeter systems and some results from the literature 13
3.2 The faces of the fundamental chamber 19
3.3 An example for the computation of the facial sets 29
3.4 The faces of the Tits cone 31
3.5 The faces of the imaginary cone 35

4 Invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone **44**
4.1 A single face 44
4.2 Inclusion of faces 48
4.3 The cross section lattice 49
4.4 The relation to some structures from the literature 51
4.5 The lattice operations of the face lattice 52
4.6 The values of υ_* and υ^* on the smallest and the biggest face 53
4.7 The dimension function 54
4.8 Intervals 55
4.9 Chains in intervals 58
4.10 The dual imaginary cone as subcone of an invariant convex subcone 62

1 A collection of some results related to convex geometry

In this section we give some easy Lemmas and Propositions related to convex geometry which will be used later. For the basic definitions and theorems of convex geometry we refer to [Ro] and [Brø].

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathbb{Q}^+$, $\mathbb{R}^+$ the sets of strictly positive numbers of $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and the sets $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_0^+$, $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$, $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ contain, in addition, the zero. If M is a subset of a real linear space V we denote by $co(M)$ the convex hull of M, and by $cc(M)$ the convex cone with zero generated by M. If K is a convex subset of a finite dimensional real linear space V we denote by $\mathrm{ri}(K)$ its relative interior.

The following three lemmas will be key to determine the set of faces of certain convex cones. Let V be a real linear space. Let $\mathcal{C}(V)$ be the set of convex cones of V ordered partially by inclusion. It is a complete lattice, the lattice meet given by the intersection of cones, and the lattice join given by the sum of cones. If U_1, U_2 are linear subspaces of V such that $U_1 \subseteq U_2$, then

$$\{\, K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + U_1 \subseteq K \subseteq U_2 \}$$

is a closed sublattice of $\mathcal{C}(V)$, i.e., it is closed under nonempty intersections and nonempty sums. If $K \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ contains the zero then the condition $K + U_1 \subseteq K$ is equivalent to $U_1 \subseteq K$.

Lemma 1.1 *Let V, W be real linear spaces and let $\phi : V \to W$ be a linear map. Then the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi : \{\, K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + ker(\phi) \subseteq K \} & \to & \{\, L \in \mathcal{C}(W) |\, L \subseteq im(\phi) \,\} \\ K & \mapsto & \phi(K) \end{array}$$

is a lattice isomorphism. The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi^{-1} : \{\, L \in \mathcal{C}(W) |\, L \subseteq im(\phi) \,\} & \to & \{\, K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + ker(\phi) \subseteq K \} \\ L & \mapsto & \phi^{-1}(L) \end{array}$$

is the inverse lattice isomorphism.

If a convex cone is contained in $\{\, K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + ker(\phi) \subseteq K \}$ then its faces and its linear hull are also contained in this set. A similar statement holds for $\{\, L \in \mathcal{C}(W) |\, L \subseteq im(\phi) \,\}$. The maps ϕ, ϕ^{-1}

preserve the properties 'is a face of' and 'is an exposed face of'. They preserve the property 'is the linear hull of'.

Let V and W be finite dimensional. If a convex cone is contained in $\{ K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + ker(\phi) \subseteq K \}$ then its relative interior and its closure are also contained in this set. A similar statement holds for $\{ L \in \mathcal{C}(W) |\, L \subseteq im(\phi) \}$. The maps ϕ, ϕ^{-1} preserve the property 'is the relative interior of' and 'is the closure of'.

Proof: The isomorphisms ϕ, ϕ^{-1} are obtained by concatenating of the isomorphisms

$$\{ K \in \mathcal{C}(V) |\, K + \ker(\phi) \subseteq K \} \cong \mathcal{C}(V/\ker(\phi)) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{im}(\phi)) \cong \{ L \in \mathcal{C}(W) |\, L \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\phi) \}\,.$$

Most of the remaining statements of the Lemma are trivial to check. We only show the following:

Let $K \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ such that $K + \ker(\phi) \subseteq K$ and let F be a face of K. For every $f \in F$ and $k \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$ the line segnent between $f - k \in K$ and $f + k \in K$ contains f. Since F is a face we get $f \pm k \in F$. Hence $F + \ker(\phi) \subseteq F$. Now $\phi(F)$ is a convex cone and $\phi(K \setminus F) + \phi(K) = \phi((K \setminus F) + K) \subseteq \phi(K \setminus F)$. Assume that $k \in K \setminus F$ and $\phi(k) \in \phi(F)$. Then $k \in F + \ker(\phi) \subseteq F$, which is not possible. Therefore, $\phi(K \setminus F) \subseteq \phi(K) \setminus \phi(F)$, which shows that $\phi(F)$ is a face of $\phi(K)$.

The statements about the relative interior and the closure depend only on the linear hulls of the cones, which are preserved by ϕ, ϕ^{-1}. It is sufficient to show these statements for a surjective linear map ϕ and generating cones.

Let $\phi : V \to W$ be a surjective linear map between finite dimensional linear spaces. Then ϕ is open and continuous, which is equivalent to $\phi^{-1}(L^\circ) = \phi^{-1}(L)^\circ$ for all $L \subseteq W$, which in turn is equivalent to $\phi^{-1}(\overline{L}) = \overline{\phi^{-1}(L)}$ for all $L \subseteq W$. Now let $K \subseteq V$ such that $K + \ker(\phi) \subseteq K$. Then $K = \phi^{-1}(\phi(K))$. We find $K^\circ = \phi^{-1}(\phi(K)^\circ)$ and $\overline{K} = \phi^{-1}(\overline{\phi(K)})$. Since ϕ is surjective we get $\phi(K^\circ) = \phi(K)^\circ$ and $\phi(\overline{K}) = \overline{\phi(K)}$. Furthermore, $K + \ker(\phi) \subseteq K$ is equivalent $K + v \subseteq K$ for all $v \in \ker(\phi)$. It follows that $K^\circ + v \subseteq K^\circ$ and $\overline{K} + v \subseteq \overline{K}$ for all $v \in \ker(\phi)$. □

Let K be a convex cone with zero in the real linear space V. We denote by $Fa(K)$ the set of nonempty faces of K, odered partially by inclusion. It is a complete lattice, the lattice meet given by the intersection of faces.

If V is finite dimensional then we get from Theorem 6.2 and 18.2 of [Ro] that K is the disjoint union of the relative interiors $\mathrm{ri}(F)$, $F \in Fa(K)$, which are all nonempty. We will use this fact and its consequences often without further mentioning.

Lemma 1.2 *Let V be a real linear space. Let K be a convex cone with zero in V and let U be a linear subspace of V. Then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} i : Fa(K + U) & \to & Fa(K) \\ G & \mapsto & G \cap K \end{array}$$

is an inclusion and intersection preserving injective map. It maps exposed faces to exposed faces.

Its image is given by

$$im(i) = \{ F \in Fa(K) \mid U \cap (K - F) \subseteq F - F \}\,. \tag{7}$$

The inverse map on this image is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} i^{-1} : im(i) & \to & Fa(K + U) \\ F & \mapsto & F + U. \end{array}$$

Proof: Trivially, i is a well defined inclusion and intersection preserving map, which maps exposed faces to exposed faces.

(a) We first describe the set on the right in (7) differently. We show that for $F \in Fa(K)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $U \cap (K - F) \subseteq F - F$.

(ii) $(F+U)\cap K = F$.

The direction '$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$': It is sufficient to show $(F+U)\cap K \subseteq F$. Let $k \in (F+U)\cap K$. Write k in the form $k = f+u$ with $f \in F$ and $u \in U$. Then

$$U \ni u = k - f \in K - F,$$

and by (i) we find $u = k - f \in F - F$. It follows that

$$K \ni k = f + u \in f + (F - F) \subseteq F - F.$$

Since F is a face of K we get $k \in K \cap (F - F) = F$.

The direction '$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$': Let $u \in U \cap (K - F)$. Write u in the form $u = k - f$ with $k \in K$ and $f \in F$. Then

$$F + U \ni f + u = k \in K$$

and by (ii) we find $f + u = k \in F$. Hence $u = k - f \in F - F$.

(b) Let $G \in Fa(K+U)$. We show that $G \cap K \in Fa(K)$ is contained in the set on the right in (7), i.e., we show that

$$((G\cap K)+U)\cap K = G\cap K.$$

Trivially, the inclusion '$\supseteq$' holds. Since the smallest face of $K+U$ is contained in G we find

$$U \subseteq (K+U)\cap(-(K+U)) \subseteq G. \tag{8}$$

It follows that $G + U \subseteq G$ and

$$((G\cap K)+U)\cap K \subseteq G\cap K.$$

(c) Let $F \in Fa(K)$ such that $F = (F+U)\cap K$. We show that $F+U \in Fa(K)$. The sum of convex cones $F+U$ is a convex cone. Let $v \in (K+U)\setminus(F+U)$ and $v' \in K+U$. Then v, v' can be written in the form $v = k+u$ and $v' = k+u'$ with $k \in K\setminus F$, $k' \in K$, u, $u' \in U$. Suppose that $v+v' \in F+U$. Then there exist $f \in F$, $u'' \in U$ such that

$$v + v' = k + k' + u + u' = f + u''.$$

Since F is a face of K it follows that

$$K \setminus F \ni k + k' = f + (u'' - u - u') \in F + U,$$

which contradicts $F = (F+U)\cap K$.

(d) Let $F \in Fa(K)$ such that $F = (F+U)\cap K$. Then

$$i(i^{-1}(F)) = i(F+U) = (F+U)\cap K = F.$$

Let $G \in Fa(K+U)$. With (8) we get

$$i^{-1}(i(G)) = i^{-1}(G\cap K) = (G\cap K) + U \subseteq G.$$

To show the reverse inclusion write $v \in G$ in the form $v = k+u$ with $k \in K$ and $u \in U$. Then

$$K \ni k = v - u \in G - U = G + U \subseteq G$$

which shows that $v \in (G\cap K)+U$. □

Lemma 1.3 *Let V be a finite dimensional real linear space. Let K be a convex cone with zero in V and let U be a linear subspace of V. Then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p:\, Fa(K) & \to & Fa(K\cap U) \\ F & \mapsto & F\cap U \end{array}$$

is an inclusion and intersection preserving surjective map. It maps exposed faces to exposed faces.

Let $H\in Fa(K\cap U)$. The fiber $p^{-1}(H)$ contains a smallest element $i(H)\in Fa(K)$, which is also the smallest face of K which contains H. The map

$$i:\, Fa(K\cap U) \quad \to \quad Fa(K)$$

is an inclusion preserving injective map with image

$$im(i)=\{\, F\in Fa(K)|\, ri(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset\,\},$$

and we have $p\circ i=id$.

Remark 1.4 *Since $p: Fa(K)\to Fa(K\cap U)$ and $i: Fa(K\cap U)\to Fa(K)$ are inclusion preserving maps with $p\circ i=id$ the lattice operations in $Fa(K\cap U)$ and in $Fa(K)$ are related. For all G, $H\in Fa(K\cap U)$ we have:*

(a) $H\subseteq G$ if and only if $i(H)\subseteq i(G)$.

(b) $H\cap G=p(\,i(H)\cap i(G)\,)$ and $H\vee G=p(\,i(H)\vee i(G)\,)$.

Proof: Trivially, p is a well defined inclusion and intersection preserving map, which maps exposed faces to exposed faces. It is

$$K\cap U=(\dot{\bigcup_{F\in Fa(K)}}\mathrm{ri}(F)\,)\cap U=\dot{\bigcup_{F\in Fa(K),\, ri(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset}}\mathrm{ri}(F)\cap U=\dot{\bigcup_{F\in Fa(K),\, ri(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset}}\mathrm{ri}(F\cap U),$$

where we used Theorem 6.5 of [Ro] and $\mathrm{ri}(U)=U$. It follows that

$$Fa(K\cap U)=\{\, F\cap U|\, F\in Fa(K),\, \mathrm{ri}(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset\,\}.$$

Let $F\in Fa(K)$ such that $\mathrm{ri}(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset$. Then $\mathrm{ri}(F)\cap ri(F\cap U)=\mathrm{ri}(F)\cap U\neq\emptyset$, which shows that F is the smallest face of K which contains $F\cap U$. Trivially, F is also the smallest element in the fiber $p^{-1}(F\cap U)$. □

A family $(v_l)_{l\in L}$ of elements of a real linear space V is called positively independent if for all $(r_l)_{l\in L}\in\mathbb{R}_0^+$ with $r_l\neq 0$ for at most finitely many $l\in L$ the equation

$$\sum_{l\in L} r_l l=0$$

implies $r_l=0$ for all $l\in L$ It is easy to show:

Proposition 1.5 *Let V be a real linear space. For a family $(v_l)_{l\in L}$ of elements of V the following are equivalent:*

(i) $(v_l)_{l\in L}$ is positively independent.

(ii) $v_l\neq 0$ for all $l\in L$ and $\{0\}$ is a face of $cc\,(v_l\mid l\in L)$.

Positively independence is often required for the simple roots and the simple coroots in the definition of root data systems. A possibility to strengthen the notion of positively independence for infinite families is to take (ii) of Proposition 1.5 as definition but to replace 'face' by some stronger condition. For example the simple roots and simple coroots of the root data in Section 5.1 of [Mo, Pi] satisfy (ii) with 'face' replaced by condition (c) of the following proposition. The simple roots of the root data in Section 1.1 of [C, R] satisfy (ii) wuth 'face' replaced by condition (b) of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6 *Let V be a real linear space and let K be a convex cone with zero in V. Consider the following statements:*

(a) $\{0\}$ is a face of K.

(b) $\{0\}$ is an exposed face of K.

(c) There exists a base $(b_j)_{j\in J}$ of $K-K$ such that $K \subseteq \sum_{j\in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ b_j$.

Then we have the following implications:

$$(c) \Longrightarrow (b) \Longrightarrow (a).$$

In general, the reverse implications do not hold. If K is finitely generated, then the statements (a), (b), and (c) are equivalent.

Proof: It only remains to show the relations between (b) and (c). If $K = \{0\}$ then (b) and (c) hold, where for (c) we use the empty base and the definition that a sum over the empty set is zero. We assume $K \neq \{0\}$ in the remaining proof.

Suppose that (c) holds. Define $\phi \in V^*$ by $\phi(b_i) := 1$ for all $i \in I$. Then

$$K \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ b_i \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ b_i \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+,$$

which shows (b).

The convex cone

$$K := \left\{ (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \middle| \, r_2 > 0 \right\} \cup \{(0,0)\}$$

satisfies (b) but not (c).

Let K be finitely generated, i.e., $K = \mathrm{cc}\,(k_1, \ldots, k_m)$. Suppose that (b) holds. Then there exists $\phi \in V^*$ such that

$$K \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \{\, v \in V | \, \phi(v) > 0\}\,. \tag{9}$$

Because of $K \neq \{0\}$ we may assume $\phi(k_1) = \cdots = \phi(k_m) = 1$. We may assume $V = K - K$. We choose a base $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of $\{\, v \in V | \, \phi(v) = 0\}$ and an element $a_0 \in V$ such that $\phi(a_0) = 1$. We define

$$T := \mathrm{co}(-\xi, a_1 - \xi, \ldots, a_n - \xi) \quad \text{with} \quad \xi := \frac{1}{n+1}(a_1 + \cdots + a_n),$$

which is an n-dimensional simplex in the n-dimensional space $\{\, v \in V | \, \phi(v) = 0\}$. It is

$$0 = -\frac{1}{n+1}\xi + \frac{1}{n+1}(a_1 - \xi) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n+1}(a_n - \xi),$$

which is in the interior of T in $\{\, v \in V | \, \phi(v) = 0\}$. Therefore, there exists an element $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r}(k_i - a_0) \in T \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

It is trivial to check that

$$b_0 := a_0 - r\xi,\ b_1 := a_0 + r(a_1 - \xi),\ \ldots,\ b_n := a_0 + r(a_n - \xi)$$

is a base of V such that $K \subseteq \sum_{j=0}^m \mathbb{R}_0^+ b_j$. □

In the literature there are different equivalent variants of the definition of linear Coxeter systems. The following proposition can be used show how they are related before the theory of linear Coxeter systems is developed.

Proposition 1.7 *Let V be a finite dimensional linear space and $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in V$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) The polyhedral cone

$$\overline{C} = \{\, \lambda \in V^* |\, \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$$

spans V^* and

$$\overline{C} \cap \{\, \lambda \in V^* |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

are its pairwise different 1-codimensional faces.

(ii) The convex cone $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ has $\{0\}$ as a face and $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, are its pairwise different 1-dimensional faces.

(iii) $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n$ are positively independent and

$$h_i \notin cc\,(h_j \mid j \in \{1, \ldots, n\},\, j \neq i) \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Proof: The cones $\overline{C}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ are dual. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition I.2 of [N].

'$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$': We have $h_i \neq 0$ because $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ is one-dimensional, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $\{0\}$ is a face of $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_k$ we find from Proposition 1.5 that $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ are positively independent.

Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$h_i = \sum_{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\},\, j \neq i} r_j h_j \quad \text{with} \quad r_j \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ is a one-dimensional face of $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_k$ we find that there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $j \neq i$ such that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i = \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$. But this is not possible because these one-dimensional faces are different.

'$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$': It follows from Proposition 1.5 that $\{0\}$ is a face of $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. From both conditions in (iii) we obtain

$$\mathbb{R} h_i \cap \sum_{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\},\, j \neq i} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j = \{0\}.$$

It is easy to check that this implies that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ is a face of $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$. It also follows that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_1, \ldots, \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_n$ are pairwise different. Since every face of $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ is generated by some of the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_n$, there exist no other one-dimensional faces. □

2 Realizations of matrices and their morphisms

Realizations of matrices are used in Lie theory to construct contragredient Lie algebras, which include Kac-Moody algebras and more generally Borcherds algebras. See for example Chapter 1 and Section 11.13 of [K], Section 4.2 of [Mo, Pi], and Kapitel 3 of [S]. Certain realizations of matrices are also used in Coxeter theory, more commonly called root bases.

E. B. Vinberg classifies in §5 of [V 1] all finite dimensional realizations of a matrix of finite size with entries in $\mathbb{R}$ by a datum called the characteristic of the realization. His proofs work without chance over an arbitrary field. For his classification he introduces isomorphisms, but not morphisms of realizations. In Lie theory morphisms of realizations are introduced, see for example Kapitel 3 of [S], but the characteristics of realizations are not used. Often only free realizations are considered.

In this section we combine both concepts. We describe how the kernels and images of certain morphisms are related to the characteristics of the corresponding realizations. The definition of a

morphism which we use here is more restrictive than that in Lie theory. Permutations of indices are not worked in.

For the whole section $A = (a_{ij})_{i,\,j=1,\ldots,n}$ is an $n \times n$-matrix with entries in a field $\mathbb{F}$ of arbitrary characteristic and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The elements of $\mathbb{F}^n$ are always column vectors. For $r \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we denote by r_1, $\ldots, r_n$ its components. We set $I := \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

A realization $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of A consists of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-linear space $\mathbf{h}$ and families $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \mathbf{h}$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{h}^*$, such that

$$\alpha_i(h_j) = a_{ji} \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Its characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}},\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*},\, d)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{h}} &:= \{\, r \in \mathbb{F}^n \,|\, r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_n h_n = 0\} \subseteq \ker(A^T), \\ L_{\mathbf{h}^*} &:= \{\, r \in \mathbb{F}^n \,|\, r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n = 0\} \subseteq \ker(A), \\ d &:= \dim(\mathbf{h}) - \dim(\operatorname{span}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)) - \dim(\operatorname{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n)) + \operatorname{rk}(A) \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

The linear spaces $L_{\mathbf{h}}$, $L_{\mathbf{h}^*}$ are called the spaces of linear relations, d is called the defect.

Let $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\mathbf{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ be realizations of A. A linear map $\phi : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{h}'$ is called a morphism if

$$\phi(h_i) = h'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^*(\alpha'_i) = \alpha_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

For the corresponding characteristics it holds

$$L_{\mathbf{h}} \subseteq L_{\mathbf{h}'}, \quad L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \supseteq L_{\mathbf{h}'^*}.$$

A morphism of realizations $\phi : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{h}'$ is an isomorphism if and only if the map $\phi : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{h}'$ is bijective. In this case the corresponding characteristics coincide, i.e,

$$L_{\mathbf{h}} = L_{\mathbf{h}'}, \quad L_{\mathbf{h}^*} = L_{\mathbf{h}'^*} \quad d = d'.$$

The following theorem has been given as Proposition 15 in [V 1].

Theorem 2.1 *Let $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ be a subspace of $ker(A^T)$, let $L_{\mathbf{h}^*}$ be a subspace of $ker(A)$, and let $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exists a realization $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of A, unique up to isomorphism, having $(L_{\mathbf{h}},\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*},\, d)$ as its characteristic. Furthermore,*

$$dim(\boldsymbol{h}) = rk(A) + d_{\mathbf{h}} + d_{\mathbf{h}^*} + d,$$

where $d_{\mathbf{h}}$ is the codimension of $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ in $ker(A^T)$, and $d_{\mathbf{h}^*}$ is the codimension of $L_{\mathbf{h}^*}$ in $ker(A)$.

A realization $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of A is called minimal if $d = 0$. A realization $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of A is called free if $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \mathbf{h}$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{h}^*$ are linearly independent. Equivalently, $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \{0\}$ and $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} = \{0\}$.

If $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ is a realization of A, and U is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we set

$$[U]_{\mathbf{h}} := \{r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_n h_n \,|r \in U\} \quad \text{and} \quad [U]_{\mathbf{h}^*} := \{r_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n \alpha_n \,|r \in U\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a realization of A with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}},\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*},\, d)$ and let $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ be a realization of A with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}'},\, L_{\mathbf{h}'^*},\, d')$.*

(a) Suppose that $L_{\mathbf{h}} \subseteq L_{\mathbf{h}'}$ and $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} = L_{\mathbf{h}'^*}$ and $d = d'$. Then there exists a surjective morphism of realizations $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ with $ker(\phi) = [\,L_{\mathbf{h}'}\,]_{\mathbf{h}}$. The map $\phi^* : \boldsymbol{h}'^* \to \boldsymbol{h}^*$ is injective and $im(\phi^*) = ([\,L_{\mathbf{h}'}\,]_{\mathbf{h}})^{\perp}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_{\mathbf{h}'}/L_{\mathbf{h}} & \to & [L_{\mathbf{h}'}\,]_{\mathbf{h}} \\ r + L_{\mathbf{h}} & \mapsto & r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_n h_n \end{array} \tag{10}$$

is a bijective linear map.

(b) Suppose that $L_{\mathbf{h}} = L_{\mathbf{h}'}$ and $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \supseteq L_{\mathbf{h}'^*}$ and $d = d'$. Then there exists an injective morphism of realizations $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ with $im(\phi) = ([\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*})^{\perp}$. The map $\phi^* : \boldsymbol{h}'^* \to \boldsymbol{h}^*$ is surjective and $ker(\phi^*) = [\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_{\mathbf{h}^*}/L_{\mathbf{h}'^*} & \to & [\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*} \\ r + L_{\mathbf{h}'^*} & \mapsto & r_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n\alpha_n \end{array} \tag{11}$$

is a bijective linear map.

Proof: To (a): By Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to find a realization $(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}, (\widetilde{h}_i)_{i\in I}, (\widetilde{\alpha}_i)_{i\in I})$ isomorphic to $(\mathbf{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ with the corresponding properties of (a). To make the proof easier to read we set $U := L_{\mathbf{h}'}$ and $[U] := [L_{\mathbf{h}'}]_{\mathbf{h}}$. We define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}} := \mathbf{h}/[U] \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{h}_i := h_i + [U],\ i \in I.$$

We may identify $(\mathbf{h}/[U])^*$ with $[U]^{\perp}$. Let $i \in I$. Because of $U \subseteq \ker(A^T)$ we find

$$\alpha_i(r_1h_1 + \cdots + r_nh_n) = r_1a_{1i} + \cdots + r_na_{ni} = 0$$

for all $r \in U$. This shows that $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in [U]^{\perp}$. We define

$$(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}})^* := [U]^{\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_i := \alpha_i,\ i \in I.$$

In this way we get a realization of A because of

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_i(\widetilde{h}_j) = \alpha_i(h_j + [U]) = \alpha_i(h_j) = a_{ji} \text{ for all } i, j \in I.$$

The canonical projection $\phi : \mathbf{h} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{h}/[U]$ is a surjective linear map with kernel $\ker(\phi) = [U] = [L_{\mathbf{h}'}]_{\mathbf{h}}$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{im}(\phi^*) = \ker(\phi)^{\perp}$. It is trivial to check that (10) is a bijective linear map. The canonical projection is also a morphism of realizations: Let $i \in I$. By definition we have $\phi(h_i) = \widetilde{h}_i$. Furthermore,

$$\phi^*(\widetilde{\alpha}_i)(h) = \widetilde{\alpha}_i(\phi(h)) = \alpha_i(h + [U]) = \alpha_i(h)$$

for all $h \in \mathbf{h}$. This shows that $\phi^*(\widetilde{\alpha}_i) = \alpha_i$.

It remains to compute the charactersitic of the realization $(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}, (\widetilde{h}_i)_{i\in I}, (\widetilde{\alpha}_i)_{i\in I})$. Trivially, we have $L_{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}^*} = L_{\mathbf{h}^*} = L_{(\mathbf{h}')^*}$. If we use $L_{\mathbf{h}} \subseteq L_{\mathbf{h}'} = U$ we find

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}} & = & \left\{ r \in \mathbb{F}^n \,|\, r_1\widetilde{h}_1 + \cdots + r_n\widetilde{h}_n = 0 \right\} \\ & = & \{ r \in \mathbb{F}^n \,|\, r_1h_1 + \cdots + r_nh_n \in [U] \} = U + L_{\mathbf{h}} = U = L_{\mathbf{h}'}. \end{array}$$

Consider the surjective linear map

$$\gamma : \mathbf{h} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}/\mathrm{span}(\widetilde{h}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{h}_n)$$

obtained by concatenation of the canonical projections, i.e., $\gamma(h) := \phi(h) + \mathrm{span}(\widetilde{h}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{h}_n)$, $h \in \mathbf{h}$. It is $h \in \ker(\gamma)$ if and only if

$$\phi(h) \in \mathrm{span}(\widetilde{h}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{h}_n) = \phi(\mathrm{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n))$$

if and only if

$$h \in \mathrm{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n) + [U] = \mathrm{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n).$$

This shows that we have isomorphic linear spaces

$$\mathbf{h}/\mathrm{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n) \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}/\mathrm{span}(\widetilde{h}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{h}_n).$$

Now we compute the defect:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{d} &= \dim(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}/\mathrm{span}(\widetilde{h}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{h}_n)) - \dim(\mathrm{span}(\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\alpha}_n)) + \mathrm{rk}(A) \\ &= \dim(\mathbf{h}/\mathrm{span}(h_1, \ldots, h_n)) - \dim(\mathrm{span}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)) + \mathrm{rk}(A) = d = d'.\end{aligned}$$

To (b): We may interprete $(\mathbf{h}^*, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I}), (h_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\mathbf{h}'^*, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I}), (h'_i)_{i\in I})$ as realization of A^T with characteristics $(L_{\mathbf{h}^*}, L_{\mathbf{h}},\ d)$ and $(L_{\mathbf{h}'^*}, L_{\mathbf{h}'},\ d')$ and apply part (a). □

In Kapitel 3.1 of [S] it is stated that an arbitrary realization is the subquotient of a free realization. We can now combine (a) and (b) of the last theorem to describe an arbitrary realization explicitly as subspace of of a quotient space of a free realization or as quotient space of a subspace of a free realization. We only formulate the first possibility.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a realization of A with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}}, L_{\mathbf{h}^*}, d)$. Then there exist a realization $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ of A with characteristic*

$$(L_{\mathbf{h}'}, L_{\mathbf{h}'^*}, d') = (L_{\mathbf{h}}, \{0\}, d),$$

a free realization $(\boldsymbol{h}'', (h''_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha''_i)_{i\in I})$ of A with defect d, i.e.,

$$(L_{\mathbf{h}''}, L_{\mathbf{h}''^*}, d'') = (\{0\}, \{0\}, d),$$

and morphisms of realizations



such that the following holds:

(a) $\phi : \boldsymbol{h}'' \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ is surjective with $ker(\phi) = [\,L_{\mathbf{h}}\,]_{\mathbf{h}''}$. The map $\phi^* : \boldsymbol{h}'^* \to \boldsymbol{h}''^*$ is injective with $im(\phi^*) = ([\,L_{\mathbf{h}}\,]_{\mathbf{h}''})^{\perp}$. Furthermore, the linear space $[\,L_{\mathbf{h}}\,]_{\mathbf{h}''}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\mathbf{h}}$.

(b) $\psi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ is injective with $im(\psi) = ([\,L_{\mathbf{h}^*}\,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*})^{\perp}$. The map $\psi^* : \boldsymbol{h}'^* \to \boldsymbol{h}^*$ is surjective with $ker(\psi^*) = [\,L_{\mathbf{h}^*}\,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*}$. Furthermore, the linear space $[\,L_{\mathbf{h}^*}\,]_{\mathbf{h}'^*}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\mathbf{h}^*}$.

3 Linear Coxeter groups

3.1 Linear Coxeter systems and some results from the literature

In this subsection we collect some results on linear Coxeter systems from the literature. Some of the results can be found only for special linear Coxeter systems but are easily adapted to the general situation.

Let $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{h}^*$ be finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-linear spaces. If H is a half-line in $\mathbf{h}$ it determines a closed half space in $\mathbf{h}^*$ by

$$H^{\geq 0} := \{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* |\, \lambda(h) \geq 0 \text{ for all } h \in H\}\,.$$

The corresponding open half space $H^{>0}$ and the boundary hyperplane $H^{=0}$ can be described analogous. Similarly, a half-line L in $\mathbf{h}^*$ determines a closed half space $L^{\geq 0}$, a open half space $L^{>0}$, and the boundary hyperplane $L^{=0}$ in $\mathbf{h}$.

Let H is a half-line in $\mathbf{h}$ and L is a half-line in $\mathbf{h}^*$ such that $L \setminus \{0\} \subseteq H^{>0}$. Then we get the reflection of $\mathbf{h}^*$ at the hyperplane $H^{=0}$ along the line $L \cup -L$ by

$$\sigma|_{H^{=0}} := id_{H^{=0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma|_{L\cup -L} := -id_{L\cup -L}.$$

To describe it by a formula we choose $h \in \mathbf{h}$ and $\alpha \in L$ such that $\alpha(h) = 2$. Then

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(h)\alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^*.$$

It is $L \setminus \{0\} \subseteq H^{>0}$ equivalent to $H \setminus \{0\} \subseteq L^{>0}$. In the same way we get a reflection on $\mathbf{h}$. It is dual to the reflection on $\mathbf{h}^*$ and we denote it by the same symbol.

The definition of a linear Coxeter system is due to E. B. Vinberg, compare Definition 2 in [V 1]. We only consider linear Coxeter systems of finite rank and put the structure into the dual of a finite dimensional linear space because it will be used in this form in subsequent articles. Also it does not matter because the double dual of a finite dimensional linear space identifies with the linear space itself. If Coxeter systems of infinite rank in infinite dimensional linear spaces are considered it would be more natural to put the structure into the linear space itself.

Definition 3.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{h}$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-linear space. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. A linear Coxeter system consists of a family $(\boldsymbol{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ where H_i, L_i are half-lines in $\boldsymbol{h}$, $\boldsymbol{h}^*$ with $L_i \setminus \{0\} \subseteq H_i^{>0}$, $i \in I$, such that the following properties hold:*

(a) The polyhedral cone $\overline{C} := \bigcap_{i\in I} H_i^{\geq 0}$ spans $\boldsymbol{h}^*$. Its pairwise different 1-codimensional faces are $\overline{C} \cap H_i^{=0}$, $i \in I$.

(b) Let $C := \bigcap_{i\in I} H_i^{>0}$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be the group generated by the reflections σ_i on $\boldsymbol{h}^*$ associated to H_i and L_i, $i \in I$. Then

$$\sigma C \cap C = \emptyset \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{1\}. \tag{12}$$

$\overline{C}$ is called the (closed) fundamental chamber, C the open fundamental chamber. (Note also that C is the interior of $\overline{C}$, and $\overline{C}$ is the closure of C.) The group $\mathcal{W}$ is called a linear Coxeter group. The reflections σ_i, $i \in I$, are called the simple reflections.

In addition, we introduce morphisms:

Definition 3.2 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (H_i', L_i')_{i\in I})$ be linear Coxeter systems. A linear map $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ is called a morphism if for all $i \in I$ we have*

$$\phi(H_i) = H_i' \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^*(L_i') = L_i.$$

In the following let $(\mathbf{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ be a linear Coxeter system. Its Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts dually on $\mathbf{h}$. We define the set of reduced coroots as

$$\Phi_r^\vee := \{\sigma H_i \,|\, \sigma \in \mathcal{W},\, i \in I\}.$$

We define the sets of positive and negative reduced coroots as

$$(\Phi_r^\vee)^+ := \left\{ H \in \Phi_r^\vee \,|\, \overline{C} \subseteq H^{\geq 0} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Phi_r^\vee)^- := \left\{ H \in \Phi_r^\vee \,|\, \overline{C} \subseteq -H^{\geq 0} \right\}$$

and call H_i, $i \in I$, the simple positive reduced coroots.

Lemma 3.3 *It is $\Phi_r^\vee = (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \dot\cup (\Phi_r^\vee)^-$.*

Proof: Let $H \in \Phi_r^\vee$. It is $C \cap H^{=0} = \emptyset$ because the $\mathcal{W}$-stabilizer of every element of C is trivial by (12) and the $\mathcal{W}$-stabilizer of every element of $H^{=0}$ is nontrivial. Since C is convex it has to be contained either in $H^{\geq 0}$ or in $-H^{\geq 0}$. Therefore, also its closure $\overline{C}$ is contained either in $H^{\geq 0}$ or in $-H^{\geq 0}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 *Let $i \in I$. Then $\sigma_i\left((\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \setminus \{H_i\}\right) = (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \setminus \{H_i\}$.*

Proof: Since σ_i is involutive it is sufficient to show the inclusion '$\subseteq$'. Suppose there exists a coroot $H \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \setminus \{H_i\}$ such that $\sigma_i H = H_i$. Then $H = \sigma_i H_i = -H_i \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^-$, which is not possible by Lemma 3.3. Suppose there exists a coroot $H \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \setminus \{H_i\}$ such that $\sigma_i H \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^-$. By definition, $\overline{C} \subseteq H^{\geq 0}$ and $\overline{C} \subseteq -(\sigma_i H)^{\geq 0} = -\sigma_i H^{\geq 0}$. We find

$$\overline{C} \cap H_i^{=0} \subseteq \overline{C} \cap \sigma_i \overline{C} \subseteq H^{\geq 0} \cap (-H^{\geq 0}) = H^{=0}.$$

Therefore, the hyperplane $H^{=0}$ contains the linear hull of the 1-codimensional face $\overline{C} \cap H_i^{=0}$ of $\overline{C}$, which is $H_i^{=0}$. Hence $H^{=0} = H_i^{=0}$. From $\overline{C} \subseteq H^{\geq 0}, H_i^{\geq 0}$ it follows that $H^{\geq 0} = H_i^{\geq 0}$. We get $H = H_i$, which is not possible. □

The following theorem has been given as part 6) of Theorem 2 in [V 1].

Theorem 3.5 *$(\mathcal{W}, (\sigma_i)_{i \in I})$ is a Coxeter system.*

A standard result in the context of (co)roots, which can be proved with Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 by an easy induction, is the following:

Corollary 3.6 *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and $i \in I$. Then we have:*

(a) If $l(\sigma\sigma_i) > l(\sigma)$ then $\sigma H_i \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^+$.

(b) If $l(\sigma\sigma_i) < l(\sigma)$ then $\sigma H_i \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^-$.

We define the set of reflections of the linear Coxeter system as $T := \left\{ \sigma\sigma_i\sigma^{-1} \middle| \, \sigma \in \mathcal{W}, i \in I \right\}$. The Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts by conjugation on T. The next proposition, which is also a standard result in the context (co)roots, follows easily from the Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

Proposition 3.7 *We obtain a surjective $\mathcal{W}$-equivariant map by:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_r^\vee & \to & T \\ \sigma H_i & \mapsto & \sigma\sigma_i\sigma^{-1} \end{array}$$

The fiber of $\sigma\sigma_i\sigma^{-1}$ consists of $\pm\sigma H_i$. In particular, the map restricts to a bijective map on $(\Phi_r^\vee)^+$.

The next theorem, except the fundamental domain property and formula (13), has been given as part 1) and 5) of Theorem 2 in [V 1]. The fundamental domain property follows immediately from the definition of the universal space and Proposition 8 in [V 1]. Formula (13) can be proved in the same way as Proposition 3.12 c) in [K].

Theorem 3.8 *It is*

$$X := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}} \sigma\overline{C}$$

a convex cone, which is called the Tits cone. It can be also described as

$$X = \left\{ \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* \middle| \, \lambda \in H^{\geq 0} \text{ for all up to finitely many } H \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \right\}. \tag{13}$$

The fundamental chamber $\overline{C}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathcal{W}$ on X, i.e., every $\mathcal{W}$-orbit meets $\overline{C}$ in an unique point. For $\lambda \in \overline{C}$ the group

$$stab_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda) := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{W} | \, \sigma\lambda = \lambda \}$$

is generated by the simple reflections it contains, i.e., by $\left\{ \sigma_i \middle| \, \lambda \in H_i^{=0}, i \in I \right\}$.

The following theorem, except formula (14), has been given as part 2), 3), and 4) of Theorem 2 in [V 1]. Formula (14) generalizes Exercise 3.15 of [K]. It is easy to prove if in addition Proposition 2.29 of [A, B] is used.

Theorem 3.9 *The interior X° of X is obtained by*

$$X^\circ = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}} \sigma(X^\circ \cap \overline{C}) \quad \text{with} \quad X^\circ \cap \overline{C} = \left\{ \lambda \in \overline{C} \middle| \, stab_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda) \text{ is finite } \right\}.$$

It can be also described as

$$X^\circ = \left\{ \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* | \, \lambda \in H^{>0} \text{ for all up to finitely many } H \in (\Phi_r^\vee)^+ \right\}. \tag{14}$$

The Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts properly discontinously on X°. The canonical map from $X^\circ \cap \overline{C}$ to the quotient space $X^\circ/\mathcal{W}$ is a homeomorphism.

Definition 3.10 *A matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ with entries in $\mathbb{R}$ is called a generalized Cartan matrix if the following holds:*

(a) For all $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$, the entries a_{ij}, a_{ji} are either both strict negative or both zero.

(b) For all $i \in I$ it is $a_{ii} = 2$. For all $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$, such that $a_{ij}a_{ji} < 4$ we have

$$a_{ij}a_{ji} = 4\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}) \quad \text{for some} \quad m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Note that in (b) the positive integers m_{ij} are uniquely determined. For all $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$, with $a_{ij}a_{ji} \geq 4$ we set $m_{ij} = \infty$. For all $i \in I$ we set $m_{ii} = 1$.

For the classification of the indecomposable generalized Cartan matrices into matrices of finite, affine, or indefinite type we refer to Theorem 3 of [V 1] resp. to Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.3 of [K].

The next theorem has been given as Proposition 6 and Proposition 17 of [V 1].

Theorem 3.11 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ be a linear Coxeter system. For every $i \in I$ choose elements $h_i \in H_i$ and $\alpha_i \in L_i$ such that $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$. Then we have:*

(a) The matrix $A = (\alpha_j(h_i))_{i,j\in I}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix. Its associated matrix $(m_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is the Coxeter matrix of the Coxeter system $(\mathcal{W}, (\sigma_i)_{i\in I})$.

(b) $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ is a realization of a A such that $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$.

It is important to note that for every $i \in I$ the element h_i is only determined up to a factor in $\mathbb{R}^+$. If we have $h_i' = d_i h_i$ and $\alpha_i' = \frac{1}{d_i}\alpha_i$ with $d_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $i \in I$ then the corresponding generalized Cartan matrices are related by

$$A' = DAD^{-1} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n).$$

The classification of the indecomposable generalized Cartan matrices is invariant under such transformations.

Proposition 3.12 *Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ be a morphism of the linear Coxeter systems $(\boldsymbol{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (H_i', L_i')_{i\in I})$. For every $i \in I$ choose an elements $h_i \in H_i$ and $\alpha_i' \in L_i'$ such that $\alpha_i'(\phi(h_i)) = 2$. Then $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\phi^*(\alpha_i'))_{i\in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (\phi(h_i))_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$ are realizations of the same generalized Cartan matrix and $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ is a morphism of realizations.*

In particular, the Coxeter systems $(\mathcal{W}, (\sigma_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\mathcal{W}', (\sigma_i')_{i\in I})$ are isomorphic.

Part (a) of the following theorem has been given as Theorem 5 and formula (24) of [V 1], part (b) is trivial.

Theorem 3.13 *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix.*

(a) Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a realization of A such that $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$. For every $i \in I$ set $H_i := \mathbb{R}h_i$ and $L_i := \mathbb{R}\alpha_i$. Then $(\boldsymbol{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ is a linear Coxeter system.

(b) Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ be realizations of A such that $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$ and $L_{\mathbf{h}'} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$. Then every morphism $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ of realizations is a morphism of the corresponding linear Coxeter systems.

Theorem 3.11, Proposition 3.12, and Theorem 3.13 show that for the investigation of linear Coxeter system and their morphisms we may restrict to realizations of a fixed generalized Cartan matrix and their morphisms. In this context the name 'root base' is more common than the name 'realization':

Definition 3.14 *We call a realization $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of a generalized Cartan matrix A such that $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$ a root base of a linear Coxeter system. We call a morphism of realizations a morphism of root bases.*

We now fix a root base $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of a linear Coxeter system $(\mathbf{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$. The next theorem has been given as Theorem 1.10 in [H, N].

Theorem 3.15 *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$, $i \in I$. Then:*

(a) If $l(\sigma\sigma_i) > l(\sigma)$ then $\sigma h_i \in \sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ and $\sigma\alpha_i \in \sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_j$.

(b) If $l(\sigma\sigma_i) < l(\sigma)$ then $\sigma h_i \in -\sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ and $\sigma\alpha_i \in -\sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_j$.

The following discussion shows that for this article it is favourable to work with the set of reduced coroots instead of the sets of coroots and roots for some root base. Define the set of coroots as

$$\Phi^\vee := \mathcal{W}\{h_i | \, i \in I\}.$$

Define the set of positive and negative coroots as

$$(\Phi^\vee)^\pm := \Phi^\vee \cap \pm \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i.$$

The sets of coroots and reduced coroots are related as follows: We get a $\mathcal{W}$-equivariant surjective map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi^\vee & \to & \Phi_r^\vee \\ h & \mapsto & \mathbb{R}_0^+ h \end{array} \tag{15}$$

which maps $(\Phi^\vee)^\pm$ onto $(\Phi_r^\vee)^\pm$. In particular, it follows that $\Phi^\vee = (\Phi^\vee)^+ \dot\cup (\Phi^\vee)^-$.

Remark 3.16 *The map is bijective for the root bases with integral generalized Cartan matrices obtained from Lie theory as in Chapter 3 of [K], and for the root bases with symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrices which are used in [D]. In general, it is possible that there are infinitely many coroots which map to the same reduced coroot. An example has been given in [F], Section 3, following Definition 3.1.*

The concatenation of the map (15) with the map of Proposition 3.7 can be described as follows. Define the set of roots as

$$\Phi := \mathcal{W}\{\alpha_i | \, i \in I\}.$$

The next proposition follows from Proposition 3.7; see also Remark 2.2 and Definition 2.3 of [H, N].

Proposition 3.17 *There exists uniquely a $\mathcal{W}$-equivariant surjective map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi^\vee & \to & \Phi \\ h & \mapsto & \alpha_h \end{array} \tag{16}$$

which maps h_i to α_i, $i \in I$.

For $h \in \Phi^\vee$ it is $\alpha_h(h) = 2$. We denote by σ_h the reflection at $(\mathbb{R}_0^+ h)^{=0}$ along $\mathbb{R}\alpha_h$. We have

$$\sigma_{\tau h} = \tau\sigma_h\tau^{-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad \tau \in \mathcal{W},\ h \in \Phi^\vee.$$

In particular, $\sigma_h \in \mathcal{W}$ is the image of h of the map obtained by concatenating the map (15) with the map of Proposition 3.7.

Remark 3.18 *The map (16) of Proposition 3.17 does not need to be bijective as the following example shows: Let $\boldsymbol{h}$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-linear space with base h_1, h_2. Let*

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is an affine integral generalized Cartan matrix. Define $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \boldsymbol{h}^*$ by $\alpha_i(h_j) := a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2$. It is $\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1$.

This root base is isomorphic to the root base of the derived Kac-Moody algebra $\mathbf{g}(A)'$, the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathbf{g}(A)$ of A defined as in [K]. Here, $\Phi^\vee$, which maps bijectively to $\Phi_r^\vee$, is given by the infinite set

$$\Phi^\vee = \{\, h_1 + n(h_1 + h_2),\, h_2 + n(h_1 + h_2) |\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\,.$$

But $\Phi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is finite. The map (16) maps $h_i + n(h_1 + h_2)$ to α_i, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a root base of a linear Coxeter system and interpret $\mathbf{h}$ as the dual of $\mathbf{h}^*$. Then $(\mathbf{h}^*, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I}, (h_i)_{i\in I})$ is a root base of a linear Coxeter system if and only if $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$, which may not be satisfied. We set

$$I_0 := \{i \in I \mid \alpha_i \in \sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_j \cap -\sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_j\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_1 := I \setminus I_0. \tag{17}$$

From Proposition 1.5 we find $I_0 = \emptyset$ if and only if $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^n = \{0\}$.

In either case we define

$$\overline{C}^\vee := \{\, h \in \mathbf{h} |\, \alpha_i(h) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad X^\vee := \mathcal{W}\overline{C}^\vee.$$

The following Proposition has been shown in Remark 1.6 of [H, N]:

Proposition 3.19 *$\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee$ is a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant subspace of $\boldsymbol{h}$ on which $\mathcal{W}_{I_0}$ acts trivially. It contains the elements h_i, $i \in I_1$.*

The linear functions α_i, $i \in I_1$, restrict to linear functions on $\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee$, which we denote by the same symbol. We interpret $\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee$ as the dual of $(\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee)^*$. The following Proposition has been shown in Remark 1.6 of [H, N]:

Proposition 3.20 *If $I_1 \neq \emptyset$ then $((\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee)^*, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I_1}, (h_i)_{i\in I_1})$ is a root base of a linear Coxeter system. The action of its Coxeter group coincides with the action of $\mathcal{W}_{I_1}$ on $\overline{C}^\vee - \overline{C}^\vee$. Its fundamental chamber coincides with $\overline{C}^\vee$. Its Tits cone coincides with $X^\vee$.*

The description of I_0 is well-known, see for example the variations in Section 6.1 of [Kr]. It is not difficult to show:

Proposition 3.21 *If $I_0 \neq \emptyset$ then it is a union of affine connected components of I.*

We will also need later:

Proposition 3.22 *We have*

$$\overline{C}^\vee = \{h \in \boldsymbol{h} \mid h - \sigma h \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{W}\}, \tag{18}$$

$$\overline{C} \subseteq \{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* \mid \lambda - \sigma\lambda \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_i \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{W}\}. \tag{19}$$

Remark 3.23 *The inclusion in (19) can be proper, which can be seen by the example of Remark 3.18.*

Proof: The inclusions '$\subseteq$' in (18) and (19) can be proved similarly to Proposition 3.12 d) in [K] if Theorem 3.15 is used. Now let h be contained in the set on the right in (18). Then for every $j \in I$ we have

$$\mathbb{R}h_j \ni \alpha_j(h)h_j = h - \sigma_j h \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i.$$

From Proposition 1.7 it follows that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ is a face of $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ with linear hull $\mathbb{R}h_j$. We conclude that $\alpha_j(h)h_j \in (\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i) \cap \mathbb{R}h_j = \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ resp. $\alpha_j(h) \geq 0$. Hence $h \in \overline{C}^\vee$. □

To state comparisons with results proved in the literature easily we introduce the following notations. A root base $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I})$ for a linear Coxeter system with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}}, L_{\mathbf{h}^*}, d)$ and generalized Cartan matrix A is called

- free if $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \{0\}$ and $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} = \{0\}$,
- minimal if $d = 0$,
- integral if the entries of A are integers,
- symmetric if A is a symmetric matrix and, in addition to $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^I = \{0\}$, also $L_{\mathbf{h}^*} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^I = \{0\}$.

The properties 'free' and 'minimal' are common properties of all root bases, the properties 'integral' and 'symmetric' are not common to all root bases of a linear Coxeter system. We call a linear Coxeter system free resp. minimal resp. integral resp. symmetric if there exists a root base of the linear Coxeter system which is free resp. minimal resp. integral resp. symmetric.

In particular, a based root systems as in Section 1.3 of [D] corresponds to a symmetric root bases with no restrictions on the cardinality of I or the dimension of $\mathbf{h}$. In our notation, it is worked entirely in $\mathbf{h}^*$, on which there is fixed an invariant symmetric bilinear form. The results in [D] on the faces of the imaginary cone and the faces of the Tits cone require that I is finite, $\mathbf{h}^*$ is finite dimensional, and the symmetric bilinear form is nondegenerate, see Section 4.1 of [D].

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix. To keep our notation simple we define that a subset $J \subseteq I$ has a certain property if the corresponding submatrix $A_J := (a_{ij})_{i,j \in J}$ has this property.

For $J \subseteq I$ we denote by J^0 the union of the finite type components of J, by J^{aff} the union of the affine type components of J, and by J^{indef} the union of the indefinite type components of J. (An empty union is defined to be empty.)

For $J \subseteq I$ we denote by J^∞ the union of the nonfinite type components of J, i.e., $J^\infty = J^{aff} \cup J^{indef}$. As in the article [L] we call J special if $J^\infty = J$.

We call $j, k \in I$ adjacent if $a_{jk} \neq 0$. More generally, we call $J, K \subseteq I$ adjacent if there exist $j \in J$ and $k \in K$ such that $a_{jk} \neq 0$. We call $J, K \subseteq I$ separated if they are not adjacent. For $J \subseteq I$ we set

$$J^\perp := \{\, i \in I \,|\, a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J \,\}.$$

3.2 The faces of the fundamental chamber

The fundamental chamber of a linear Coxeter system with finite index set can be partitioned

- according to the stabilizers of the linear Coxeter group,
- by the relative interiors of the faces of the fundamental chamber.

Both partitions coincide and are described by the facial sets. Key results in this subsection are Corollary 3.31, Corollary 3.32 and its interpretation by a hyperplane arrangement in Remark 3.33, which allow to compute the facial sets of linear Coxeter systems. An example is given in the next subsection.

Many theoretical results on the facial sets follow in combination with the Lemmas 3.34 and 3.35, which describe properties of the elements of the kernels of generalized Cartan matrices.

Let $(\mathbf{h},\, (H_i,\, L_i)_{i\in I})$ be a linear Coxeter system and $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ a root base of the linear Coxeter system. The fundamental chamber

$$\overline{C} := \bigcap_{i\in I} H_i^{\geq 0} = \{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

is the cone dual to the finitely generated convex cone

$$\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$$

for which the properties of Proposition 1.7 (ii) hold. We call a set $J \subseteq I$ facial if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists a face F of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ such that $J = \{\, i \in I |\, h_i \in F\}$.

(ii) $\sum_{j\in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ is a face of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$.

It is easy to show the following Proposition. For part (c) use also Proposition 1.7.

Proposition 3.24 *(a) An arbitrary intersection of facial sets is facial. In particular, the set I is facial.*

(b) If $L \subseteq I$ then there exists a smallest facial set L^{fc} which contains L. It is given by

$$L^{fc} = \bigcap_{J\, facial,\, J\supseteq L} J.$$

We call L^{fc} the facial closure of L.

(c) Ordered partially by inclusion, $\{\, J \subseteq I |\, J \text{ facial } \}$ is a lattice with lattice meet given by the intersection and lattice join given by

$$J_1 \vee J_2 = (J_1 \cup J_2)^{fc}, \quad J_1,\, J_2 \text{ facial.}$$

The set $\emptyset$ is the smallest facial set, the sets $\{i\}$, $i \in I$, are the atoms, the set I is the biggest facial set.

We will reduce the description of the facial sets via morphisms to the following special case.

Proposition 3.25 *The following are equivalent:*

(i) Every subset of I is facial.

(ii) $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \{0\}$, i.e., $h_1,\, h_2,\, \ldots,\, h_n$ are linearly independent.

Proof: It is easy to check '$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$' directly; we only show '$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$': Let $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ and set $I_+ := \{\, i \in I |\, r_i > 0\}$ and $I_- := \{\, i \in I |\, r_i < 0\}$, which are facial sets by (i). $\sum_{i\in I_+} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ and $\sum_{i\in I_-} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ are faces such that

$$\mathrm{ri}(\sum_{i\in I_+} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i) \ni \sum_{i\in I_+} r_i h_i \;=\; \sum_{i\in I_-} (-r_i) h_i \in \mathrm{ri}(\sum_{i\in I_-} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i).$$

We conclude that $\sum_{i\in I_+} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i = \sum_{i\in I_-} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. Because I_+, I_- are facial we get $I_+ = I_-$. This is only possible for $I_+ = I_- = \emptyset$ resp. $r = 0$. □

For $J \subseteq I$ we set

$$\overline{F}_J \;:=\; \{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for } i \in J,\, \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in I \setminus J\}\,, \tag{20}$$

$$F_J \;:=\; \{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for } i \in J,\, \lambda(h_i) > 0 \text{ for } i \in I \setminus J\}\,. \tag{21}$$

The lattice of facial sets is isomorphic to the face lattice of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$, which is antiisomorphic to the face lattice of the dual cone $\overline{C}$ by Proposition I.2 of [N]. This shows:

Proposition 3.26 *The map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\, J \subseteq I |\, J \text{ facial } \} & \to & Fa(\overline{C}) \\ J & \mapsto & \overline{F}_J \end{array}$$

is a bijective, inclusion reversing map.

The relative interiors and linear hulls of the faces of $\overline{C}$ as well as the $\mathcal{W}$-stabilizers of the points of $\overline{C}$ can be described by the facial sets as follows.

Proposition 3.27 *If $J \subseteq I$ is facial then*

$$\overline{F}_J = \dot{\bigcup_{K \supseteq J,\, K \, facial}} F_K, \tag{22}$$

and F_J is the relative interior of $\overline{F}_J$. In particular, $F_J \neq \emptyset$. The linear hull of $\overline{F}_J$ is given by

$$\overline{F}_J - \overline{F}_J = \{\, \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J \,\}. \tag{23}$$

For every $\lambda \in F_J$ it is

$$stab_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}_J. \tag{24}$$

Proof: From Proposition 3.26 we find that the faces of $\overline{F}_J$ are given by $\overline{F}_K$, K facial, $K \supseteq J$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{ri}(\overline{F}_J) = \overline{F}_J \setminus \bigcup_{K \supsetneq J,\, K \, facial} \overline{F}_K.$$

Trivially, we get $F_J \subseteq \mathrm{ri}(\overline{F}_J)$. If $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(\overline{F}_J) \subseteq \overline{F}_J$ then $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap (\mathbb{R}\lambda)^\perp$ is a face of $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ with corresponding facial set $\{\, i \in I |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0\} \supseteq J$. Since λ is not contained in a proper face of $\overline{F}_J$ we conclude that $\{\, i \in I |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0\} = J$. Hence $\lambda \in F_J$.

The relative interiors of the faces of $\overline{F}_J$ give a partition of $\overline{F}_J$, which is equation (22). The inclusion '$\subseteq$' in (23) is trivial. To show the reverse inclusion we choose an element $\lambda_0 \in F_J$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^*$ such that $\lambda(h_j) = 0$ for all $j \in J$. Then for some sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$(\lambda + r\lambda_0)(h_i) = \lambda(h_i) + r \underbrace{\lambda_0(h_i)}_{>0} > 0$$

for all $i \in I \setminus J$. It follows that

$$\lambda = (\lambda + r\lambda_0) - r\lambda_0 \in F_J - \mathbb{R}_0^+ \lambda_0 \subseteq \overline{F}_J - \overline{F}_J.$$

Formula (24) is obtained from the description of the stabilizer in Theorem 3.8. □

Recall that F_J and $\overline{F}_J$ have been defined in (20) and (21) for arbitrary subsets $J \subseteq I$, which is sometimes useful. With Proposition 3.27 it is easy to show:

Proposition 3.28 *Let $J \subseteq I$.*

(a) We have $F_J \neq \emptyset$ if and only if J is facial.

(b) $\overline{F}_J = \overline{F}_{J^{fc}}$.

Morphisms of linear Coxeter systems relate the corresponding fundamental chambers resp. their dual cones as follows:

Proposition 3.29 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h_i')_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i \in I})$ be root bases of linear Coxeter systems. Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{h} \to \boldsymbol{h}'$ be a morphism of root bases.*

(a) We have $\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' \subseteq im(\phi)$ *and*

$$\phi^{-1}(\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i') = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i + ker(\phi). \tag{25}$$

(b) We have $ker(\phi^*) \subseteq \overline{C}' \cap (-\overline{C}')$ *and*

$$\phi^*(\overline{C'}) = \overline{C} \cap im(\phi^*). \tag{26}$$

(c) The cones (25) and (26) are dual.

Proof: Part (a) follows from

$$\phi(\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i) = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ \phi(h_i) = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i'.$$

Part (b) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi^*)^{-1}(0) \subseteq (\phi^*)^{-1}(\overline{C}) &= \left\{ \lambda' \in (\mathbf{h}')^* \middle|\, \phi^*(\lambda') \in \overline{C} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda' \in (\mathbf{h}')^* \middle|\, 0 \le \phi^*(\lambda')(h_i) = \lambda'(\phi^*(h_i)) = \lambda'(h_i') \text{ for all } i \in I \right\} = \overline{C'}.\end{aligned}$$

The closed convex cones $\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i'$ and $\overline{C'}$ are dual. The orthogonl linear spaces $\ker(\phi)$ and $\mathrm{im}(\phi^*)$ are also dual closed convex cones. Now (c) follows with Proposition I.1.6 in [H, H, L]. □

The descriptions (25) and (26) allow to apply the Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3. The next theorem shows how morphisms of linear Coxeter systems relate the corresponding facial sets.

Theorem 3.30 *Let* $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ *and* $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$ *be root bases for linear Coxeter systems. Let* $\phi : \boldsymbol{h}' \to \boldsymbol{h}$ *be a morphism of root bases. For* $J \subseteq I$ *the following are equivalent:*

(i) J *is facial for* $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$.

(ii) J *is facial for* $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$ *and*

$$ker(\phi) \cap \Big(\sum_{j\in J}\mathbb{R}h_i' + \sum_{i\in I\setminus J}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' \Big) \subseteq \sum_{j\in J}\mathbb{R}h_j'. \tag{27}$$

In this case we have

$$\phi^*(F_J) = F_J' \cap im(\phi^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^*(\overline{F}_J) = \overline{F'}_J \cap im(\phi^*). \tag{28}$$

Proof: It is easy to check (28); we only show the equivalence of (i) and (ii). By Lemma 1.1 and 1.2 we get inclusion preserving bijections

$$Fa(\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i) \to Fa(\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' + \ker(\phi)) \to \{\, F \in Fa(\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i') \mid \ker(\phi) \cap (\sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' - F) \subseteq F - F \,\}$$

where the maps from the left to the right are given by

$$G \mapsto \phi^{-1}(G) \mapsto \phi^{-1}(G) \cap \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i'.$$

Furthermore, it is

$$\{\, i \in I \mid h_i' \in \phi^{-1}(G) \cap \sum_{j\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j' \,\} = \{\, i \in I \mid \phi(h_i') \in G\} = \{\, i \in I \mid h_i \in G\}\,.$$

This shows that

$$\{\, i \in I |\, h_i \in G\} \text{ is facial for } (\mathbf{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I}) \tag{29}$$

and

$$\phi^{-1}(G) \cap \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' = \sum_{i\in I,\, h_i\in G} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i', \tag{30}$$

and

$$\ker(\phi) \cap (\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' - \sum_{i\in I,\, h_i\in G} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i') \subseteq \sum_{i\in I,\, h_i\in G} \mathbb{R} h_i'. \tag{31}$$

Suppose that (i) holds. Then $G := \sum_{j\in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$ is a face of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ such that $J = \{\, i \in I |\, h_i \in G\}$. From (29) and (31) we get (ii).

Suppose that (ii) holds. Then there exits a uniquely determined face G of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ such that

$$\phi^{-1}(G) \cap \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' = \sum_{i\in I,\, h_i\in G} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i' = \sum_{i\in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i'.$$

Here J as well as $\{\, i \in I |\, h_i \in G\}$ are facial for $(\mathbf{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$, see (29). We find

$$J = \{\, i \in I |\, h_i \in G\},$$

which shows that J is facial for $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$. □

We know the facials sets for the particular case described in Proposition 3.28. We now use the last theorem to obtain a criterion which allows to find the facial sets in the general case. Let e_1, e_2, …, e_n be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $J \subseteq I$ we set

$$\mathbb{R}^J := \bigoplus_{j\in J} \mathbb{R} e_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^J := \bigoplus_{j\in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ e_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Corollary 3.31 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a root base of a linear Coxeter system with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}},\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*},\, d)$. For $J \subseteq I$ the following are equivalent:*

(i) J is facial.

(ii) $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap \left(\mathbb{R}^J + (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^{I\setminus J}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^J$

Proof: From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 (a) it follows that there exist a root base $(\mathbf{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$ of a linear Coxeter system with characteristic

$$(L_{\mathbf{h}'},\, L_{\mathbf{h}'^*},\, d') = (\{0\},\, L_{\mathbf{h}^*},\, d)$$

and a surjective morphism $\phi : \mathbf{h}' \to \mathbf{h}$ of root bases with

$$\ker(\phi) = [L_{\mathbf{h}}]_{\mathbf{h}'} = \{\, r_1 h_1' + \cdots + r_n h_n' \,|\, r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}\,\}. \tag{32}$$

The elements h_1', …, h_n' are linearly independent because of $L_{\mathbf{h}'} = \{0\}$. It follows from Proposition 3.28 that every subset of I is facial for $(\mathbf{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$. From Theorem 3.30 we get: J is facial for $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ if and only if

$$\ker(\phi) \cap (\sum_{j\in J} \mathbb{R} h_i' + \sum_{i\in I\setminus J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i') \subseteq \sum_{j\in J} \mathbb{R} h_j',$$

where for $\ker(\phi)$ the expression on the right in (32) has to be inserted. Since $h'_1, \ldots, h'_n$ are linearly independent this is equivalent to (ii). □

It is useful to formulate the criterion of the last corollary differently, which can be motivated as follows: The elements $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ of a root base $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of a linear Coxeter system are only determined up to positive real numbers. Therefore, only the sign vectors of the elements of

$$L_{\mathbf{h}} = \{\, r \in \mathbb{R}^n |\, r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_n h_n = 0 \,\},$$

are relevant for the linear Coxeter system.

For $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set

$$I_+(r) := \{\, i \in I |\, r_i > 0 \,\}, \quad I_0(r) := \{\, i \in I |\, r_i = 0 \,\}, \quad I_-(r) := \{\, i \in I |\, r_i < 0 \,\}.$$

Trivially, we have

$$I_\pm(-r) = I_\mp(r) \quad \text{and} \quad I_0(-r) = I_0(r). \tag{33}$$

Corollary 3.32 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ be a root base of a linear Coxeter system with characteristic $(L_{\mathbf{h}}, L_{\mathbf{h}^*}, d)$. For $J \subseteq I$ the following are equivalent:*

(i) J is facial.

(ii) For every element $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ either $I_+(r), I_-(r) \subseteq J$ or $I_+(r), I_-(r) \not\subseteq J$.

(iii) For all $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ the following holds: If $I_+(r) \subseteq J$ then also $I_-(r) \subseteq J$.

(iv) For all $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ the following holds: If $I_-(r) \subseteq J$ then also $I_+(r) \subseteq J$.

Proof: By Corollary 3.31 the set J is facial if and only if

$$\{\, r \in L_{\mathbf{h}} |\, I_-(r) \subseteq J \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^J,$$

which is equivalent to (iv). Now $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ is a linear space. With (33) it follows that (iv) is equivalent to (iii). From (iii) and (iv) we get (ii). Trivially, from (ii) we get (iii). □

Remark 3.33 *We can interprete the corollary in a more geometric way: Let $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ be k-dimensional and*

$$b_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} \\ \vdots \\ b_{1n} \end{pmatrix}, \; b_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} \\ \vdots \\ b_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \; \cdots, \; b_k = \begin{pmatrix} b_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{kn} \end{pmatrix}$$

a base of $L_{\mathbf{h}}$. Every $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ can be written in the form

$$r = s_1 b_1 + s_2 b_2 + \cdots s_k b_k = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 b_{11} + s_2 b_{21} + \cdots + s_k b_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ s_1 b_{1n} + s_2 b_{2n} + \cdots + s_k b_{kn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \textit{with} \quad s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Only the signs $+$, 0, $-$ of the components of r are relevant for the corollary. We get a hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^k$ by the equations

$$\begin{gathered} s_1 b_{11} + s_2 b_{21} + \cdots + s_k b_{k1} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ s_1 b_{1n} + s_2 b_{2n} + \cdots + s_k b_{kn} = 0. \end{gathered}$$

The sign-vectors of the elements of $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ correspond bijectively to the facets of the hyperplane arrangement and may be indicated as labels on the facets.

In the situation of Corollary 3.32 we have $L_{\mathbf{h}} \subseteq \ker(A^T)$ where A is the generalized Cartan matrix of the root base $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ of the linear Coxeter system. If $r \in \ker(A^T)$ then

$$\sum_{i\in I_+(r)} \underbrace{r_i}_{>0} a_{ij} = \sum_{i\in I_-(r)} \underbrace{(-r_i)}_{>0} a_{ij} \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in I. \tag{34}$$

We now use the classification of the generalized Cartan matrices, see Theorem 3 of [V 1], or Theorem 4.3 of [K] to draw some conclusions for $I_+(r)$ and $I_-(r)$ from these equations.

Lemma 3.34 *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix and $r \in ker(A^T) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $I_+(r)$ and $I_-(r)$ are nonempty special sets. For every $j \in I \setminus (I_+(r) \cup I_-(r))$ we have*

$$j \text{ is adjacent to } I_+(r) \iff j \text{ is adjacent to } I_-(r).$$

Proof: It is $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^+)^I = \{0\}$ as well as $L_{\mathbf{h}} \cap (\mathbb{R}_0^-)^I = \{0\}$. It follows that that $I_-(r)$ and $I_+(r)$ are nonempty.

Let J be a connected component of $I_+(r)$. From (34) we get

$$\sum_{i\in J} \underbrace{(-r_i)}_{<0} a_{ij} = \sum_{i\in I_-(r)} \underbrace{r_i}_{<0} \underbrace{a_{ij}}_{\leq 0} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in J.$$

Suppose that J is of finite type. Then from Theorem 4.3 (Fin) of [K] we find $(-r_i) \geq 0$ for all $i \in J$ which is not possible. Therefore, $I_+(r)$ is special. Similarly, $I_-(r)$ is special.

For $j \in I \setminus (I_+(r) \cup I_-(r))$ we get

$$\sum_{i\in I_+(r)} \underbrace{r_i}_{>0} \underbrace{a_{ij}}_{\leq 0} = \sum_{i\in I_-(r)} \underbrace{-r_i}_{>0} \underbrace{a_{ij}}_{\leq 0}$$

from (34), from which the remaining part of (b) follows. □

Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix and $r \in \ker(A^T) \setminus \{0\}$. The following observation is useful to describe how the connected components of $I_+(r)$ and $I_-(r)$ are related: Every connected component J of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ brakes up into

$$J_+ := J \cap I_+(r) \quad \text{and} \quad J_- := J \cap I_-(r).$$

Trivially, J_+ resp. J_- is a union of connected components of $I_+(r)$ resp. $I_-(r)$, possibly empty. Trivially, for every connected component K of $I_+(r)$ resp. $I_-(r)$ there exists a uniquely determined connected component J of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ such that K is contained in J_+ resp. J_-.

Lemma 3.35 *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix and $r \in ker(A^T) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ is a nonempty special set and we have:*

(a) If J is an affine connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ then either

$$J \subseteq I_+(r) \cap I_-(r)^\perp$$

and J is even a connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r) \cup I_-(r)^\perp$ or

$$J \subseteq I_-(r) \cap I_+(r)^\perp$$

and J is even a connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r) \cup I_+(r)^\perp$.

(b) If J is an indefinite connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ then

$$J_+ \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad J_- \neq \emptyset.$$

There are only indefinite connected components of J_+ and J_-. Every connected component of J_+ is adjacent to a component of J_-, every connected component of J_- is adjacent to a component of J_+.

Proof: From Lemma 3.34 it follows that $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ is a nonempty special set.

To (a): Suppose that $\emptyset \neq J_+ \neq J$. Then J_+ is a union of connected components of $I_+(r)$, which are of finite type because J is affine. This is not possible because $I_+(r)$ is special by Lemma 3.34. Therefore, either $J_+ = J$ or $J_+ = \emptyset$.

We may assume $J = J_+ \subseteq I_+(r)$. Since J is a connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ we get $J \subseteq I_-(r)^\perp$.

Let K be the connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r) \cup I_-(r)^\perp$ which contains J, and suppose that $J \subsetneqq K$. Then there exists $k \in K \setminus J$ such that k is adjacent to J. Since J is a connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ we find $k \notin I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$. Since k is adjacent to $J \subseteq I_+(r)$ we find from Lemma 3.34 that k is also adjacent to $I_-(r)$, i.e., $k \notin I_-(r)^\perp$. But then $k \notin I_+(r) \cup I_-(r) \cup I_-(r)^\perp$, which is not possible.

To (b): Suppose that $J_- = \emptyset$. Then $J = J_+ \subseteq I_+(r)$. Since J is a connected component of $I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$ it follows from (34) that

$$\sum_{i \in J} \underbrace{r_i}_{>0} a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in J.$$

We find from Corollary 4.3 of [K] that J is of affine type, which contradicts that J is of indefinite type. Therefore, it is $J_- \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, $J_+ \neq \emptyset$.

Let L be a connected component of J_+. Suppose that L and J_- are not adjacent. Then L would be also a connected component of $J_+ \cup J_- = J$. Since J is connected we would get $J = L \subseteq I_+(r)$, which contradicts $J_- \neq \emptyset$.

From (34) we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in L} r_i a_{ij} = \sum_{i \in J_-} \underbrace{(-r_i)}_{>0} \underbrace{a_{ij}}_{\leq 0} \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in L.$$

Because L and J_- are adjacent, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \in L} r_i a_{ij} = \sum_{i \in J_-} (-r_i) a_{ij} < 0 \quad \text{for at most one element } j \in L. \tag{35}$$

The set $I_+(r)$ is special by Lemma 3.34. Its connected component L is of affine or indefinite type. Assume L to be of affine type. Then from Theorem 4.3 (Aff) of [K] we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in L} r_i a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in L,$$

which contradicts (35). □

From the preceding lemmas follows immediately:

Corollary 3.36 *Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix and $r \in ker(A^T) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) All connected components of $I_+(r)$ are of affine type.

(ii) All connected components of $I_-(r)$ are of affine type.

The following are equivalent:

(i) $I_+(r)$ has a connected component of indefinite type.

(ii) $I_-(r)$ has a connected component of indefinite type.

For the rest of this subsection let $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I})$ be a root base of a linear Coxeter system. Corollary 3.32, Lemma 3.34 and 3.35 allow to derive quickly some properties of the facial sets. The following theorem, except the direction '⇒', has been given as Theorem 4 1) of [V 1]. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it corresponds to Lemma 2.10 (b) and Lemma 8.5 (a) of [D].

Theorem 3.37 *For $J \subseteq I$ we have*

$$J \text{ is facial } \iff J^\infty \text{ is facial.}$$

In particular, J is facial if $J^0 = J$, i.e., $J = \emptyset$ or all connected components of J are of finite type.

Proof: It is $L_{\mathbf{h}} \subseteq \ker(A^T)$. Lemma 3.34 shows that for every $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ the sets $I_+(r)$ and $I_-(r)$ are special. Therefore,

$$I_+(r) \subseteq J \iff I_+(r) \subseteq J^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad I_-(r) \subseteq J \iff I_-(r) \subseteq J^\infty.$$

Now the equivalence of the theorem follows from Corollary 3.32. If $J^0 = J$ then $J^\infty = \emptyset$, which is a facial set by Proposition 3.24 (c). □

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be an indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix of indefinite type. We call A of hyperbolic resp. 0-hyperbolic type if for all $i \in I$ the indecomposable components of the generalized Cartan submatrix $A_{I\setminus\{i\}}$ are of finite or affine type. We call A of 1-hyperbolic type if it is not of 0-hyperbolic type and for all $i_1, i_2 \in I$, $i_1 \neq i_2$ the indecomposable components of the generalized Cartan submatrix $A_{I\setminus\{i_1,i_2\}}$ are of finite or affine type.

Proposition 3.38 *Let A be of 0-hyperbolic or 1-hyperbolic type. Let $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I$ be connected of nonfinite type. Then we have $|J| \geq |I| - 2$. If $|J| = |I| - 2$ then J is of affine type.*

Proof: Suppose there exists $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I$ connected of nonfinite type with $|J| \leq |I| - 3$. Because I is connected there exists a sequence

$$J \subsetneqq J \cup \{i_1\} \subsetneqq J \cup \{i_1, i_2\} \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq J \cup \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m\} = I$$

of connected subsets of I with $m \geq 3$. Since J is of nonfinite type the sets $J \cup \{i_1, \ldots, i_{m-2}\}$ and $J \cup \{i_1, \ldots, i_{m-1}\}$ are of indefinite type. This contradicts the definition of 1-hyperbolic and 0-hyperbolic.

If $|J| = |I| - 2$ then from the definition of 0-hyperbolic and 1-hyperbolic it follows that J is a union of connected components of finite and affine type. We conclude that J is of affine type. □

As a further example how to work with Corollary 3.32, Lemma 3.34 and 3.35 we prove the following proposition. Part (a) has been given for symmetric linear Coxeter systems as Lemma 8.5 (c) of [D].

Proposition 3.39 *Let J be facial. Then:*

(a) Every union of connected components of J which contains all the affine connected components of J is facial.

(b) Every union of indefinite connected components of J which are 0-hyperbolic or 1-hyperbolic is facial.

Proof: To (a): Let F be a union of connected components of J which contains all the affine components of J. Let R be the union of the remaining components of J.

Let $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ such that $I_+(r) \subseteq F$. Since $F \cup R$ is facial we find $I_-(r) \subseteq F \cup R$ by Corollary 3.32. Let K be a connected component of $I_-(r)$. Since F, R are separated it has to be contained either in F or in R. Suppose that $K \subseteq R$.

If K is of affine type then it is a component of $I_+(r)^\perp$ by Lemma 3.35 (a). Since $K \subseteq R \subseteq I_+(r)^\perp$ it is also a component of R, which contradicts that R has only indefinite components.

If K is of indefinite type then by Lemma 3.35 (b) it is adjacent to $I_+(r) \subseteq F$ which contradicts that F and R are separated.

It remains $K \subseteq F$. This shows that $I_-(r) \subseteq F$. By Corollary 3.32 we find that F is facial.

To (b): Let U be a union of indefinite connected components of J which are 0-hyperbolic or 1-hyperbolic. From Corollary 3.32 it follows that U is certainly facial if there are no elements $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $I_+(r) \subseteq U$.

Suppose there exists $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $I_+(r) \subseteq U$. Since $I_+(r) \subseteq U \subseteq J$ and J is facial we find $I_-(r) \subseteq J$.

Let K be a connected component of $I_+(r)$. Then K is of nonfinite type and there exists a 0-hyperbolic or 1-hyperbolic connected component H of J such that $K \subseteq H \subseteq U$.

If $K = H$ then K is of indefinite type. From Lemma 3.35 (b) we find that K is adjacent to $I_-(r)$. But this is not possible because $I_-(r)$ is contained in J and $K = H$ is a component of J.

Let $K = H \setminus \{i_0\}$ for some $i_0 \in H$. Since H is connected i_0 is adjacent to K. Since K is a component of $I_+(r)$ also $i_0 \notin I_+(r)$. It is $I_-(r) \cap H \subseteq \{i_0\}$. Since $I_-(r)$ contains no components of finite type we get $i_0 \notin I_-(r)$. From Lemma 3.34 it follows that i_0 is adjacent to $I_-(r)$, which is not possible because H is a component of J.

Let $K = H \setminus \{i_0, i_1\}$ for some $i_0, i_1 \in H$ with $i_0 \neq i_1$. Then K is of affine type and i_0 or i_1 is adjacent to K. We may assume that i_0 is adjacent to K. Since K is a component of $I_+(r)$ also $i_0 \notin I_+(r)$. From Lemma 3.35 (a) we get $K \subseteq I_-(r)^\perp$. It follows that $i_0 \notin I_-(r)$. It is $I_-(r) \cap H \subseteq \{i_1\}$. Since $I_-(r)$ contains no components of finite type also $i_1 \notin I_-(r)$. From Lemma 3.34 it follows that i_0 is adjacent to $I_-(r)$, which is not possible because H is a component of J. □

Let $J \subseteq I$. From $J \subseteq J^{fc}$ it follows that $J^\perp \supseteq (J^{fc})^\perp$.

Proposition 3.40 *For $J \subseteq I$ we have $J \cup J^\perp \subseteq J^{fc} \cup (J^{fc})^\perp$.*

Proof: Suppose there exists $i_0 \in J^\perp \setminus (J^{fc} \cup (J^{fc})^\perp)$. Because of $i_0 \in J^\perp$ and $i_0 \notin (J^{fc})^\perp$ we get

$$J \subseteq J^{fc} \cap \{i_0\}^\perp \subsetneqq J^{fc}.$$

We now show that $J^{fc} \cap \{i_0\}^\perp$ is facial, which contradicts the fact J^{fc} is the smallest facial subset of I which contains J.

Let $r \in L_{\mathbf{h}}$ such that $I_+(r) \subseteq J^{fc} \cap \{i_0\}^\perp$, i.e., $I_+(r) \subseteq J^{fc}$ and i_0 not adjacent to $I_+(r)$. Since J^{fc} is facial we get $I_-(r) \subseteq J^{fc}$. Now $i_0 \notin I_+(r) \cup I_-(r)$. By Lemma 3.34 we find $I_-(r) \subseteq J^{fc} \cap \{i_0\}^\perp$. □

If $J_1, J_2 \subseteq I$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ then $J_1 \cap \sigma J_2$ denotes the subset of I which corresponds to the simple reduced coroots

$$\left\{ \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \middle| \, i \in J_1 \right\} \cap \sigma \left\{ \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j \middle| \, j \in J_2 \right\}$$

resp. equivalently, see Proposition 3.7, to the simple reflections

$$\left\{ \sigma_i \middle| \, i \in J_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sigma \sigma_j \sigma^{-1} \middle| \, j \in J_2 \right\}.$$

We will also need the following theorem, which corresponds for symmetric linear Coxeter systems to Lemma 2.10 (d) of [D]. We prove it by a direct computation.

Theorem 3.41 *Let J_1, J_2 be facial and $\sigma \in {}^{J_1}\mathcal{W}^{J_2}$. Then*

$$\sum_{i \in J_1} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap \sigma \sum_{i \in J_2} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i = \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap \sigma J_2} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \tag{36}$$

is a face of $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. In particular, $J_1 \cap \sigma J_2$ is facial.

Remark 3.42 *For J_1, $J_2 \subseteq I$ and $\sigma \in {}^{J_1}\mathcal{W}^{J_2}$ there is the formula*

$$\mathcal{W}_{J_1} \cap \sigma \mathcal{W}_{J_2} \sigma^{-1} = \mathcal{W}_{J_1 \cap \sigma J_2}$$

of Kilmoyer, see for example Proposition 2.25 of [A, B]. It completes the theorem when specialized to its assumptions.

Proof: (a) Let $J \subseteq I$ be facial, $K \subseteq I$, $\tau \in {}^J\mathcal{W}^K$, and

$$\tau \sum_{k \in K} s_k h_k = \sum_{k \in K} s_k \tau h_k \in \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j \tag{37}$$

with coefficients $s_k \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Let $k_0 \in K$ such that $s_{k_0} > 0$. We first show that there exists $j \in J$ such that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ \tau h_{k_0} = \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$:

Because of $l(\tau\sigma_k) > l(\tau)$ we have

$$\tau h_k \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$$

for all $k \in K$. Since J is facial and $s_{k_0} \neq 0$ we find

$$\tau h_{k_0} \in \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$$

from (37). Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_{k_0} \subseteq \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \tau^{-1} h_j \quad \text{with} \quad \tau^{-1} h_j \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$$

because of $l(\tau^{-1}\sigma_j) > l(\tau)$ for all $j \in J$. Since $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_{k_0}$ is a one-dimensional face of $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_{k_0} = \mathbb{R}_0^+ \tau^{-1} h_j$.

(b) Now we show formula (36): The inclusion '$\supseteq$' is trivial. To show the reverse inclusion let

$$\sum_{i \in J_1} r_i h_i = \sigma \sum_{j \in J_2} s_j h_j$$

with coefficients $r_i \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, $s_j \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Let $j \in J_2$ with $s_j \neq 0$. Then it follows from (a) that there exists $i \in J_1$ such that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ \sigma h_j = \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$, which proves the inclusion '$\subseteq$'.

(c) It remains to show that the convex cone (36) is a face of $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. Since J_1 is facial it is sufficient to show that it is a face of $\sum_{i \in J_1} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. Let

$$h_1 = \sum_{i \in J_1} r_i h_i \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \sum_{i \in J_1} s_i h_i$$

with coefficients $r_i \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $s_{i_0} > 0$ for at least one $i_0 \notin J_1 \cap \sigma J_2$. Suppose that

$$h_1 + h_2 = \sum_{i \in J_1} (r_i + s_i) h_i \in \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap \sigma J_2} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i.$$

Then we get

$$\sigma^{-1}(h_1 + h_2) = \sum_{i \in J_1} (r_i + s_i) \sigma^{-1} h_i \in \sum_{j \in J_2} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$$

Here $r_{i_0} + s_{i_0} > 0$. It follows form (a) that there exists $j \in J_2$ such that $\mathbb{R}_0^+ \sigma^{-1} h_{i_0} = \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$. But this contradicts $i_0 \notin J_1 \cap \sigma J_2$. □

3.3 An example for the computation of the facial sets

We consider the generalized Cartan matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Coxeter diagram, as defined in Section 3.2 of [A, B], of the associated Coxeter matrix is given by:



We can read off from the generalized Cartan matrix that a nonempty connected subset $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$ is of finite type if $|J| = 1$, of affine type if $|J| = 2$, and of indefinite type if $|J| \geq 3$.

A linear base of $\ker(A^T)$ is obtained by

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These elements have been normalized to make the picture of the corresponding labeled hyperplane arrangement labeled as described in Remark 3.33 symmetric:



Here the sign vectors of the elements of $\ker(A^T)$ are indicated as labels on the vertices of the Coxeter diagram. The sign vector of the zero of $\ker(A^T)$ has been omitted.

The cyclic group C_6 acts on the labeled hyperplane arrangement. Different subspaces $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ of $\ker(A^T)$ give, up to cyclic symmetry, only four possible systems of facial sets. It is trivial to compute the facials sets corresponding to $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ with Corollary 3.32. We only list the special facial sets, from which the facial sets can be obtained by Theorem 3.37:

(a) If $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \{0\}$ then every subset of $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ is facial. The special facial sets coincide with the special sets, which are

$$\emptyset,$$
$$\{1,2\},\ \{2,3\},\ \{3,4\},\ \{4,5\},\ \{5,6\},\ \{1,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,3\},\ \{2,3,4\},\ \{3,4,5\},\ \{4,5,6\},\ \{1,5,6\},\ \{1,2,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,3,4\},\ \{2,3,4,5\},\ \{3,4,5,6\},\ \{1,4,5,6\},\ \{1,2,5,6\},\ \{1,2,3,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,\}\cup\{4,5\},\ \{2,\ 3\}\cup\{5,6\},\ \{1,\ 6\}\cup\{3,4\},$$
$$\{1,2,3,4,5\},\ \{2,3,4,5,6\},\ \{1,3,4,5,6\},\ \{1,2,4,5,6\},\ \{1,2,3,5,6\},\ \{1,2,3,4,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}.$$

(b) $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ is spanned by an element of $\ker(A^T)$ which corresponds to a point in a chamber of the hyperplane arrangement of $\ker(A^T)$. Take for example $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbb{R}(1,2,1,-1,-2,-1)^T$. Here the special facial sets are

$$\emptyset,$$
$$\{1,2\},\ \{2,3\},\ \{3,4\},\ \{4,5\},\ \{5,6\},\ \{1,6\},$$
$$\{2,3,4\},\ \{3,4,5\},\ \{1,5,6\},\ \{1,2,6\},$$
$$\{2,3,4,5\},\ \{1,2,5,6\},$$
$$\{1,2\}\cup\{4,5\},\ \{2,3\}\cup\{5,6\},\ \{1,6\}\cup\{3,4\},$$
$$\{1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5,\ 6\}.$$

(c) $L_{\mathbf{h}}$ is spanned by an element of $\ker(A^T)$ which corresponds to a point in a panel of the hyperplane arrangement of $\ker(A^T)$. Take for example $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbb{R}(1,0,-1,-1,0,1)^T$. Here the special facial sets are

$$\emptyset,$$
$$\{1,2\},\ \{2,3\},\ \{4,5\},\ \{5,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,3\},\ \{4,5,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,\}\cup\{4,5\},\ \{2,\ 3\}\cup\{5,6\},\ \{1,\ 6\}\cup\{3,4\},$$
$$\{1,3,4,5,6\},\ \{1,2,3,4,6\},$$
$$\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}.$$

(d) If $L_{\mathbf{h}} = \ker(A)$ then the special facial sets are

$$\emptyset,$$
$$\{1,2,\}\cup\{4,5\},\ \{2,\ 3\}\cup\{5,6\},\ \{1,\ 6\}\cup\{3,4\},$$
$$\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}.$$

3.4 The faces of the Tits cone

In this subsection we determine the faces of the Tits cone of a linear Coxeter system and describe the lattice operations of its face lattice. These results generalize results which have been obtained for free integral linear Coxeter systems by E. Looijenga, P. Slodowy, and the author, and for symmetric linear Coxeter systems by M. Dyer. Our approach is motivated by the theory of invariant convex cones in Section 4.

In this subsection $(\mathbf{h},\ (H_i,\ L_i)_{i\in I})$ is a linear Coxeter system and $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ a corresponding root base. For $J \subseteq I$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ we call σF_J an open facet of X of type J. We call $\sigma\overline{F}_J$ a closed

facet of X of type J. As usual, from Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.27 it follows that the partition of the fundamental chamber

$$\overline{C} = \dot{\bigcup_{J\, facial}} F_J$$

induces a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant partition of the Tits cone X:

Proposition 3.43 *(a) Let J, L be facial sets and σ, $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$. Then we have $\sigma F_J \cap \tau F_L \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma F_J = \tau F_L$, if and only if $J = L$ and $\sigma^{-1}\tau \in \mathcal{W}_L$.*

(b) X is the disjoint union of the open facets, whose types are facial sets.

From the classification of the generalized Cartan matrices it follows immediately:

Proposition 3.44 *Let $\Theta \subseteq I$. Then Θ is special if and only if $(\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i) \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) \neq \emptyset$.*

The following Lemma 3.45 and in essential also the Corollaries 3.46 and 3.47 are due to E. Looijenga for free integral linear Coxeter systems, see Lemma 2.2, and Corollary 2.3 of [L]. Actually, E. Looijenga did not investigate the faces of the Tits cone but was interested in the linear spaces

$$\{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ i \in \Theta \}\,, \quad \Theta \text{ special}\,,$$

and their stabilizers for the construction of partial compactifications of certain orbit spaces. These results have been used by P. Slodowy to investigate the faces of the Tits cone in Kapitel 6.2 of [S]. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems the following Corollaries can be obtained as a combination of parts of Theorem 10.3 and Lemma 10.4 of [D].

Lemma 3.45 *Let Θ be special facial and $h \in \left(\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i\right) \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee)$. Let $\lambda \in X$ such that $\lambda(h) \leq 0$. Then we have $\lambda(h) = 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}\overline{F}_\Theta$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to the inductive proof of Lemma 2.2 in [L] if the characterization (13) of the Tits cone X of Theorem 3.8 is used. □

Corollary 3.46 *Let Θ be special facial. Then*

$$R(\Theta) := \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}\overline{F}_\Theta$$

is an exposed face of X, i.e., for every element $h \in \left(\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i\right) \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &\subseteq \{\, \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* |\, \lambda(h) \geq 0 \}\,, \\ R(\Theta) &= X \cap \{\, \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* |\, \lambda(h) = 0 \}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Its linear hull is

$$R(\Theta) - R(\Theta) = \{\, \lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* |\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \ \textit{for all} \ i \in \Theta \}\,.$$

Corollary 3.47 *Let Θ be special facial. Then the pointwise stabilizer of $R(\Theta)$ resp. the stabilizer of $R(\Theta)$ as a whole are given by*

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_\Theta \quad \textit{resp.} \quad N_{\mathcal{W}}(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta\cup\Theta^\perp}\,.$$

The following proposition has been given for minimal free integral linear Coxeter systems as Proposition 2.5 of [M 2]. It can be proved similarly as in [M 2]. For symmetric linear Coxeter sytems it can be obtained as a combination of parts of Theorem 10.3 and Lemma 10.4 of [D].

Proposition 3.48 *Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{W}$, Θ, Θ' be special facial. Then:*

$$\sigma' R(\Theta') \subseteq \sigma R(\Theta) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Theta' \supseteq \Theta \textit{ and } \sigma^{-1}\sigma' \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}\mathcal{W}_{\Theta'}\,.$$

D. Krammer introduced in Section 2.2 of [Kr] certain projectors, which may be adopted to our situation as follows: For $J_f \subseteq I$ such that $(J_f)^0 = J_f$ define a linear map $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f} : \mathbf{h}^* \to \mathbf{h}^*$ by

$$\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_{J_f}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} \sigma\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^*.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{mid}_\emptyset$ is the identity on $\mathbf{h}^*$. For $i \in I$ the map $\mathrm{mid}_{\{i\}}$ is the projection corresponding to the reflection σ_i, i.e., it is the projection onto the hyperplane $H_i^{=0}$ along the line $L_i \cup -L_i$.

Proposition 3.49 *The linear map mid_{J_f} is a projector, i.e., $(mid_{J_f})^2 = mid_{J_f}$. Its kernel and its image are*

$$ker\left(mid_{J_f}\right) = span\left\{\alpha_i \middle| \, i \in J_f\right\}, \tag{38}$$

$$im\left(mid_{J_f}\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* \middle| \, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in J_f\right\}. \tag{39}$$

Proof: The proposition holds trivially for $J_f = \emptyset$. Let $J_f \neq \emptyset$. The projector property $(\mathrm{mid}_{J_f})^2 = \mathrm{mid}_{J_f}$ is easy to check. Since J_f is a union of components of finite type the submatrix $(a_{ij})_{i,\, j \in J_f}$ of A is invertible. This implies that $(h_i)_{i \in J_f}$ as well as $(\alpha_i)_{i \in J_f}$ are linearly independent. It follows that the dimensions of the linear spaces in (38) and (39) on the right add up to the dimension of $\mathbf{h}^*$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show only the inclusions '$\supseteq$' in (38) and (39). Let $i \in J_f$. Then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} \sigma\alpha_i = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} (\sigma\sigma_i)\alpha_i = -\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} \sigma\alpha_i$$

shows that $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\alpha_i) = 0$. Therefore, the inclusion '$\supseteq$' iholds in (38). Since $\mathcal{W}_{J_f}$ acts trivially on the linear space in (39) on the right, the inclusion '$\supseteq$' holds in (39). □

Let $p(t)$ be a statement depending on elements $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that $p(t)$ holds for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $p(t)$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $t \leq t_0$.

For $\emptyset \neq J_f \subseteq I$ such that $(J_f)^0 = J_f$ it follows from the classification of the generalized Cartan matrices, see Theorem 4.3 (Fin) of [K], that there exists $\gamma \in \sum_{i \in J_f} \mathbb{R}^+\alpha_i$ such that $\gamma(h_i) > 0$ for all $i \in J_f$. For $J_f = \emptyset$ this condition is satisfied by definition only for $\gamma = 0$.

Formula (40) of the following Lemma has been given for $J = \emptyset$ and symmetric linear Coxeter systems as Theorem 2.2.3 in [Kr]. Our proof is quite different from that in [Kr].

Lemma 3.50 *Let J be facial. Let $J_f \subseteq J^\perp$ such that $(J_f)^0 = J_f$. Then we have*

$$mid_{J_f}\left(F_J\right) = F_{J \cup J_f}. \tag{40}$$

Furthermore, if $\lambda \in F_{J \cup J_f}$ and $\gamma \in \sum_{i \in J_f} \mathbb{R}^+\alpha_i$ such that $\gamma(h_i) > 0$ for all $i \in J_f$ then we have

$$\lambda + t\gamma \in F_J \quad \text{and} \quad mid_{J_f}\left(\lambda + t\gamma\right) = \lambda \tag{41}$$

for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Proof: (a) Let $\lambda \in F_J$. We show that $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda) \in F_{J \cup J_f}$: For all $i \in J_f$ we have

$$-\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(h_i) = \mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(\sigma_i h_i) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_{J_f}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} (\sigma_i^{-1}\sigma\lambda)(h_i) = \mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(h_i),$$

which shows that $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(h_i) = 0$.

It is $J_f \subseteq J^\perp$. For all $i \in J$ we find

$$\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(h_i) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_{J_f}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} \lambda(\underbrace{\sigma^{-1}h_i}_{=h_i}) = \lambda(h_i) = 0.$$

Let $i \in I \setminus (J \cup J_f)$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}$ then from Proposition 2.15 of [A, B] we obtain $l(\sigma^{-1}\sigma_i) > l(\sigma^{-1})$, and from Theorem 1.10 of [H, N] we get $\sigma^{-1}h_i \in \sum_{j\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda)(h_i) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_{J_f}|}(\underbrace{\lambda(h_i)}_{>0} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}_{J_f},\sigma\neq 1} \underbrace{\lambda(\sigma^{-1}h_i)}_{\geq 0}) > 0.$$

(b) The equation in (41) holds because of $\gamma \in \ker(\mathrm{mid}_{J_f})$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{mid}_{J_f})$. If $i \in J$ then $(\lambda + t\gamma)(h_i) = \lambda(h_i) = 0$. If $i \in J_f$ then $(\lambda + t\gamma)(h_i) = t\gamma(h_i) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If $i \in I \setminus (J \cup J_f)$ then $(\lambda + t\gamma)(h_i) = \lambda(h_i) + t\gamma(h_i)$ and $\lambda(h_i) > 0$. Therefore, for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$(\lambda + t\gamma)(h_i) = \lambda(h_i) + t\gamma(h_i) > 0$$

for all $i \in I \setminus (J \cup J_f)$. □

The following corollary has been given for free integral linear Coxeter systems by P. Slodowy in [S], Kapitel 6.2 as Korollar 2. The author could not understand the proof and gave an alternative proof for minimal free integral linear Coxeter systems, compare Satz 4.3 of [M 1], which can be easily adapted to the case of general root bases. We give here a short proof with Lemma 3.50, similar to the proof of the corresponding result for $X = R(\emptyset)$ given as Corollary 2.2.5 of [Kr].

Corollary 3.51 *Let Θ be special facial. Let $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta^\perp$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$. Then*

$$F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f} \subseteq ri(R(\Theta)).$$

Proof: We have $\overline{F}_\Theta \subseteq R(\Theta)$ and $\overline{F}_\Theta - \overline{F}_\Theta = R(\Theta) - R(\Theta)$ by Corollary 3.46 and Proposition 3.27. It follows that

$$F_\Theta = \mathrm{ri}(\overline{F}_\Theta) \subseteq \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta)).$$

We have $\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f} \subseteq N_\mathcal{W}(R(\Theta))$ by Proposition 3.47. We get

$$\sigma F_\Theta \subseteq \sigma\,\mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta)) = \mathrm{ri}(\sigma R(\Theta)) = \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta))$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f}$. With Lemma 3.50 we find

$$F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f} = \mathrm{mid}_{\Theta_f}(F_\Theta) \subseteq \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta)).$$

□

For free integral linear Coxeter systems the next theorem coincides in essential with Korollar 3 of Kapitel 6.2 of [S], where only exposed faces have been considered. For minimal free integral linear Coxeter systems it can be found as below as Korollar 4.4 - 4.6 in [M 1]. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it can be obtained as a combination of a part of Theorem 10.3 and Lemma 10.9 of [D].

Theorem 3.52 *(a) Let Θ be special facial. The relative interior of $R(\Theta)$ is given by*

$$ri(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp} \bigcup_{\substack{\Theta_f\subseteq\Theta^\perp \\ (\Theta_f)^0=\Theta_f}} F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f}.$$

(b) Every face of X is $\mathcal{W}$-conjugate to exactly one of the faces $R(\Theta)$, Θ special facial. A face R is of the form $R(\Theta)$, Θ special facial, if and only if $ri\,R \cap \overline{C} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: To (b): Let $R \in Fa(X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$. Then there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and $J \subseteq I$ facial such that

$$\lambda \in \sigma F_J = \sigma F_{J^\infty \cup J^0} \subseteq \sigma\,\mathrm{ri}(R(J^\infty)) = \mathrm{ri}(\sigma R(J^\infty)). \tag{42}$$

Here J^∞ is facial by Theorem 3.37 and the inclusion holds by Corollary 3.51. Since the relative interiors of the faces of X are a partition of X it follows that $R = \sigma R(J^\infty)$.

If there exists $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap \overline{C}$ we may set $\sigma = 1$ in (42) and get $R = R(J^\infty)$. By Corollary 3.51 it is $\mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta)) \cap \overline{C} \neq \emptyset$ for all Θ special facial.

To (a): The inclusion '$\supseteq$' follows from Theorem 3.51 and $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp}$. To show the reverse inclusion let $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta))$. As in the proof of (b) we find that there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and $J \subseteq I$ facial such that $R(\Theta) = \sigma R(J^\infty)$. From Proposition 3.48 we get $J^\infty = \Theta$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp}$, which shows that

$$\lambda \in \sigma F_J \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp} F_{\Theta \cup J^0} = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp} F_{\Theta \cup J^0}$$

with $J^0 \subseteq \Theta^\perp$, $(J^0)^0 = J^0$. □

We define a function $red : \mathcal{W} \to I$ as follows: We set $red\,(1) := \emptyset$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression with simple reflections $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_k}$ we set

$$red\,(\sigma) := \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subseteq I,$$

which is independent of the chosen reduced expression by a result of J. Tits, compare for example with Proposition 2.16 resp. Remark 2.34 of [A, B].

For minimal free integral linear Coxeter systems the following theorem has been given as Theorem 4 (b) of [M 3]. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it can be obtained as a combination of Proposition 10.2, Remark 10.2, Lemma 2.17, and Theorem 10.3 (c) of [D].

Theorem 3.53 *Let $\tau \in {}^{\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_1^\perp}\mathcal{W}^{\Theta_2 \cup \Theta_2^\perp}$, Θ_1, Θ_2 be special facial. Then $\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup red\,(\tau)$ is a special set and*

$$R(\Theta_1) \cap \tau R(\Theta_2) = R\left((\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup red\,(\tau))^{fc}\right), \tag{43}$$

$$R(\Theta_1) \vee \tau R(\Theta_2) = R((\Theta_1 \cap \tau\Theta_2)^\infty). \tag{44}$$

Remark 3.54 *The lattice intersection and lattice join of two arbitrary faces of X can be reduced easily to the formulas (43), (44) by Corollary 3.47 .*

Proof: The proof that $\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup red\,(\tau)$ is a special set is identical to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 4 (b) in [M 3]. The proof of the formulas (43) and (44) are similar to the corresponding parts of the proof of Theorem 4 (b) in [M 3]: The way is identical, but the faces $R(\Theta)$ are parametrized by special facial sets Θ instead of special sets Θ. For (44) Theorem 3.41 is used in addition. □

3.5 The faces of the imaginary cone

The imaginary cone has been introduced for symmetric linear Coxeter systems by M. Dyer in Section 3 of [D], generalizing the convex cone generated by the imaginary roots of a Kac-Moody algebra as described for example in Chapter 5 of [K]. Its definition can be adopted for linear Coxeter systems without chance. In this section we determine the faces of the imaginary cone of a linear Coxeter system and describe the lattice operations of its face lattice, generalizing the results obtained in Section 10 of [D]. Our approach is motivated by the theory of invariant cones in Section 4.

Let $(\mathbf{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i \in I})$ be a linear Coxeter system and $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I})$ a root base of the linear Coxeter system. Set

$$K := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee), \tag{45}$$

which is a closed finitely generated convex cone. The proof of the following proposition is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [D].

Proposition 3.55 *We get a convex cone, which is called the imaginary cone, by*

$$Z := \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}} \sigma K = (-X^\vee) \cap \bigcap_{\sigma\in\mathcal{W}} \sigma(\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i\,). \tag{46}$$

Remark 3.56 *Recall from Propositions 3.19 and 3.20 that $X^\vee$ may be interpreted as a Tits cone with $\mathcal{W}_{I_1}$ as Coxeter group, where I_1 is defined in (17). We may replace $\mathcal{W}$ by $\mathcal{W}_{I_1}$ in the union in (46) but it is not possible to replace I by I_1 in (45). For the example given in Remark 3.18 it is*

$$\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) = \mathbb{R}_0^+(h_1+h_2) \quad \textit{but} \quad \sum_{i\in I_1} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) = \{0\}.$$

Recall that the faces of $\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ are given by $\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$, Θ facial. Its interiors give a partition of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i = \dot{\bigcup_{\Theta\, facial}} \mathrm{ri}(\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i\,) = \dot{\bigcup_{\Theta\, facial}} \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i,$$

which in turn induces a partition of K. From Proposition 3.44 it follows that

$$K = \dot{\bigcup_{\Theta\, special\, facial}} K(\Theta)$$

where

$$K(\Theta) := \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) \neq \emptyset$$

is a convex cone for all Θ special facial. Only $K(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ contains the zero. Furthermore, for Θ special facial it is

$$\overline{K(\Theta)} := \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) = \dot{\bigcup_{\Xi\, special\, facial,\, \Xi\subseteq\Theta}} K(\Theta) \tag{47}$$

a face of K. In general, there also exist faces of K not of this form, but these are not of interest for the following considerations. Note also that $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ is the closure of $K(\Theta)$, which can be seen as follows: $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ is partitioned by the relative interiors of its faces. From (47) we find $\mathrm{ri}(\overline{K(\Theta)}) \subseteq K(\Theta) \subseteq \overline{K(\Theta)}$ and $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ is closed. Now use Theorem 6.3 of [Ro].

The following theorem introduces some faces of the imaginary cone. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it can be obtained as a combination of Lemma 10.4 (b) and Lemma 10.9 of [D].

Theorem 3.57 *Let Θ be special facial. Then*

$$F(\Theta) := \mathcal{W}_\Theta \overline{K(\Theta)}$$

is an exposed face of Z, i.e., for every element $\lambda \in F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f}$ where $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta^\perp$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$ we have

$$Z \;\subseteq\; \{\, h\in \boldsymbol{h} |\, \lambda(h) \geq 0\}\,, \tag{48}$$

$$F(\Theta) \;=\; Z \cap \{\, h\in \boldsymbol{h} |\, \lambda(h) = 0\}\,. \tag{49}$$

Remark 3.58 *It is $Z = F(I^\infty)$, which follows from the theorem for $\lambda = 0 \in F_{I^\infty\cup I^0}$.*

Proof: Let $\sigma\in\mathcal{W}$ and $h\in K(\Xi)$, Ξ special facial. From Corollary 3.46 it follows that

$$\lambda(\sigma h) = \underbrace{(\sigma^{-1}\lambda)}_{\in X}(h) = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if} \quad \sigma^{-1}\lambda \in R(\Xi), \\ > 0 & \text{if} \quad \sigma^{-1}\lambda \notin R(\Xi). \end{cases}$$

In particular, this shows the inclusion (48).

Because of $\lambda \in F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f} \subseteq \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta))$ we have $\sigma^{-1}\lambda \in R(\Xi)$ if and only if $\sigma^{-1}R(\Theta) \subseteq R(\Xi)$. By Proposition 3.48 this is equivalent to

$$\Theta \supseteq \Xi \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_\Theta \mathcal{W}_{\Xi^\perp},$$

from which we find $\sigma h \in \mathcal{W}_\Theta \mathcal{W}_{\Xi^\perp} K(\Xi) = \mathcal{W}_\Theta K(\Xi) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\Theta \overline{K(\Theta)}$. This shows the inclusion '$\supseteq$' in (49). To show the reverse inclusion let $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_\Theta$ and $h \in \overline{K(\Theta)}$. Since $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_\Theta \subseteq Z_{\mathcal{W}}(F_{\Theta\cup\Theta_f})$ and $h \in \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ we find

$$\lambda(\sigma h) = (\sigma^{-1}\lambda)(h) = \lambda(h) = 0.$$

□

In the last theorem the face $F(\Theta)$ of the imaginary cone Z has been described as an exposed face dual to the face $R(\Theta)$ of the Tits cone X. It is $Z \subseteq \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ and the face $F(\Theta)$ can be also obtained as an intersection of Z with a face of $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems this follows from Lemma 3.4 of [D].

Corollary 3.59 *Let Θ be special facial. Then we have*

$$F(\Theta) = Z \cap \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i.$$

Proof: Let $\lambda \in F_\Theta$. Since $Z \subseteq \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i$ by Proposition 3.55 we find from Theorem 3.57 that

$$F(\Theta) = Z \cap \{\, h \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i \mid \lambda(h) = 0 \,\} = Z \cap \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}_0^+ h_i.$$

□

For symmetric linear Coxeter systems the following Proposition has been given as a part of Lemma 10.4 (d) and (e) of [D].

Proposition 3.60 *Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{W}$, Θ, Θ' be special facial. Then:*

$$\sigma' F(\Theta') \supseteq \sigma F(\Theta) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Theta' \supseteq \Theta \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}\sigma' \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp} \mathcal{W}_{\Theta'}. \tag{50}$$

In particular, we have

$$N_{\mathcal{W}}(F(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta\cup\Theta^\perp}. \tag{51}$$

Proof: (51) is a special case of (50). We first show the direction '$\Leftarrow$' in (50). Decompose $\sigma^{-1}\sigma' = ab$ with $a \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}$ and $b \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta'}$. Since $\Theta \subseteq \Theta'$ we find

$$a^{-1}F(\Theta) = a^{-1}\mathcal{W}_\Theta \overline{K(\Theta)} = \mathcal{W}_\Theta\, a^{-1}\overline{K(\Theta)} = \mathcal{W}_\Theta \overline{K(\Theta)} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\Theta'} \overline{K(\Theta')} = b\mathcal{W}_{\Theta'} \overline{K(\Theta')} = bF(\Theta').$$

It follows that $\sigma F(\Theta) \subseteq \sigma' F(\Theta')$.

Now we show the direction '$\Rightarrow$' in (50). Let $h \in K(\Theta) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee)$ and let $\lambda \in F_{\Theta'}$. It is $\sigma h \in \sigma F(\Theta) \subseteq \sigma' F(\Theta')$. From Theorem 3.57 we obtain

$$0 = \lambda((\sigma')^{-1}\sigma h) = (\sigma^{-1}\sigma'\lambda)(h).$$

From Theorem 3.46 we get $\sigma^{-1}\sigma'\lambda \in R(\Theta)$. Because of $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta'))$ this is equivalent to $\sigma^{-1}\sigma' R(\Theta') \subseteq R(\Theta)$. By Proposition 3.48 we get $\Theta' \supseteq \Theta$ and $\sigma^{-1}\sigma' \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}\mathcal{W}_{\Theta'}$. □

Up to this point we used the results for the faces of the Tits cone to obain results for the faces of the imaginary cone. To show

$$Fa(Z) = \{\, \sigma F(\Theta) |\, \sigma \in \mathcal{W},\, \Theta \text{ special facial } \} \tag{52}$$

is more complex. The convex cone Z is an $\mathcal{W}$-invariant subcone of the Tits cone $X^\vee$ and we can use an approach motivated by the theory of invariant cones in Section 4. It requires to work with the system of facial sets for $\overline{C}^\vee$, which in general is different from that for $\overline{C}$, taking into consideration also Propositions 3.19, 3.20, and 3.21. This is tendious. Instead we transfer some results for free linear Coxeter systems to general linear Coxeter systems by morphisms.

If $\Theta \subseteq I$ is of affine type then by Theorem 4.3 (Aff) of [K] the kernel of A_Θ^T is one-dimensional and there exists $k \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\Theta$ such that $A_\Theta^T k = 0$. We set

$$D_\Theta^\vee := \mathbb{R}^+ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i h_i \quad \text{and} \quad S_\Theta^\vee := \mathbb{R} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i h_i,$$

which are independent of the chosen root base. If $\Theta \subseteq I$ has only affine connected components and $\Theta = \Theta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Theta_m$ is the decomposition in connected components we set

$$S_\Theta^\vee := \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\Theta_i}^\vee.$$

For $J_f \subseteq I$ such that $(J_f)^0 = J_f$ we define a linear projector $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}^\vee : \mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{h}$ by

$$\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}^\vee(h) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}_{J_f}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f}} \sigma h, \quad h \in \mathbf{h}.$$

It has similar properties as the projector $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f} : \mathbf{h}^* \to \mathbf{h}^*$, to which it is dual.

We first describe for a free linear Coxeter system the cone $K = \dot{\bigcup}_{\Theta\, special} K(\Theta)$ as a part of $-X^\vee$ in more detail.

For symmetric linear Coxeter systems part (a) of the following theorem has been given in Section 8.6 of [D] and the property $K(\Theta) \cap (-F_{\Theta^\perp}^\vee) \neq \emptyset$ of part (b) follows from Lemma 10.4 (b) of [D].

Theorem 3.61 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special and connected.*

(a) If Θ is of affine type we have $K(\Theta) = D_\Theta^\vee \subseteq -F_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp}^\vee$.

(b) If Θ is of indefinite type then

$$K(\Theta) = \dot{\bigcup_{\substack{\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta \\ (\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f}}} \underbrace{K(\Theta) \cap (-F_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}^\vee)}_{\neq \emptyset} \tag{53}$$

and for $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$ we have

$$mid_{\Theta_f}^\vee\, (K(\Theta) \cap (-F_{\Theta^\perp}^\vee)) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}^\vee). \tag{54}$$

The relative interiors of $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ and $K(\Theta)$ are given by

$$ri(\overline{K(\Theta)}) = ri(K(\Theta)) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F_{\Theta^\perp}^\vee). \tag{55}$$

The linear hulls of $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ and $K(\Theta)$ are given by

$$\overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} = K(\Theta) - K(\Theta) = span\, \{\, h_i |\, i \in \Theta \}\,. \tag{56}$$

Proof: (a) follows easily from the definition of $K(\Theta)$ and Theorem 4.3 (Aff) of [K]. To (b): To show the inclusion '$\subseteq$' in (53) let $h = \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i h_i \in K(\Theta)$. As in [K], Exercise 5.9, we find

$$\{\, i \in \Theta |\, \alpha_i(h) = 0\}^0 = \{\, i \in \Theta |\, \alpha_i(h) = 0\}\,.$$

It follows that $h \in -F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}$ with $\Theta_f := \{\, i \in \Theta |\, \alpha_i(h) = 0\}$.

From the definition of $K(\Theta)$ and from the inclusion '$\subseteq$' in (53) we get

$$K(\Theta) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{F}^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}).$$

By Theorem 4.3 (Ind) of [K] there exists $h \in \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i$ such that $\alpha_j(h) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i a_{ij} < 0$ for all $j \in \Theta$. It follows easily that $h \in K(\Theta) \cap -F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{ri}(\sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i\,) \cap \mathrm{ri}(-\overline{F}^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}) \neq \emptyset.$$

With Theorem 6.3 and 6.5 of [Ro] we find $\mathrm{ri}(\overline{K(\Theta)}) = \mathrm{ri}(K(\Theta)) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp})$.

We now show that for $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$ we have

$$\mathrm{mid}^\vee_{J_f}\,(K(\Theta) \cap -F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}) \subseteq K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}). \tag{57}$$

If $h \in K(\Theta) \cap -F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}$ then

$$\sigma h \in h + \sum_{i\in\Theta_f} \mathbb{R}^+_0 h_i \subseteq \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f}$, from which it follows that $\mathrm{mid}_{\Theta_f}(h) \in \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i$. If we use in addition the analogue of Lemma 3.50 for mid_{Θ_f} we get

$$\mathrm{mid}^\vee_{J_f}\,(K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp})) \subseteq \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}).$$

In particular, we obtain $K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}) \neq \emptyset$.

We next show that in (57) we have equality. This holds trivially for $\Theta_f = \emptyset$. Let $\Theta_f \neq \emptyset$ and $h \in K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp})$. It follows from Theorem 4.3 (Fin) of [K] that there exists $k \in \sum_{i\in\Theta_f} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i$ such that $\alpha_i(k) > 0$ for all $i \in \Theta_f$. By the analogue of Lemma 3.50 for mid_{Θ_f} we obtain

$$h + tk \in F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{mid}^\vee_{J_f}(h + tk) = h$$

for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta$ we also have $h + tk \in \sum_{\in\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. This shows that $h + tk \in K(\Theta) \cap F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}$ for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

By the definitions of $K(\Theta)$, $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ we have

$$K(\Theta) - K(\Theta) \subseteq \overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} \subseteq \mathrm{span}\,\{\, h_i |\, i \in \Theta\}\,.$$

It remains to show the reverse inclusions. Let $h \in \mathrm{span}\,\{\, h_i |\, i \in \Theta\}$. Choose $k \in K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp}) = \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^\perp})$. Then we have

$$h = h + tk - tk \quad \text{with} \quad h + tk \in \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \quad \text{and} \quad -tk \in -K(\Theta)$$

for all sufficiently big $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In particular, it follows that for all $j \in I \setminus \Theta$ we have

$$\alpha_j(h + tk) \leq 0$$

for all sufficiently big $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For all $j \in \Theta$ we have

$$\alpha_j(h+tk) = \alpha_j(h) + t\underbrace{\alpha_j(k)}_{<0} < 0$$

for all sufficiently big $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.It follows that $h + tk \in \sum_{i\in\Theta} \mathbb{R}^+ h_i \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) = K(\Theta)$ for all sufficiently big $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. $\square$

It is trivial to show:

Proposition 3.62 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special and let $\Theta = \Theta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Theta_m$ be its decomposition in connected components. Then we have*

$$K(\Theta) = K(\Theta_1) + \cdots + K(\Theta_m).$$

Recall that for $\Theta \subseteq I$ we denote by Θ^{aff} the union of the affine type components of Θ. We denote by Θ^{indef} the union of the indefinite type components of Θ.

For symmetric linear Coxeter systems the property $K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}\cup\Theta^\perp}) \neq \emptyset$ of the following theorem follows from Lemma 10.4 (b) of [D].

Theorem 3.63 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special. Then*

$$K(\Theta) = \dot{\bigcup_{\substack{\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta^{indef} \\ (\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f}}} \underbrace{K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}\cup\Theta_f\cup\Theta^\perp})}_{\neq\emptyset} \tag{58}$$

and for $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta^{indef}$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$ we have

$$mid^\vee_{\Theta_f}\left(K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}\cup\Theta^\perp})\right) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}\cup\Theta_f\cup\Theta^\perp}). \tag{59}$$

The relative interiors of $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ and $K(\Theta)$ are given by

$$ri(\overline{K(\Theta)}) = ri\,(K(\Theta)) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}\cup\Theta^\perp})\,. \tag{60}$$

The linear hulls of $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ and $K(\Theta)$ are given by

$$\overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} = K(\Theta) - K(\Theta) = S^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}} + span\left\{\, h_i |\, i \in \Theta^{indef}\right\}. \tag{61}$$

Proof: It is $K(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ by definition and the theorem holds trivially. Let $\Theta \neq \emptyset$ and let $\Theta = \Theta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Theta_m$ be the decomposition of Θ into connected components. We first show that for $J_1 \subseteq \Theta_1$, $\ldots$, $J_m \subseteq \Theta_m$ we have

$$\left(K(\Theta_1) \cap (-F^\vee_{J_1\cup\Theta_1^\perp})\right) + \cdots + \left(K(\Theta_m) \cap (-F^\vee_{J_1\cup\Theta_m^\perp})\right) = K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{J_1\cup\cdots\cup J_m\cup\Theta^\perp}), \tag{62}$$

where a sum with the empty set as a summand is defined to be empty.

It is

$$(-F^\vee_{J_1\cup\Theta_1^\perp}) + \cdots + (-F^\vee_{J_1\cup\Theta_m^\perp}) = (-F^\vee_L) \quad \text{with} \quad L := \bigcap_{i=1}^m (J_i \cup \Theta_i^\perp).$$

Here $J_i \cap J_j = \emptyset$ and $J_i \subseteq \Theta_j^\perp$ for $i \neq j$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} L = \bigcap_{i=1}^m (J_i \cup \Theta_i^\perp) &= \bigcup_{T\subseteq\{1,\ldots,m\}} \Big(\bigcap_{i\in T} J_i \cap \bigcap_{j\in\{1,\ldots,m\}\setminus T} \Theta_j^\perp\Big) = \Big(\bigcap_{j=1}^m \Theta_j^\perp\Big) \cup J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_m \\ &= J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_m \cup (\Theta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Theta_m)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Now the inclusion '$\subseteq$' in (62) follows with Proposition 3.62. To show the reverse inclusion let $k \in K(\Theta) \cap (-F^{\vee}_{J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_m \cup \Theta^{\perp}})$. According to Proposition 3.62 we have

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \quad \text{with} \quad k_i \in K(\Theta_i).$$

By Theorem 3.61 we find $k_i \in -F^{\vee}_{L_i \cup \Theta_i^{\perp}}$ for some $L_i \subseteq \Theta_i$. By the inclusion '$\subseteq$' of (62) with J_i replaced by L_i for all i we find

$$k \in K(\Theta) \cap (-F^{\vee}_{L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_m \cup \Theta^{\perp}}).$$

Hence $L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_m \cup \Theta^{\perp} = J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_m \cup \Theta^{\perp}$ from which it follows that $L_1 = J_1, \ldots, L_m = J_m$.

Now (58) follows from (62) and from Theorem 3.61 (a) and (53) in (b). It is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_j} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f}| = \prod_{j=1}^{m} |\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_j}|.$$

Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $k \in K(\Theta_i)$ then

$$|\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f}| \operatorname{mid}_{\Theta_f}(k) = \sum_{\substack{\tau_j \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_j} \\ j=1, \ldots, m}} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_m k = \sum_{\substack{\tau_j \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_j} \\ j=1, \ldots, m}} \tau_i k = \Big(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{m} |\mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_j}| \Big) \sum_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_i}} \tau_i k$$

which shows that

$$\operatorname{mid}_{\Theta_f}|_{K(\Theta_i)} = \operatorname{mid}_{\Theta_f \cap \Theta_i}|_{K(\Theta_i)} .$$

Now (59) follows from (62) and from (54) in Theorem 3.61 (b).

Proposition 3.62 also implies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ri}(K(\Theta)) &= \operatorname{ri}(K(\Theta_1)) + \cdots + \operatorname{ri}(K(\Theta_m)), \\ K(\Theta) - K(\Theta) &= (K(\Theta_1) - K(\Theta_1)) + \cdots + (K(\Theta_m) - K(\Theta_m)) . \end{aligned}$$

From (55) in Theorem 3.61 (b) from (62) and from Theorem 6.3 of [Ro] we get (60). $K(\Theta) - K(\Theta)$ is a closed linear space which contains $K(\Theta)$. It follows that $\overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} = K(\Theta) - K(\Theta)$. From Theorem 3.61 (a) and (56) in (b) we get (61). □

Corollary 3.64 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special. Then*

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(F(\Theta)) = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(\overline{K(\Theta)}) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{aff} \cup \Theta^{\perp}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$F(\Theta) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}} \overline{K(\Theta)}.$$

Proof: From Theorem 6.3 of [Ro] and Theorem 3.63 we obtain

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(\overline{K(\Theta)}) = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(\operatorname{ri}(K(\Theta))) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{aff} \cup \Theta^{\perp}}.$$

It follows that

$$Z(\Theta) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta} \overline{K(\Theta)} = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}} \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{aff}} \overline{K(\Theta)} = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}} \overline{K(\Theta)}.$$

We find

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(F(\Theta)) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}} \sigma Z_{\mathcal{W}}(\overline{K(\Theta)}) \sigma^{-1} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}} \sigma \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{aff} \cup \Theta^{\perp}} \sigma^{-1} = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{aff} \cup \Theta^{\perp}}.$$

□

Corollary 3.65 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special. Then*

$$F(\Theta) - F(\Theta) = \overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} = S^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}} + span\left\{ h_i |\, i \in \Theta^{indef} \right\}$$

Proof: By Theorem 3.63 we find

$$\pm\overline{K(\Theta)} \subseteq \overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)} = S^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}} + \text{span}\left\{ h_i |\, i \in \Theta^{indef} \right\} \subseteq F(\Theta) - F(\Theta).$$

By Corollary 3.64 we have $F(\Theta) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}\overline{K(\Theta)}$. Therefore, it remains to show that $\overline{K(\Theta)} - \overline{K(\Theta)}$ is invaraint under $\mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}$.

Trivially, span $\left\{ h_i |\, i \in \Theta^{indef} \right\}$ is fixed by $\mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}$ as a whole. $S^\vee_{\Theta^{aff}}$ is fixed pointwise by $\mathcal{W}_{\Theta^{indef}}$ because of $\Theta^{aff} \subseteq (\Theta^{indef})^\perp$. □

The proof of (a) of the following corollary is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.51. Part (b) follows from part (a) and equation (58).

Corollary 3.66 *Let the linear Coxeter system be free. Let Θ be special.*

(a) Let $\Theta_f \subseteq \Theta^{indef}$ such that $(\Theta_f)^0 = \Theta_f$. Then

$$K(\Theta) \cap (-F^\vee_{\Theta^{aff} \cup \Theta_f \cup \Theta^\perp}) \subseteq ri\left(F(\Theta)\right).$$

(b) It is $K(\Theta) \subseteq ri(F(\Theta))$.

We now use morphisms to transfer part (b) of Corollary 3.66 to general linear Coxeter systems.

Proposition 3.67 *Let $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ be root bases for linear Coxeter systems. Let $\phi : \boldsymbol{h}' \to \boldsymbol{h}$ be a morphism of root bases. If Θ is special facial for $(\boldsymbol{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ then it is also special facial for $(\boldsymbol{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ and we have:*

$$\begin{array}{lll} K(\Theta) \subseteq im(\phi) & and & \phi^{-1}(K(\Theta)) = K'(\Theta) + ker(\phi), \\ F(\Theta) \subseteq im(\phi) & and & \phi^{-1}(F(\Theta)) = F'(\Theta) + ker(\phi). \end{array}$$

In particular, $Z \subseteq im(\phi)$ and $\phi^{-1}(Z) = Z' + ker(\phi)$.

Proof: If Θ special facial for $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ then it is also special facial for $(\mathbf{h}', (h'_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha'_i)_{i\in I})$ by Theorem 3.30. It is

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\Theta) &= \left\{ \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i h'_i \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} r_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ for all } i \in I \\ \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i a_{ij} \le 0 \text{ for all } j \in I \end{array} \right\}, \\ \overline{K'(\Theta)} &= \left\{ \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i h'_i \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{c} r_i \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \text{ for all } i \in I \\ \sum_{i\in\Theta} r_i a_{ij} \le 0 \text{ for all } j \in I \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $K(\Theta)$, $\overline{K(\Theta)}$ have a similar descriptions. Trivially, $\phi(K'(\Theta)) = K(\Theta)$, $\phi(\overline{K'(\Theta)}) = \overline{K(\Theta)}$. Since ϕ is $\mathcal{W}$-invariant, we find $\phi(F(\Theta)') = F(\Theta)$.

The image of the morphism ϕ contains $\sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}h_i$. Therefore $K(\Theta) \subseteq \text{im}(\phi)$. By Corollary 3.59 also $F(\Theta) \subseteq \text{im}(\phi)$. □

As before, $(\mathbf{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ is a linear Coxeter system and $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ is a root base of the linear Coxeter system.

Theorem 3.68 *Let Θ be special facial. Then*

$$K(\Theta) \subseteq ri\left(F(\Theta)\right).$$

Proof: Describe $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ as a subquotient of a free realization $(\mathbf{h}'', (h_i'')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i'')_{i\in I})$ of A as in Corollary 2.3. With the notation of this corollary we have the following morphisms of realizations:



The map ψ is injective. It follows from Theorem 3.30 that the facial sets for $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ and $(\mathbf{h}', (h_i')_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i')_{i\in I})$ coincide. Let Θ be such a facial special set. By Proposition 3.67 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(K''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi)) = K'(\Theta) \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\psi) \qquad &\text{and} \qquad K(\Theta) = \psi^{-1}(\phi(K''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi))) \\ \phi(Z''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi)) = Z'(\Theta) \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\psi) \qquad &\text{and} \qquad Z(\Theta) = \psi^{-1}(\phi(Z''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi))) \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.66 we find

$$K''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi) \subseteq \mathrm{ri}(Z''(\Theta)) + \ker(\phi) = \mathrm{ri}(Z''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi)).$$

By Lemma 1.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Theta) &= \psi^{-1}(\phi(K''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi))) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\phi(\mathrm{ri}(Z''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi)))) \\ &= \mathrm{ri}(\psi^{-1}(\phi(Z''(\Theta) + \ker(\phi)))) = \mathrm{ri}(Z(\Theta)). \end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.52. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it is obtained as a combination of Theorem 10.3 and 10.7 of [D].

Theorem 3.69 *(a) Let Θ be special facial. Then*

$$ri\,(F(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_\Theta\, K(\Theta).$$

(b) Every face of Z is $\mathcal{W}$-conjugate to exactly one of the faces $F(\Theta)$, Θ special facial. A face F is of the form $F(\Theta)$, Θ special facial, if and only if $ri(F) \cap K = ri(F) \cap (-\overline{C}^\vee) \neq \emptyset$.

Comparing Proposition 3.60 and Proposition 3.48 we obtain the following corollary. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it is given as a part of Theorem 4.3 of [D].

Corollary 3.70 *The face lattices $Fa(X)$ and $Fa(Z)$ are antiisomorphic. An inclusion reversing $\mathcal{W}$-equivariant bijective map from $Fa(X)$ to $Fa(Y)$ is obtained by mapping $\sigma R(\Theta)$ to $\sigma F(\Theta)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$, Θ special facial.*

With Theorem 3.53 we get the following corollary. For symmetric linear Coxeter systems it can be obtained by combining Proposition 10.2, Remark 10.2, Proposition 2.17, and Theorem 10.3 of [D].

Corollary 3.71 *Let $\tau \in {}^{\Theta_1\cup\Theta_1^\perp}\mathcal{W}^{\Theta_2\cup\Theta_2^\perp}$, Θ_1, Θ_2 be special facial. Then $\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup red\,(\tau)$ is a special set*

$$F(\Theta_1) \cap \tau F(\Theta_2) = F((\Theta_1 \cap \tau\Theta_2)^\infty), \tag{63}$$

$$F(\Theta_1) \vee \tau F(\Theta_2) = F\left((\Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup red\,(\tau))^{fc}\right). \tag{64}$$

Remark 3.72 *The lattice intersection and lattice join of two arbitrary faces of Z can be reduced to the formulas (63), (64) by the stabilizer formula (51) of Proposition 3.60.*

We denote by the upper script 'd' the dual cone. From Corollary 3.46, Corollary 3.47, and Theorem 3.69 (a) we get $X \subseteq Z^d$ and if Θ is special facial then

$$R(\Theta) = X \cap F(\Theta)^\perp = X \cap (\mathbb{R}h)^\perp \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in \mathrm{ri}(F(\Theta)).$$

Similarly, from Theorem 3.52 (a), Theorem 3.57, and Proposition 3.60 we get $Z \subseteq X^d$ and if Θ is special facial then

$$F(\Theta) = Z \cap R(\Theta)^\perp = Z \cap (\mathbb{R}\lambda)^\perp \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R(\Theta)).$$

In particular, X and Z are a pair of semidual cones as defined in A.6. of [D].

4 Invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone

In this section we investigate the faces and the face lattice of Coxeter group invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone of a linear Coxeter system. As explained in the introduction certain Weyl group invariant polyhedral cones underlie the theory of normal reductive linear algebraic monoids. The cross section lattice and the type maps introduced by M. S. Putcha and L. Renner in the theory of normal reductive linear algebraic monoids can be used for the description of the faces and the face lattice of this cone. We use similar data for the description of the faces and the face lattice of Coxeter group invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone.

In this section $(\mathbf{h}, (H_i, L_i)_{i\in I})$ is a linear Coxeter system and $(\mathbf{h}, (h_i)_{i\in I}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I})$ a root base of the linear Coxeter system with generalized Cartan matrix A. For the whole section, except for the Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, it is Y a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant convex subcone of the Tits cone X, which contains the zero.

For $h \in \mathbf{h}$ we set $H_h^{=0} := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* | \lambda(h) = 0\}$ and $H_h^{\geq 0}$, $H_h^{>0}$, $H_h^{\leq 0}$, $H_h^{<0}$ are defined analogous.

4.1 A single face

For every face of an arbitrary convex $\mathcal{W}$-invariant cone with zero in $\mathbf{h}^*$ the coroot system can be naturally divided into four parts according to the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.1 *Let Y be a convex $\mathcal{W}$-invariant cone in $\boldsymbol{h}^*$ which contains the zero. Let R be a face of Y. For $h \in \Phi^\vee$ we have:*

(a) σ_h fixes R pointwise if and only if $R - R \subseteq H_h^{=0}$.

(b) σ_h fixes R as a whole, but not pointwise if and only if $\alpha_h \in R - R$.

Proof: (a) is trivial. To (b): Let $\alpha_h \in R - R$. We have $\sigma_h R \subseteq Y$ and $\sigma_h R \subseteq R - R$, from which we get $\sigma_h R \subseteq Y \cap (R - R) = R$. Furthermore, $R \subseteq (\sigma_h)^{-1} R = \sigma_h R$. It is $\alpha_h(h) = 2$. By (a), σ_h does not fix R pointwise.

Now suppose that σ_h fixes R as a whole, but not pointwise. Then from (a) it follows that there exists an element $\lambda_0 \in R$ such that $\lambda_0(h) \neq 0$. We obtain

$$\underbrace{\sigma_h\lambda_0}_{\in R} = \underbrace{\lambda_0}_{\in R} - \underbrace{\lambda_0(h)}_{\neq 0}\alpha_h,$$

which shows that $\alpha_h \in R - R$. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let Y be a convex $\mathcal{W}$-invariant cone in $\boldsymbol{h}^*$ which contains the zero. Let R be a face of Y. Suppose that σ_h, $h \in \Phi^\vee$, does not fix R as a whole. Then exactly one of the following possibilities holds:*

(p) $R \subseteq H_h^{\geq 0}$ with $ri(R) \subseteq H_h^{>0}$.

(n) $R \subseteq H_h^{\leq 0}$ with $ri(R) \subseteq H_h^{<0}$.

Proof: Suppose that there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$ such that $\lambda_0(h) = 0$. Then we have $\sigma_h\lambda_0 = \lambda_0$, which implies $\sigma_h R = R$. But this has been excluded. Therefore, $\lambda_0(h) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$.

Suppose that there exist λ_1, $\lambda_2 \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$ such that $\lambda_1(h) > 0$ and $\lambda_2(h) < 0$.The closed line segment $\overline{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ is contained in $\mathrm{ri}(R)$. Since the evaluation in h is continuous there exists $\lambda_0 \in \overline{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ such that $\lambda_0(h) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

We may assume $\lambda(h) > 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$. Let $\mu \in R$. Choose an element $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$. Then $\overline{\lambda\mu} \setminus \{\mu\}$ is contained in $\mathrm{ri}(R)$. Since the evaluation in h is continuous it follows that $\mu(h) \geq 0$. □

In the remaining section Y is a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant convex subcone of the Tits cone X which contains the zero. We call

$$\Upsilon := \left\{ R \in Fa(Y) | \, \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap \overline{C} \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

the cross section lattice of $Fa(Y)$ obtained by the chamber $\overline{C}$. This name will be justified later. For $R \in \Upsilon$ we set

$$\upsilon(R) := \{\, i \in I |\, \sigma_i R = R \}\,.$$

By Lemma 4.1 we may define

$$\begin{aligned}\upsilon_*(R) &:= \{\, i \in I |\, \sigma_i \text{ fixes } R \text{ pointwise } \} = \{\, i \in I |\, R - R \subseteq H_i \}\,,\\ \upsilon^*(R) &:= \upsilon(R) \setminus \upsilon_*(R) = \{\, i \in I |\, L_i \subseteq R - R \}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We have the disjoint union

$$\upsilon(R) = \upsilon_*(R) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R)$$

and $\upsilon_*(R)$ and $\upsilon^*(R)$ are separated. In particular,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)} = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)} = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(I)$ the powerset of I. The map $\upsilon : \Upsilon \to \mathcal{P}(I)$ is called the type map. The maps υ_*, $\upsilon^* : \Upsilon \to \mathcal{P}(I)$ are called the lower and upper type maps.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $R \in \Upsilon$.*

(a) The pointwise stabilizer of R resp. the stabilizer of R as a whole are given by

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \quad \textit{resp.} \quad N_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}.$$

(b) It is $R \cap \overline{C} = R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}$ and

$$R = N_{\mathcal{W}}(R)(R \cap \overline{C}) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)})$$

where $ri(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R)} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, the set $\upsilon_*(R)$ is facial.

Proof: The proof of the theorem is divided in parts (a) - (f). By the definition of the faces contained in Υ, there exists an element $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap \overline{C}$, which we fix for all parts.

(a) Let $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\lambda_1 \in R \cap \tau\overline{C}$. We first show that

$$\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$$

by induction over the length $l(\tau)$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$. This is trivial for $l(\tau) = 0$, i.e., $\tau = 1$. Let $l(\tau) = m > 1$. Write τ in the form $\tau = \sigma_i\sigma$ with $i \in I$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $l(\sigma) = l(\tau) - 1$.

If $i \in \upsilon(R)$ then σ_i fixes R as a whole. We find

$$\sigma_i\lambda_1 \in \sigma_i(R \cap \tau\overline{C}) = \sigma_i R \cap \sigma_i\tau\overline{C} = R \cap \sigma\overline{C}.$$

By induction, $\sigma_i\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$. Hence $\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$.

Now let $i \notin \upsilon(R)$. Then σ_i does not fix R as a whole. Because of $\lambda_0 \in \overline{C}$ we have $\lambda_0(h_i) \geq 0$. From Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\lambda_0(h_i) > 0$ and $\lambda_1(h_i) \geq 0$. It is $l(\tau^{-1}\sigma_i) < l(\tau)$. This implies $\tau^{-1}h_i \in -\sum_{j\in I}\mathbb{R}_0^+ h_j$, compare Theorem 1.10 of [H, N]. We find

$$\lambda_1(h_i) = \underbrace{(\tau^{-1}\lambda_1)}_{\in\overline{C}}(\tau^{-1}h_i) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_1(h_i) = 0$ and

$$R \ni \lambda_1 = \sigma_i\lambda_1 \in \sigma_i\tau\overline{C} = \sigma\overline{C}.$$

By induction we get $\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$.

(b) From the definition of $\upsilon(R)$ it follows that $\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{W}}(R)$. By (a) we find

$$R \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)} R \subseteq R.$$

Hence $R = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$.

(c) Now we use (b) to show $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}$. It remains to show $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}$. Let $\sigma \in N_{\mathcal{W}}(R)$. Then

$$\sigma\lambda_0 \in R = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}(R \cap \overline{C}).$$

Every $\mathcal{W}$-orbit in X of intersects $\overline{C}$ in exactly one point. Therefore, there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}$ such that $\sigma\lambda_0 = \tau\lambda_0$. It follows that

$$\sigma \in \tau \mathcal{W}_J \quad \text{with} \quad J := \{\, i \in I \,|\, \sigma_i \lambda_0 = \lambda_0 \,\}.$$

If $\sigma_i\lambda_0 = \lambda_0$ then $\mathrm{ri}(\sigma_i R) \cap \mathrm{ri}(R) \neq \emptyset$, which implies $\sigma_i R = R$ resp. $i \in \upsilon(R)$. We get $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}$.

(d) The set

$$J_{min} := \bigcap_{J\, facial,\, R \cap F_J \neq \emptyset} J$$

is facial by Proposition 3.24 (a) and

$$R \cap \overline{C} = \bigcup_{J\, facial,\, R \cap F_J \neq \emptyset} R \cap F_J \subseteq R \cap \overline{F}_{J_{min}} \subseteq R \cap \overline{C}$$

shows that $R \cap \overline{C} = R \cap \overline{F}_{J_{min}}$. For every facial set J with $R \cap F_J \neq \emptyset$ we choose an element $\lambda_J \in R \cap F_J$. Then

$$\mu := \sum_{\lambda\, facial,\, R \cap F_J \neq \emptyset} \lambda_J \;\in\; R \cap F_{J_{min}}.$$

Furthermore, $\mu + \lambda_0 \in F_{J_{min}} + \overline{F}_{J_{min}} \subseteq F_{J_{min}}$ and $\mu + \lambda_0 \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$.

(e) We now show $Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C})$. The inclusion '$\subseteq$' holds trivially; we only have to show the inclusion '$\supseteq$'. From (b) it follows that

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)}} \sigma Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C}) \sigma^{-1}.$$

Every $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R)} \setminus \{1\}$ can be written in the form $\sigma = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{m-1}} \sigma_{i_m}$ with $i_1, \cdots, i_{m-1}, i_m \in \upsilon(R)$ and we have

$$\sigma Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C}) \sigma^{-1} = \sigma_1 \left(\cdots \left(\sigma_{m-1} \left(\sigma_m Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C}) \sigma_m^{-1} \right) \sigma_{m-1}^{-1} \right) \cdots \right) \sigma_1^{-1}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show

$$\sigma_i Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C}) \sigma_i^{-1} = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \sigma_i \overline{C}) \supseteq Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C})$$

for all $i \in \upsilon(R)$.

Let $\lambda \in R \cap \sigma_i \overline{C}$. The closed line segment $\overline{\lambda\, \sigma_i \lambda}$, which may also consist of a single point, is contained in R with endpoints $\lambda \in R \cap \sigma_i \overline{C}$, $\sigma_i \lambda \in R \cap \overline{C}$ and midpoint

$$\mu := \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma_i \lambda) \in R \cap (\overline{C} \cap \sigma_i \overline{C}).$$

Because $\sigma_i\lambda$ and μ are fixed by the elements of $Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C})$ also $\lambda = 2\mu - \sigma_i\lambda$ is fixed.

(f) If we combine (d) and (e) we find

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R) = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R \cap \overline{C}) = \mathcal{W}_{J_{min}},$$

from which we get

$$\upsilon_*(R) = \{\, i \in I |\, \sigma_i \in Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R)\} = J_{min}.$$

□

Proposition 4.4 *The linear hull of $R \in \Upsilon$ is given by*

$$R - R = \left(R \cap \overline{C}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{C}\right) = \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right).$$

Proof: Trivially, the inclusions '$\supseteq$' hold. It is sufficient to show

$$R = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}) \subseteq \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right),$$

where we already inserted the description of R given in Theorem 4.3 (b). This inclusion holds if $\left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right)$ is invariant under σ_i for all $i \in \upsilon^*(R)$. This in turn is satisfied if

$$\sigma_i \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) \subseteq \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right)$$

for all $i \in \upsilon^*(R)$.

Let $i \in \upsilon^*(R)$ and $\lambda \in R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}$. Then $\sigma_i\lambda \in R$ and

$$\mu := \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sigma_i\lambda) \in R \cap (\overline{C} \cap \sigma_i\overline{C}) \subseteq R \cap \overline{C} = R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)},$$

where we used again Theorem 4.3 (b). We obtain

$$\sigma_i\lambda = 2\mu - \lambda \in \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right) - \left(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}\right).$$

□

Theorem 4.5 *Let $R \in \Upsilon$. Then*

$$ri(R) \cap \overline{C} = \dot{\bigcup}_{J_f \subseteq \upsilon^*(R),\, (J_f)^0 = J_f} \underbrace{ri(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R) \cup J_f}}_{\neq \emptyset} \tag{65}$$

and for $J_f \subseteq \upsilon^*(R)$, $(J_f)^0 = J_f$ we have

$$mid_{J_f}(ri(R \cap \overline{C})) = mid_{J_f}(ri(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)})) = ri(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R) \cup J_f}. \tag{66}$$

Proof: From Theorem 4.3 (b) we know

$$\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap \overline{C} = \bigcup_{J\, facial,\, J \supseteq \upsilon_*(R)} \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_J$$

and $\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R)} \neq \emptyset$.

(a) We first show: If J is facial and $\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_J \neq \emptyset$ then $J \subseteq \upsilon(R)$. Choose an element $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_J$. Then for every $j \in J$ we have

$$\mathrm{ri}(\sigma_j R) \ni \sigma_j\lambda = \lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R),$$

which implies $\sigma_j R = R$. By the definition of $\upsilon(R)$ we get $j \in \upsilon(R)$.

(b) We prove by contradiction that for $J \subseteq \upsilon^*(R)$ with $J^0 \neq J$ it is $\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R) \cup J} = \emptyset$. Suppose there exists $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R) \cup J}$. Then $\upsilon_*(R) \cup J$ is facial. Since $\upsilon_*(R)$ and $J \subseteq \upsilon^*(R)$ are separated it is $(\upsilon_*(R) \cup J)^\infty = \upsilon_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty$, which is also facial by Theorem 3.37. Recall from Corollary 3.46 that we get a face of the Tits cone X by

$$R(\upsilon_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty) = \mathcal{W}_{(\upsilon_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty)^\perp} \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty}.$$

It is $R \cap R(v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty)$ a face of R and

$$\mathrm{ri}(R) \ni \lambda \in R \cap R(v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty).$$

It follows that

$$R \subseteq R \cap R(v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty) \subseteq R(v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty),$$

from which in turn we obtain

$$\emptyset \neq R \cap F_{v_*(R)} \subseteq R \cap \overline{C} \subseteq R(v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty) \cap \overline{C} = \overline{F}_{v_*(R)^\infty \cup J^\infty}.$$

We get $v_*(R) \supseteq v_*(R) \cup J^\infty \supseteq J^\infty$. It is $J^\infty \neq \emptyset$ because of $J^0 \neq J$. Furthermore, $J^\infty \subseteq v^*(R)$. This is a contradiction, because $v_*(R)$ and $v^*(R)$ are disjoint.

(c) By Theorem 4.3 (b) we have $R \cap \overline{C} = R \cap \overline{F}_{v_*(R)}$ and $\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R)} \neq \emptyset$. From Theorem 6.5 of [Ro] it follows that

$$\mathrm{ri}(R \cap \overline{C}) = \mathrm{ri}(R \cap \overline{F}_{v_*(R)}) = \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R)}.$$

To show the inclusion '$\subseteq$' in (66) let $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R)}$. Since $\mathcal{W}_{J_f} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{v(R)} = N_{\mathcal{W}}(R)$ we find

$$\sigma\lambda \in \sigma\,\mathrm{ri}(R) = \mathrm{ri}(\sigma R) = \mathrm{ri}(R) \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{J_f},$$

which implies $\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$. By the first part of Lemma 3.50 we get

$$\mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R) \cup J_f}.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R) \cup J_f} \neq \emptyset$.

We use the second part of Lemma 3.50 to show the inclusion '$\supseteq$' in (66). Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R) \cap F_{v_*(R) \cup J_f}$. Let $\gamma \in \sum_{i \in J_f} \mathbb{R}^+ \alpha_i$ such that $\gamma(h_i) > 0$ for all $i \in J_f$. Then

$$\lambda + t\gamma \in F_{v_*(R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{mid}_{J_f}(\lambda + t\gamma) = \lambda$$

for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Now $\mathrm{ri}(R)$ is open in $R - R$ and $\lambda \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$. Because of $J_f \subseteq v^*(R) = \{\, i \in I |\, \alpha_i \in R - R\}$ we have $\gamma \in R - R$. It follows that $\lambda + t\gamma \in \mathrm{ri}(R)$ for all sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. □

4.2 Inclusion of faces

The next aim is to describe the inclusion of faces which are $\mathcal{W}$-conjugate to faces from Υ. To do this we first describe the inclusion of faces from Υ.

Proposition 4.6 *Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $R_1 \subseteq R_2$.

(ii) $R_1 \cap \overline{C} \subseteq R_2 \cap \overline{C}$.

(iii) $R_1 \cap \overline{F}_{v_*(R_1)} \subseteq R_2 \cap \overline{F}_{v_*(R_2)}$.

In this case we have

$$v_*(R_1) \supseteq v_*(R_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v^*(R_1) \subseteq v^*(R_2). \tag{67}$$

Remark 4.7 *In general the pair of functions v_*, v^* does not separate the elements of Υ. For some extreme examples see (2) and (3) of Lemma 4.20.*

Proof: (i) implies (ii), which in turn is equivalent to (iii) by Theorem 4.3 (b). From (iii) and Proposition 4.4 we obtain $R_1 - R_1 \subseteq R_2 - R_2$. If we intersect with Y we get (i). From the definitions of v_* and v^* we get immediately (67). □

Now we can show:

Theorem 4.8 *Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ and σ_1, $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{W}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\sigma_1 R_1 \subseteq \sigma_2 R_2$.

(ii) $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ *and* $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1)N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_2)$.

(iii) $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ *and* $\sigma_1 N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1) \cap \sigma_2 N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Furthermore, in (ii) we have

$$N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1)N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_2) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}. \tag{68}$$

Proof: Equation (68) follows from Theorem 4.3 (a) and Proposition 4.6:

$$\begin{aligned} &N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1)N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_2) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_2)} = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_2)} \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_2)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)} = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is trivial. We next show the direction '(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)': By Theorem 4.3 (b) we have

$$\sigma_1 \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)}(R_1 \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}) \subseteq \sigma_2 \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}(R_2 \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R_2)})$$

and $R_1 \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R_1)} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda \in R_1 \cap F_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}$. Every $\mathcal{W}$-orbit in X of intersects $\overline{C}$ in exactly one point. Therefore, there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}$ such that $\sigma_1\lambda = \sigma_2\tau\lambda$. We conclude that $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}$. Hence

$$\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\tau^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}.$$

Decompose $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 = ab$ with $a \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)}$ and $b \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}$. By Theorem 4.3 (a) the inclusion $\sigma_1 R_1 \subseteq \sigma_2 R_2$ is equivalent to

$$R_1 \subseteq \sigma^{-1}\sigma_2 R_2 = abR_2 = aR_2$$

which is equivalent to $R_1 = a^{-1}R_1 \subseteq R_2$.

This chain of equivalences shows also the direction '(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)'. □

From the preceding theorem we obtain immediately:

Corollary 4.9 *Let $R \in Fa(Y)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\sigma R = R$.

(ii) $\sigma R \subseteq R$.

(iii) $\sigma R \supseteq R$.

4.3 The cross section lattice

The following corollary of Theorem 4.8 explains why the cross section lattice Υ has been called a cross section.

Corollary 4.10 *Let $R' \in Fa(Y)$. Then there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and an uniquely determined $R \in \Upsilon$ such that $R' = \sigma R$.*

Proof: Let $\lambda'_0 \in \mathrm{ri}(R')$. There exists an element $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma^{-1}\lambda'_0 \in \overline{C}$. Then

$$R' = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}R') \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma^{-1}R' \in \Upsilon.$$

Let $R' = \sigma_1 R_1 = \sigma_2 R_2$ with R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ and σ_1, $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{W}$. Then from Theorem 4.8 it follows that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ and $R_2 \subseteq R_1$. Hence $R_1 = R_2$. □

Corollary 4.10 can be generalized as follows:

Theorem 4.11 *Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_m$ be a chain of faces of Y. Then there exists uniquely a chain $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m$ of faces from Υ, there exists an element $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $R_i = \sigma S_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.*

Proof: We prove the theorem by induction over m. For $m = 1$ the theorem coincides with Corollary 4.10. Now let $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_m$ be a chain of faces of Y with $m > 1$. By induction there exists a chain $S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m$ of faces from Υ and an element $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $R_i = \sigma S_i$, $i = 2, \ldots, m$. By Corollary 4.10 there exist $S_1 \in \Upsilon$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $R_1 = \tau S_1$. Therefore, the chain of faces of Y is of the form

$$\tau S_1 \subseteq \sigma S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \sigma S_m \quad \text{with} \quad S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m.$$

Proposition 4.6 shows that $\upsilon^*(S_2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \upsilon^*(S_m)$, which implies

$$\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(S_2)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(S_m)}. \tag{69}$$

By Theorem 4.8 we find

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{-1}\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(S_1)}\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(S_2)}.$$

In particular, $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m$. Decompose $\tau^{-1}\sigma = ab$ with $a \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(S_1)}$ and $b \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(S_2)}$. By Theorem 4.3 (a) and (69) we find

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \tau S_1 = \sigma\sigma^{-1}\tau S_1 = \sigma b^{-1}a^{-1}S_1 = \sigma b^{-1}S_1, \\ R_k &= \sigma S_k = \sigma b^{-1} S_k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, \ldots, m. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m \in \Upsilon$ follows from Corollary 4.10. □

The following theorem explains why the cross section lattice Υ has been called a lattice.

Theorem 4.12 *Υ is a complete sublattice of $Fa(Y)$.*

Proof: We first show that the biggest and the smallest face of Y is contained in Υ. By Corollary 4.10 there exists an element $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma^{-1}Y \in \Upsilon$. It is $\sigma^{-1}Y = Y$. By Corollary 4.10 there exists an element $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\tau^{-1}(Y \cap (-Y)) \in \Upsilon$. It is $\tau^{-1}(Y \cap (-Y)) = (\tau^{-1}Y) \cap (-\tau^{-1}Y) = Y \cap (-Y)$.

Now let $(R_l)_{l\in L}$ be a nonempty family of elements in Υ. By Corollary 4.10 there exist $R \in \Upsilon$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{l\in L} R_l = \sigma R. \tag{70}$$

For every $l \in L$ we have $\sigma R \subseteq R_l$, from which we get $R \subseteq R_l$ by Theorem 4.8. This shows that

$$R \subseteq \bigcap_{l\in L} R_l. \tag{71}$$

From (70), (71), and Corollary 4.9 we obtain $\bigcap_{l\in L} R_l = R \in \Upsilon$.

Similarly, it follows that $\vee_{l\in L} R_l \in \Upsilon$. □

Proposition 4.13 *Let R, $S \in \Upsilon$. Then*

$$\upsilon(R) \cap \upsilon(S) = \upsilon(R \vee S) \cap \upsilon(R \cap S).$$

Proof: Let $i \in \upsilon(R) \cap \upsilon(S)$. Then $\sigma_i R = R$ and $\sigma_i S = S$ by definition. It follows that $\sigma_i(R \cap S) = \sigma_i R \cap \sigma_i S = R \cap S$, which shows that $i \in \upsilon(R \cap S)$. Similarly, $i \in \upsilon(R \vee S)$ follows. □

Proposition 4.14 *If the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ has only finitely many faces then the cross section lattice Υ is finite.*

Remark 4.15 *In particular, if $Y \cap \overline{C}$ is finitely generated convex cone then the cross section lattice Υ is finite.*

Proof: $R \in \Upsilon$ is of the form

$$R = \mathcal{W}_{v^*(R)}(R \cap \overline{C})$$

by Theorem 4.3 (b). Here $R \cap \overline{C}$ is a face of $Y \cap \overline{C}$ because R is a face of Y. There exist only finitely many faces of $Y \cap \overline{C}$ and only finitely many standard parabolic subgroups of $\mathcal{W}$. Therefore, Υ is finite. $\square$

4.4 The relation to some structures from the literature

Let $(\mathcal{U}, \leq)$ be a lower semilattice which has a biggest element $1 \in \mathcal{U}$. A map $\lambda : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{P}(I)$ with $\lambda(1) = I$ is called a regular type map if we obtain on the set

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}, \lambda) := \left\{ (u, \sigma \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(u)}) \middle| \, u \in \mathcal{U},\, \sigma \in \mathcal{W} \right\}$$

the structure of a lower semilattice with biggest element $(1, \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(1)})$ by

$$(u_1, \sigma_1 \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(u_1)}) \leq (u_2, \sigma_1 \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(u_2)}) \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad u_1 \leq u_2 \text{ and } \sigma_1 \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(u_1)} \cap \sigma_2 \mathcal{W}_{\lambda(u_2)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Regular type maps are important to describe the structure of regular monoids on groups with BN-pairs in [P 2]. A characterization of regular type maps has been obtained in [Au, P].

Corollary 4.16 *The map $v : \Upsilon \to \mathcal{P}(I)$ is a regular type map such that $\mathcal{F}(\Upsilon, v)$ is isomorphic to the face lattice $Fa(Y)$.*

Proof: Υ is a complete lattice by Theorem 4.12 and Y is its biggest element. Trivially, we have $v(Y) = I$. From the Theorem 4.3 (a), Theorem 4.8, and Corollary 4.10 it follows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\Upsilon, v) & \to & Fa(Y) \\ (R, \sigma \mathcal{W}_{v(R)}) & \mapsto & \sigma R \end{array}$$

is a well defined isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Moreover, $Fa(Y)$ is a lattice. $\square$

The semidirect product $\mathcal{W} \ltimes Fa(Y)$ consists of the set $\mathcal{W} \times Fa(Y)$ equipped with the structure of a monoid by

$$(\sigma, R) \cdot (\tau, S) \;:=\; (\sigma\tau, \tau^{-1} R \cap S).$$

It is easy to see that we get a congruence relation on $\mathcal{W} \ltimes Fa(Y)$ by

$$(\sigma, R) \sim (\sigma', R') \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad R = R' \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-1}\sigma' \in Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R).$$

We denote the quotient monoid by

$$\mathcal{R}M(Y) := (\mathcal{W} \ltimes Fa(Y))/\sim .$$

We denote the congruence class of (σ, R) by $\sigma\varepsilon(R)$. It is easy to check that there are embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{v^*(Y)} & \to & \mathcal{R}M(Y)^\times \\ \sigma & \mapsto & \sigma\varepsilon(Y) \end{array} \quad , \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Fa(Y) & \to & \mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{R}M(Y)) \\ R & \mapsto & 1\varepsilon(R) \end{array} \quad .$$

For simplicty of formulation we restrict in the following Corollary to $v^*(Y) = I$:

Corollary 4.17 *Let $v^*(Y) = I$. Then $(\mathcal{R}M(Y), \{\varepsilon(R)|\, R \in \Upsilon\}, \{\sigma_i|\, i \in I\})$ is a generalized Renner monoid as defined in Definition 1.4 of [G]. Its unit group is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}$ and its semilattice of idempotents is isomorphic to the lower semilattice $Fa(Y)$. Its type, lower type, upper type maps coincide with the maps $v, v_*, v^* : \Upsilon \to \mathcal{P}(I)$.*

Proof: This is easy to check. In particular, (ECS2) of Definition 1.4 of [G] follows from Corollary 4.10 and Theorem 4.12; (ECS4) follows from the theorem on chains, Theorem 4.11, for the length $m = 2$; (ECS6) follows from Proposition 4.6. □

4.5 The lattice operations of the face lattice

The type map of a generalized Renner monoid as defined in Definition 1.4 of [G] is a regular type map as defined in [P 2], [A, B]. In the proof of Theorem 1 of [A, B] as well as in Corollary 1.13 (iii) of [G] there has been given a description of certain lattice meets. Transfered to our situation it is the following: If $R_1,\ R_2 \in \Upsilon$ and $\tau \in {}^{v(R_1)}\mathcal{W}^{v(R_2)}$ then $R_1 \cap \tau R_2$ is the biggest element of

$$\{\, R \in \Upsilon|\, R \subseteq R_1,\ R \subseteq R_2,\ red\,(\tau) \subseteq v(R)\}\,. \tag{72}$$

We slightly improve this formula, replacing $v(R)$ by a smaller set. Our proof is obtained by extracting the parts of the proof of Theorem 3.53 resp. Theorem 4 (b) of [M 3] for the face lattice of the Tits cone which work also for the face lattice of invariant convex subcones of the Tits cone.

Theorem 4.18 *Let $R_1,\ R_2 \in \Upsilon$ and $\tau \in {}^{v(R_1)}\mathcal{W}^{v(R_2)}$. Then we have:*

(a) $R_1 \cap \tau R_2$ is the biggest element of

$$\{\, R \in \Upsilon|\, R \subseteq R_1,\ R \subseteq R_2,\ red\,(\tau) \subseteq v_*(R)\}\,. \tag{73}$$

In particular, it is $R_1 \cap \tau R_2 \in \Upsilon$ with

$$v_*(R_1) \cup v_*(R_2) \cup red\,(\tau) \subseteq v_*(R_1 \cap \tau R_2). \tag{74}$$

(b) $R_1 \vee \tau R_2$ is the smallest element of

$$\{\, R \in \Upsilon|\, R_1 \subseteq R,\ R_2 \subseteq R,\ red\,(\tau) \subseteq v^*(R)\}\,. \tag{75}$$

In particular, it is $R_1 \vee \tau R_2 \in \Upsilon$ with

$$v^*(R_1) \cup v^*(R_2) \cup red\,(\tau) \subseteq v^*(R_1 \vee \tau R_2). \tag{76}$$

Remark 4.19 *The following proof shows that (a) even holds for $\tau \in {}^{v^*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}^{v^*(R_2)}$ and (b) even holds for $\tau \in {}^{v_*(R_1)}\mathcal{W}^{v_*(R_2)}$.*

The set (73) is contained in the set (72) and, trivially, all elements of the set (72) are smaller than or equal to $R_1 \cap \tau R_2$. Therefore, the description of $R_1 \cap \tau R_2$ as biggest element of (73) implies the description as the biggest element of (72).

Proof: (a) and (b) can be proved similarly; we only show (a). Let $R \in \Upsilon$ such that $R \subseteq R_1$, $R \subseteq R_2$ and $red\,(\tau) \subseteq v_*(R)$. From Theorem 4.3 (a) we get $\tau^{-1}R = R \subseteq R_2.$, which shows that $R \subseteq \tau R_2$. We conclude that $R \subseteq R_1 \cap \tau R_2$. Furthermore, we obtain $v_*(R) \supseteq v_*(R_1)$ and $v_*(R) \supseteq v_*(R_2)$ from Proposition 4.6. Hence

$$v_*(R_1) \cup v_*(R_2) \cup red\,(\tau) \subseteq v_*(R).$$

It remains to prove that $R_1 \cap \tau R_2$ is contained in the set (73). By Corollary 4.10 there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and $R \in \Upsilon$ such that

$$R_1 \cap \tau R_2 = \sigma R. \tag{77}$$

In particular, $\sigma R \subseteq R_1$ and $\sigma R \subseteq \tau R_2$. From Theorem 4.8 we find

$$R \subseteq R_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)}, \tag{78}$$

$$R \subseteq R_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-1}\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}. \tag{79}$$

Therefore, there exist a_1, $a_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)}$, $b_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)}$, $b_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)}$ such that

$$\sigma^{-1} = a_1 b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{-1}\tau = a_2 b_2.$$

By eliminating σ we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \ni a_1^{-1} a_2 = b_1 \tau b_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)} \tau \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_2)} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_1)} \tau \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_2)}.$$

Since τ is a minimal double coset representative there exist $c_1 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_1)}$, $c_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_2)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \ni a_1^{-1} a_2 = c_1 \tau c_2 \quad \text{and} \quad l(c_1 \tau c_2) = l(c_1) + l(\tau) + l(c_2),$$

see for example Proposition 2.23 of [A, B]. It follows that $red\,(\tau) \subseteq \upsilon_*(R)$. From (79) and Theorem 4.3 (a) we get $\tau^{-1} R = R \subseteq R_2$, which shows that $R \subseteq \tau R_2$. With (78) and (77) we find

$$R \subseteq R_1 \cap \tau R_2 = \sigma R.$$

From Corollary 4.9 we get $R_1 \cap \tau R_2 = R \in \Upsilon$. □

4.6 The values of υ_* and υ^* on the smallest and the biggest face

$Y \cap (-Y)$ is the smallest face and Y is the biggest face of Y. Since Y is $\mathcal{W}$-invariant we have

$$\upsilon(Y \cap (-Y)) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon(Y) = I.$$

The next two lemmas describe the values of υ_* and υ^* on these faces.

Lemma 4.20 *Let I be connected. Then exactly one of the following three cases holds:*

(1) $\upsilon_*(Y \cap (-Y)) = I$, $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)) = \emptyset$ and $\upsilon_*(Y) = \emptyset$, $\upsilon^*(Y) = I$.

(2) $Y \subseteq (span\{h_i | i \in I\})^\perp$. In this case we have

$$\upsilon_*(R) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all} \quad R \in \Upsilon.$$

(3) I is of finite type and $span\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y$. In this case we have

$$\upsilon_*(R) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R) = I \quad \text{for all} \quad R \in \Upsilon.$$

Remark 4.21 *In case (2) the Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts trivially on the linear space*

$$(span\{h_i | i \in I\})^\perp = \{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{h}^* | \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

and Y may be an arbitrary convex cone in $span\{h_i | i \in I\}^\perp$.

In case (3) the Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ acts trivially on $\boldsymbol{h}^*/span\{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$ and $Y/span\{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$ may be an arbitrary convex cone in $\boldsymbol{h}^*/span\{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$.

Proof: The proof is divided into the parts (a) - (f).

(a) Let $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)) \neq \emptyset$. We show that $\text{span}\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y$: The face $Y \cap (-Y)$ coincides with its linear hull. Since $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)) \neq \emptyset$ there exists an index $i \in I$ such that $\alpha_i \in Y \cap (-Y)$. Let $j \in I$ be adjacent to i. Then also

$$\sigma_j \alpha_i = \alpha_i - \underbrace{a_{ji}}_{\neq 0} \alpha_j \in Y \cap (-Y),$$

because $Y \cap (-Y)$ is $\mathcal{W}$-invariant. Therefore, we obtain $\alpha_j \in Y \cap (-Y)$.

Since I is connected every index in I can be reached by a chain of adjacent indices starting in i. Hence $\alpha_j \in Y \cap (-Y)$ for all $j \in I$.

(b) Let $\operatorname{span}\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y$. Then we find

$$\operatorname{span}\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y \cap (-Y) \subseteq R \quad \text{for all} \quad R \in Fa(Y).$$

For every $i \in I$ we have $\sigma_i \alpha_i = -\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$ because of $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$. This shows that

$$\upsilon_*(R) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R) = \upsilon(R) \setminus \upsilon_*(R) = I \quad \text{for all} \quad R \in \Upsilon.$$

(c) Suppose that $\operatorname{span}\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y$ and I is of affine or of indefinite type. Then we get

$$\operatorname{span}\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subseteq Y \cap (-Y) \subseteq X \cap (-X) = R(I) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* | \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\},$$

which contradicts $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$ for all $i \in I$.

(d) Let $\upsilon_*(Y) \neq \emptyset$. We show that $Y \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* | \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$: Since $\upsilon_*(Y) \neq \emptyset$ there exists an index $i \in I$ such that σ_i fixes $Y - Y$ pointwise. Let $j \in I$ be adjacent to i. Assume that $j \in \upsilon^*(Y)$. Then $\alpha_j \in Y - Y$ and we obtain

$$\alpha_j = \sigma_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j - \underbrace{a_{ij}}_{\neq 0} \alpha_i.$$

It follows that $\alpha_i = 0$, which contradicts $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$. Therefore, it remains $j \in \upsilon_*(Y)$.

Since I is connected every index in I can be reached by a chain of adjacent indices starting in i. Hence $\upsilon_*(Y) = I$, which is equivalent to $Y - Y \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* | \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$.

(e) Let $Y \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* | \lambda(h_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$. Then every face of Y is fixed pointwise by σ_i for all $i \in I$. We get

$$\upsilon_*(R) = I \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon^*(R) = \upsilon(R) \setminus \upsilon_*(R) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all} \quad R \in \Upsilon.$$

(f) The values of the function υ_* are different in the cases (1), (2), (3). Therefore, at most one of these cases can hold.

Case (1) does not hold if and only if $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)) \neq \emptyset$ or $\upsilon_*(Y) \neq \emptyset$. If $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)) \neq \emptyset$ then (a), (b), and (c) show that case (3) holds. If $\upsilon_*(Y) \neq \emptyset$ then (d) and (e) show that case (2) holds. □

For general I the following lemma holds. It can be proved similarly as Lemma 4.20.

Lemma 4.22 *The sets $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y))$ and $\upsilon_*(Y \cap (-Y)) = I \setminus \upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y))$ are unions of connected components of I. Furthermore, $\upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y))^0 = \upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y))$.*

The sets $\upsilon^*(Y)$ and $\upsilon_*(Y) = I \setminus \upsilon^*(Y)$ are unions of connected components of I.

Remark 4.23 *If $Y^\circ \neq \emptyset$ resp. equivalently $Y - Y = \boldsymbol{h}^*$ then $\upsilon_*(Y) = \emptyset$ and $\upsilon^*(Y) = I$.*

4.7 The dimension function

Let $R \in Fa(Y)$. The dimension $\dim(R)$ of R is defined as the dimension of its linear hull $R - R$.

Proposition 4.24 *The dimension function $dim : Fa(Y) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ is a strongly monotone $\mathcal{W}$-invariant function.*

Proof: Trivially, this function is monotone and $\mathcal{W}$-invariant. Let R_1, $R_2 \in Fa(Y)$ such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ and $\dim(R_1) = \dim(R_2)$. From $R_1 - R_1 \subseteq R_2 - R_2$ and $\dim(R_1 - R_1) = \dim(R_2 - R_2)$ it follows that $R_1 - R_1 = R_2 - R_2$. We get

$$R_1 = Y \cap (R_1 - R_1) = Y \cap (R_2 - R_2) = R_2.$$

□

4.8 Intervals

Let $R_1,\ R_2 \in Fa(Y)$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$. The interval of $R_1,\ R_2$ is

$$[R_1, R_2] := \{\, R \in Fa(Y) |\, R_1 \leq R \leq R_2 \}\,.$$

We consider the action of $\mathcal{W}$ on $Fa(Y)$ and define the stabilizers

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) &:= \{\, \sigma \in \mathcal{W} |\, \sigma R = R \text{ for all } R \in [R_1, R_2] \}\,, \\ N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) &:= \{\, \sigma \in \mathcal{W} |\, \sigma[R_1, R_2] = [R_1, R_2] \}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that $Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$ is normal in $N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$ and that $N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])/Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$ acts faithfully on $[R_1, R_2]$.

We may restrict our investigation to intervals with endpoints in Υ: By Theorem 4.11 there exist $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ and uniquely determined $R_1',\ R_2' \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 = \tau R_1'$ and $R_2 = \tau R_2'$. It follows that

$$[R_1, R_2] = \tau[R_1', R_2'].$$

Proposition 4.25 *Let $R_1,\ R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$.*

(a) It is $N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2)}$ and

$$\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2) = \upsilon^*(R_1)\, \dot\cup\, \upsilon_*(R_2)\, \dot\cup\, (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2))$$

is a decomposition into three separated parts.

(b) We have $\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_2)} \subseteq Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$.

Proof: To (a): The statement on $\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2)$ is easy to check. It is $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_1)}$ and $N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(R_2)}$ by Theorem 4.3 (a). We have to show

$$N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) = N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1) \cap N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1).$$

Let $\sigma \in N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$. Then we have $\sigma R_1,\ \sigma R_2 \in [R_1, R_1]$. In particular, $R_1 \subseteq \sigma R_1$ and $\sigma R_2 \subseteq R_2$. It follows from Corollary 4.9 that $\sigma R_1 = R_1$ and $\sigma R_2 = R_2$. Now let $\sigma \in N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1) \cap N_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1)$. Let $R \in [R_1, R_2]$. From $R_1 \subseteq R \subseteq R_2$ we get

$$R_1 = \sigma R_1 \subseteq \sigma R \subseteq \sigma R_2 = R_2,$$

which shows that $\sigma R \in [R_1, R_2]$.

To (b): Let $R \in [R_1, R_2]$. If $i \in \upsilon_*(R_2)$ then σ_i fixes R_2 and also $R \subseteq R_2$ pointwise. If $i \in \upsilon^*(R_1)$ then $\alpha_i \in R_1 - R_1 \subseteq R - R$. It follows that σ_i fixes R as a whole. □

Let $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I$. Then $(\mathbf{h},\ (H_i,\ L_i)_{i \in J})$ is a linear Coxter system and $(\mathbf{h},\ (h_j)_{j \in J},\ (\alpha_j)_{j \in J})$ is a root base of the linear Coxeter system with generalized Cartan matrix $A_J := (a_{ij})_{i,j \in J}$. Its linear Coxeter group is $\mathcal{W}_J$. We denote by $\overline{C}(J)$ its fundamental chamber and by $X(J)$ its Tits cone.

The following Proposition has been given for integral free linear Coxeter systems as Lemma 1.13 of [L]. Part (a) has been given for symmetric linear Coxeter systems as Lemma 4.2 (f) of [D].

Proposition 4.26 *Let J be a nonempty facial set. Then:*

(a) It is $\overline{C}(J) = \overline{C} - \overline{F}_J$. Furthermore, $K \subseteq J$ is facial for $(\mathbf{h},\ (H_i,\ L_i)_{i \in J})$ if and only if it is facial for $(\mathbf{h},\ (H_i,\ L_i)_{i \in I})$. In this case we have

$$\overline{F}_K(J) = \overline{F}_K - \overline{F}_J.$$

(b) It is $X(J) = X - \overline{F}_J$.

Proof: For part (a) the arguments of the proof of Lemma 4.2 (f) of [D] generalize. Use also Proposition 3.27. Part (b) can be proved similarly to the corresponding part of Lemma 1.13 of [L] if the description (13) of the Tits cone given in Theorem 3.8 is used. □

The set $\emptyset$ is facial. To avoid case differentiation in the formulation of theorems we define

$$\overline{C}(\emptyset) := \overline{C} - \overline{C} = \mathbf{h}^* \quad \text{and} \quad X(\emptyset) := X - \overline{C} = \mathbf{h}^*.$$

The following theorem is the main theorem on intervals. It identifies an interval with the face lattice of some invariant convex subcone of a Tits cone, for which the whole theory developed so far can be applied.

Theorem 4.27 *Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$ and set $J := \upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)$.*

(a) The convex cone $R_2 - R_1$ is a $\mathcal{W}_J$-invariant subcone of the Tits cone $X(J)$ such that

$$(R_2 - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(J) \;=\; (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1) \;=\; (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}).$$

(b) A $\mathcal{W}_J$-equivariant inclusion preserving bijective map is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [R_1, R_2] & \to & Fa(R_2 - R_1) \\ R & \mapsto & R - R_1 \end{array}$$

It also preserves the dimension of the faces.

Proof: To (b): The map is well defined: Let $R \in [R_1, R_2]$. Trivially, $R - R_1$ is a convex cone. To show that it is also a face of $R_2 - R_1$ let $\lambda_2 \in R_2 \setminus R$, $\lambda_2' \in R_2$, λ_1, $\lambda_1' \in R_1$ and suppose that there exist $\lambda_2'' \in R$, $\lambda_1'' \in R_1$ such that

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + (\lambda_2' - \lambda_1') = \lambda_2'' - \lambda_1''.$$

Since $R_1 \subseteq R$ we find

$$R \ni \lambda_1 + \lambda_1' + \lambda_2'' = \lambda_2 + \lambda_2' + \lambda_1'' \in R_2 \setminus R,$$

which is not possible.

The map is injective: Let R, $R' \in [R_1, R_2]$ such that $R - R_1 = R' - R_1$. Since R, R' contain R_1 we get $R - R = R' - R'$, from which we find

$$R = R_2 \cap (R - R) = R_2 \cap (R' - R') = R'.$$

The map is surjective: Let $F \in Fa(R_2 - R_1)$. Then $F \cap R_2 \in Fa(R_2)$. The smallest face $R_1 - R_1$ of $R_2 - R_1$ is contained in F. We conclude that

$$R_1 = (R_1 - R_1) \cap R_2 \subseteq F \cap R_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (F \cap R_2) - R_1 \subseteq F.$$

To show $F \subseteq (F \cap R_2) - R_1$ we write $\lambda \in F$ in the form $\lambda = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ with $\lambda_2 \in R_2$, $\lambda_1 \in R_1$. Then

$$R_2 \ni \lambda_2 = \lambda + \lambda_1 \in F + R_1 \subseteq F.$$

The map is $\mathcal{W}_J$-equivariant because of $\mathcal{W}_J \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)} = Z_{\mathcal{W}}(R_1)$. Trivially, it is inclusion and dimension preserving.

To (a): This holds for $J = \emptyset$. Let $J \neq \emptyset$. To prepare the proof we first show some formulas. We get

$$Y - R_1 \;=\; Y + (R_1 - R_1) \;=\; Y + \big((R_1 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C})\big) = Y - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}). \tag{80}$$

from Proposition 4.4. Similarly, with $R_2 \supseteq R_1$, we find

$$R_2 - R_1 \;=\; R_2 - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}), \tag{81}$$

and also

$$(Y \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1) \;=\; (Y \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}), \tag{82}$$

$$(R_2 \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1) \;=\; (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}). \tag{83}$$

We also show that the set in (83) is the intersection of the sets in (81), (82), i.e.,

$$(R_2 - R_1) \cap \big((Y \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1)\big) \;=\; (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}). \tag{84}$$

Trivially, the inclusion '$\supseteq$' holds. To show the reverse inclusion we use the equalities (81), (82). Let $\lambda_2 \in R_2$, $\lambda \in Y \cap \overline{C}$, and λ_1, $\lambda_1' \in R_1 \cap \overline{C}$ such that

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = \lambda - \lambda_1'.$$

Since $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ we get

$$R_2 \ni \lambda_2 + \lambda_1' = \lambda + \lambda_1 \in \overline{C},$$

which shows that

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1') - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_1') \in (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}).$$

We set $L := \upsilon_*(R_1)$, which is a facial set by Theorem 4.3 (b). The convex cone $Y - R_1$ is $\mathcal{W}_L$-invariant and with equation (80), Theorem 4.3 (b), and Proposition 4.26 we find

$$Y - R_1 \;=\; Y - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}) \;=\; Y - (R_1 \cap \overline{F}_L) \;\subseteq\; X - \overline{F}_L = X(L).$$

We now show

$$Y - R_1 = \mathcal{W}_L \left((Y \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1)\right). \tag{85}$$

The inclusion '$\supseteq$' is obvious. For the reverse inclusion it is sufficient to show that Y is contained in the set on the right in (85). Let $\lambda \in Y$. Choose $\mu_0 \in R_1 \cap F_L$, which is possible by Theorem 4.3 (b). Now $\mu_0 \in F_L = \mathrm{ri}(\overline{F}_L)$ by Proposition 3.27. It is easy to check that

$$\overline{C} - \overline{F}_L = \overline{C} + (\overline{F}_L - \overline{F}_L) = \overline{C} + (\overline{F}_L - \mathbb{R}_0^+ \mu_0) = \overline{C} - \mathbb{R}_0^+ \mu_0.$$

From Proposition 4.26 it follows that there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_L$, $\mu \in \overline{C}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that $\lambda = \sigma(\mu - r\mu_0)$. We find

$$\overline{C} \ni \mu = \sigma^{-1}\lambda + r\mu_0 \in Y + R_1 \subseteq Y,$$

which shows that $\mu \in Y \cap \overline{C}$. Therefore, $\lambda = \sigma(\mu - r\mu_0)$ is contained in the set on the right in (85).

By (82), by Theorem 4.3 (b), and Proposition 4.26 we find

$$(Y \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1) = (Y \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}) = (Y \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{F}_L) \subseteq \overline{C} - \overline{F}_L = \overline{C}(L).$$

It is $\overline{C}(L)$ is a fundamental domain for the $\mathcal{W}_L$-action on $\mathbf{h}^*$. From (85) we obtain

$$(Y - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(L) = (Y \cap \overline{C}) + (R_1 - R_1). \tag{86}$$

Since $R_2 \in [R_1, Y]$ it follows from (b) that $R_2 - R_1$ is a face of $Y - R_1$. The linear hull of $R_2 - R_1$ is $R_2 - R_2$. We find $\mathrm{ri}(R_2 - R_1) \supseteq \mathrm{ri}(R_2)$, which has nonempty intersection with $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{C}(L)$, and

$$\upsilon^*(R_2 - R_1) = \{\, i \in L |\, \alpha_i \in R_2 - R_2 \} = L \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = J.$$

From Theorem 4.3 (b) we obtain

$$R_2 - R_1 = \mathcal{W}_J \left((R_2 - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(L)\right).$$

Here

$$(R_2 - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(L) = (R_2 - R_1) \cap (Y - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(L) = (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C})$$

by (86) and (84). Since $\overline{C}(J)$ is a fundamental domain for the $\mathcal{W}_J$-action on $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\overline{C}(J) \supseteq \overline{C}(L)$ we get

$$(R_2 - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(J) = (R_2 - R_1) \cap \overline{C}(L) = (R_2 \cap \overline{C}) - (R_1 \cap \overline{C}).$$

In particular, $R_2 - R_1 \subseteq X(J)$. □

4.9 Chains in intervals

Next we investigate chains of faces of Y, where the dimensions of successive faces differ by one.

Theorem 4.28 *Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that*

$$R_1 \subseteq R_2 \quad \text{and} \quad dim(R_1) = dim(R_2) - 1.$$

Then the following equivalent equations hold:

(a) $\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = \emptyset$.

(b) $\upsilon_*(R_2) = \upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2)$.

(c) $\upsilon^*(R_1) = \upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)$.

(d) $\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2) = \upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_2)$.

Proof: We first show (a) by contradiction: Suppose there exists $i \in \upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)$. Trivially, $R_1 - R_1 \subseteq R_2 - R_2$. Since $i \in \upsilon_*(R_1)$ we have

$$R_1 - R_1 \subseteq \{\, \lambda \in \mathbf{h}^* \,|\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \}\,.$$

Since $i \in \upsilon^*(R_2)$ we have $\alpha_i \in R_2 - R_2$. From $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$ we conclude that

$$R_1 - R_1 \subseteq \{\, \lambda \in R_2 - R_2 \,|\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \} \subsetneqq R_2 - R_2.$$

By the dimension condition of the theorem, the first inclusion is an equality. By intersecting with Y we obtain

$$R_1 = \{\, \lambda \in R_2 \,|\, \lambda(h_i) = 0 \}\,. \tag{87}$$

Now we choose an element $\lambda \in R_2 \setminus R_1$. Since $i \in \upsilon^*(R_2)$ it is $\sigma_i\lambda \in R_2$. Because R_1 is a face of R_2 we find $\lambda + \sigma_i\lambda \in R_2 \setminus R_1$. But $(\lambda + \sigma_i\lambda)(h_i) = 0$ condradicts (87).

To show the equivalence of (a) - (d) we make use of $\upsilon_*(R_1) \supseteq \upsilon_*(R_2)$ and $\upsilon^*(R_1) \subseteq \upsilon^*(R_2)$ without further mentioning. The equivalence of (a) and (b) follows from the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2) = \upsilon_*(R_1) \cap (\upsilon_*(R_2) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_2)) = (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon_*(R_2)) \dot\cup (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \\ &= \upsilon_*(R_2) \dot\cup (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2))\,.\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = (\upsilon_*(R_1) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_1)) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \dot\cup (\upsilon^*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \\ &= (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_1).\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of (a) and (d) follows from the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\upsilon(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_2) = (\upsilon_*(R_1) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_1)) \cap (\upsilon_*(R_2) \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_2)) \\ &= (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon_*(R_2)) \dot\cup (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \dot\cup (\upsilon^*(R_1) \cap \upsilon_*(R_2)) \dot\cup (\upsilon^*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \\ &= \upsilon_*(R_2) \dot\cup (\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)) \dot\cup \emptyset \dot\cup \upsilon^*(R_1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.29 *Let $R \in \Upsilon$ such that $dim(R) = dim(Y) - 1$. Then R is a maximal nontrivial element in Υ and*

$$\upsilon_*(R) = \upsilon_*(Y).$$

Let $S \in \Upsilon$ such that $dim(R) = dim(Y \cap (-Y)) + 1$. Then S is a minimal nontrivial element in Υ and

$$\upsilon^*(S) = \upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y)).$$

Proof: Proposition 4.24 shows that R is a maximal nontrivial element and S a minimal nontrivial element in Υ. From $\upsilon(Y) = I$ and Theorem 4.28 (b) we get $\upsilon_*(R) = \upsilon_*(Y)$. From $\upsilon(Y \cap (-Y)) = I$ and Theorem 4.28 (c) we get $\upsilon^*(S) = \upsilon^*(Y \cap (-Y))$. □

Let $R \in \Upsilon$. We have $\upsilon(R) = \upsilon^*(R) \dot\cup \upsilon_*(R)$. Furthermore, $\upsilon^*(R)$ and $\upsilon_*(R)$ are separated. This may be generalized for certain chains as follows:

Corollary 4.30 *Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_m$ be a chain in Υ such that*

$$dim(R_k) = dim(R_{k-1}) + 1, \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots, m.$$

Then we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \upsilon(R_k) = \upsilon^*(R_1) \dot\cup \upsilon_*(R_m).$$

Furthermore, $\upsilon^*(R_1)$ and $\upsilon_*(R_m)$ are separated.

Proof: We prove the Corollary by induction over m. It holds trivially for $m = 1$. Let $m > 1$. By induction we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \upsilon(R_k) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{m-1} \upsilon(R_k) \cap \upsilon(R_m) &= (\upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_{m-1})) \cap \upsilon(R_m) \\ &= (\upsilon^*(R_1) \cap \upsilon(R_m)) \cup (\upsilon_*(R_{m-1}) \cap \upsilon(R_m)).\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.6 we have $\upsilon^*(R_1) \subseteq \upsilon^*(R_m) \subseteq \upsilon(R_m)$. Therefore, the term in the first bracket is equal to $\upsilon^*(R_1)$. The term in the second bracket is equal to $\upsilon_*(R_m)$ by Theorem 4.28 (b). Furthermore, $\upsilon^*(R_1) \subseteq \upsilon^*(R_m)$ and $\upsilon_*(R_m)$ are disjoint and even separated. □

Proposition 4.31 *Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$. If there exists a chain of faces between R_1 and R_2 such the dimensions of successive faces differ by one then*

$$Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_2)}.$$

Proof: Because of Proposition 4.25 (b) we only have to show the inclusion '$\subseteq$': From Theorem 4.11 and Proposition 4.24 it follows that there exists a chain $R_1 = S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m = R_2$ in Υ such that $\dim(S_{k+1}) = \dim(S_k) + 1$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$. By Corollary 4.30 we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \upsilon(S_k) = \upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_2).$$

If $\sigma \in Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$ then $\sigma S_k = S_k$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$. We find

$$\sigma \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon(S_k)} = \mathcal{W}_{\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \upsilon(S_k)} = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1) \cup \upsilon_*(R_2)}.$$

□

To draw further conclusions we restrict the form of the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.32 *Let the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ be finitely generated. Let R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$. If*

$$(\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2))^0 = \upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2)$$

then we have:

(a) *The interval* $[R_1, R_2]$ *is finite and* $\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)\cap\upsilon^*(R_2)}$ *acts faithfully on* $[R_1, R_2]$.

(b) *The dimensions of successive faces of any maximal chain in* $[R_1, R_2]$ *differ by one. In particular, every maximal chain in* $[R_1, R_2]$ *has the length* $dim(R_2) - dim(R_1) + 1$.

(c) *We have*

$$\upsilon^*(R_1)\,\dot\cup\,\upsilon_*(R_2) \;=\; \bigcap_{\substack{R\in\Upsilon\\ R_1\subseteq R\subseteq R_2}} \upsilon(R)\,.$$

Proof: We set $J := \upsilon_*(R_1)\cap\upsilon^*(R_2)$ and use Theorem 4.27 and its notation for the proof. Since $Y\cap\overline{C}$ is a finitely generated convex cone also its faces $R_2\cap\overline{C}$, $R_1\cap\overline{C}$, and

$$(R_2 - R_1)\cap\overline{C}(J) \;=\; (R_2\cap\overline{C}) - (R_1\cap\overline{C})$$

are finitely generated connvex cones. By the assumption $J^0 = J$ the group $\mathcal{W}_J$ is finite. Therefore,

$$(R_2 - R_1) = \mathcal{W}_J\left((R_2 - R_1)\cap\overline{C}(J)\right)$$

is a finitely generated convex cone, which is also polyhedral by the Weyl-Minkowski theorem. From Corollary 8.5 and 8.6 of [Brø] it follows that $Fa(R_2 - R_1)$ is finite and the dimensions of successive faces of any maximal chain in $Fa(R_2 - R_1)$ differ by one. Because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [R_1, R_2] & \to & Fa(R_2 - R_1) \\ R & \mapsto & R - R_1 \end{array}$$

is an inclusion preserving bijection which also preserves the dimension of the faces we find that $[R_1, R_2]$ is finite and has the property described in (b).

By Proposition 4.31 it is $Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2]) = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R_1)\cup\upsilon_*(R_2)}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R_1)\cap\upsilon^*(R_2)} \cong N_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])/Z_{\mathcal{W}}([R_1, R_2])$$

acts faithfully on $[R_1, R_2]$.

Every $R \in [R_1, R_2]\cap\Upsilon$ is contained in a maximal chain of $[R_1, R_2]\cap\Upsilon$. It follows easily from Theorem 4.11 that a maximal chain of $[R_1, R_2]\cap\Upsilon$ is also a maximal chain in $[R_1, R_2]$. In particular, the dimensions of successive faces differ by one. From Corollary 4.30 we get

$$\bigcap_{\substack{R\in\Upsilon\\ R_1\subseteq R\subseteq R_2}} \upsilon(R) = \bigcap_{max.\,chains\,\Gamma\,in\,[R_1,R_2]\cap\Upsilon} \;\bigcap_{R\,a\,comp.\,of\,\Gamma} \upsilon(R) = \upsilon^*(R_1)\,\dot\cup\,\upsilon_*(R_2).$$

□

We have the decomposition

$$\Upsilon = \dot\bigcup_{\Theta\,special\,facial} \Upsilon(\Theta) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \Upsilon(\Theta) := \{\, R\in\Upsilon \,|\, \upsilon_*(R)^\infty = \Theta\,\}\,. \tag{88}$$

The faces of the Tits cone are exposed faces. For every face of the Tits cone X we get an exposed face of Y by intersection with Y. In particular,

$$Y\cap R(\Theta), \quad \Theta \text{ special facial},$$

are exposed faces of Y.

Theorem 4.33 *Let* Θ *be special facial such that* $\Upsilon(\Theta)\neq\emptyset$. *Then* $Y\cap R(\Theta)$ *is the biggest element of* $\Upsilon(\Theta)$. *Furthermore, we have*

$$\upsilon(Y\cap R(\Theta)) = \Theta\cup\Theta^\perp \quad \textit{and} \quad \upsilon_*(Y\cap R(\Theta))\supseteq\Theta \quad \textit{and} \quad \upsilon^*(Y\cap R(\Theta))\subseteq\Theta^\perp.$$

Proof: Let $R \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$. Since $\upsilon_*(R)$ and $\upsilon^*(R)$ are separated we get

$$\Theta = \upsilon_*(R)^\infty \subseteq \upsilon_*(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \upsilon_*(R)^0 \cup \upsilon^*(R) \subseteq \Theta^\perp. \tag{89}$$

If we use Theorem 4.3 to describe R we find

$$R = \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(R)}(R \cap \overline{F}_{\upsilon_*(R)}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\Theta^\perp}\overline{F}_\Theta = R(\Theta),$$

which shows that $R \subseteq Y \cap R(\Theta)$.

By Corollary 4.10 there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and $S \in \Upsilon$ such that $Y \cap R(\Theta) = \sigma S$. From Theorem 4.8 we find $\sigma = ab$ with $a \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)}$ and $b \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon^*(S)}$. Since $b \in N_\mathcal{W}(S)$ we get

$$a^{-1}(Y \cap R(\Theta)) = bS = S.$$

Now $a \in \mathcal{W}_{\upsilon_*(R)} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp} = N_\mathcal{W}(R(\Theta))$ by (89). We find

$$Y \cap R(\Theta) = Y \cap a^{-1}R(\Theta) = a^{-1}(Y \cap R(\Theta)) = S \in \Upsilon.$$

Because of $Z_\mathcal{W}(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_\Theta$ and $R \subseteq Y \cap R(\Theta)$ we get

$$\upsilon_*(R)^\infty = \Theta \subseteq \upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta)) \subseteq \upsilon_*(R).$$

It follows that

$$\upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta))^\infty = \upsilon_*(R)^\infty = \Theta. \tag{90}$$

In particular, this shows that $Y \cap R(\Theta) \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$. Since $\upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta))$ and $\upsilon^*(Y \cap R(\Theta))$ are separated we also find

$$\upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta))^0 \cup \upsilon^*(Y \cap R(\Theta)) \subseteq \Theta^\perp. \tag{91}$$

It remains to show $\upsilon(Y \cap R(\Theta)) = \Theta \cup \Theta^\perp$. Because of $N_\mathcal{W}(R(\Theta)) = \mathcal{W}_{\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp}$ and (90), (91) we get

$$\Theta \cup \Theta^\perp \subseteq \upsilon(Y \cap R(\Theta)) = \upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta))^\infty \cup \upsilon_*(Y \cap R(\Theta))^0 \cup \upsilon^*(Y \cap R(\Theta)) \subseteq \Theta \cup \Theta^\perp.$$

□

The decomposition (88) of Υ induces a decomposition

$$Fa(Y) = \dot{\bigcup_{\Theta \; special \; facial}} Fa(Y)_\Theta$$

where some of the parts $Fa(Y)_\Theta := \mathcal{W}\,\Upsilon(\Theta)$, Θ special facial, may be empty.

Corollary 4.34 *Let the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ be finitely generated and Θ be special facial.*

(a) If R_1, $R_2 \in Fa(Y)_\Theta$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$ then every maximal chain between R_1 and R_2 is contained in $Fa(Y)_\Theta$. The dimensions of its successive faces differ by one. In particular, it has the length $dim(R_2) - dim(R_1) + 1$.

(b) If R_1, $R_2 \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$ then

$$\upsilon^*(R_1)\,\dot{\cup}\,\upsilon_*(R_2) = \bigcap_{\substack{R \in \Upsilon(\Theta) \\ R_1 \subseteq R \subseteq R_2}} \upsilon(R)\,.$$

Proof: Let $R_1,\, R_2 \in Fa(Y)_\Theta$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$. By Theorem 4.11 there exist $\tau \in \mathcal{W}$ and uniquely determined $R_1',\, R_2' \in \Upsilon,\, R_1' \subseteq R_2'$, such that $R_1 = \tau R_1'$ and $R_2 = \tau R_2'$. It follows that

$$[R_1, R_2] = \tau[R_1', R_2'] \quad \text{with} \quad R_1',\, R_2' \in \Upsilon(\Theta).$$

We now show

$$[R_1', R_2'] \subseteq Fa(Y)_\Theta.$$

Let $R'' \in [R_1', R_2']$. From Theorem 4.11 it follows that there exist $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ and a uniquely determined $R'' \in \Upsilon$ such that $R' = \sigma R''$ and

$$R_1' \subseteq R'' \subseteq R_2'.$$

We find $\upsilon_*(R_1') \supseteq \upsilon_*(R'') \supseteq \upsilon_*(R_2')$, from which we get

$$\Theta = \upsilon_*(R_1')^\infty \supseteq \upsilon_*(R'')^\infty \supseteq \upsilon_*(R_2')^\infty = \Theta.$$

We conclude that $R'' \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$ and $R' = \sigma R'' \in Fa(Y)_\Theta$.

Therefore, it is sufficient to show the corollary for $R_1,\, R_2 \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$ such that $R_1 \leq R_2$. By definition, $\upsilon_*(R_1)^\infty = \upsilon_*(R_2)^\infty = \Theta$. Because of $\Theta \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) \subseteq \upsilon_*(R_2) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = \emptyset$ we get

$$\upsilon_*(R_1) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = \big(\Theta \cup \upsilon_*(R_1)^0\big) \cap \upsilon^*(R_2) = \upsilon_*(R_1)^0 \cap \upsilon^*(R_2),$$

which is either empty or has only components of finite type. Now the Corollary follows from Theorem 4.32. □

Corollary 4.35 *Let the convex cone $Y \cap \overline{C}$ be finitely generated. Let Θ be special facial such that $\Upsilon(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$. Then every face in $\Upsilon(\Theta)$ is an exposed face of the biggest face $Y \cap R(\Theta) \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$. In particular, the faces in $Fa(Y)_{\upsilon_*(Y)^\infty}$ are exposed faces of Y.*

Proof: Let $R \in \Upsilon(\Theta)$. Then R is a face of $Y \cap R(\Theta)$. As we have seen in the proof of Corollary 4.34 we have

$$(\upsilon_*(R) \cap \upsilon^*(Y \cap R(\Theta)))^0 = \upsilon_*(R) \cap \upsilon^*(Y \cap R(\Theta)).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.32 we find that $(Y \cap R(\Theta)) - R$ is a finitely generated convex cone. Its faces are exposed. In particular, $R - R$ is an exposed face of $(Y \cap R(\Theta)) - R$. It follows that R is an exposed face of $Y \cap R(\Theta)$. □

4.10 The dual imaginary cone as subcone of an invariant convex subcone

The following proposition has been given for free integral linear Coxeter systems as Proposition 2.4 in [L]. For general linear Coxeter systems it can be proved similarly.

Proposition 4.36 *Let $\lambda \in \overline{C} \cap X^\circ$. Then*

$$co(\mathcal{W}\lambda) = (\lambda - \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_i) \cap \overline{C}.$$

The dual imaginary cone $Z^\vee \subseteq \mathbf{h}^*$ is obtained by

$$Z^\vee := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}} \sigma K^\vee = (-X) \cap \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}} \sigma(\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_i)$$

where $K^\vee := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_i \cap (-\overline{C})$.

Corollary 4.37 *Let $v^*(Y) = I$ and let $Y \cap \overline{C}$ be closed. Then $-Z^\vee \subseteq Y$.*

Proof: Since $-Z^\vee = -\mathcal{W}K^\vee$ and Y is $\mathcal{W}$-invariant it is sufficient to show $-K^\vee \subseteq Y$. Let $\beta \in K^\vee = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_0^+ \alpha_i \cap (-\overline{C})$. Since $v^*(Y) = I$ we have $v_*(Y) = \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.3 (b) there exists an element $\lambda \in Y \cap C$. Now Y is a convex cone. By Proposition 4.36 we find

$$\overline{C} \ni r\lambda - \beta \in \mathrm{co}(\mathcal{W}(r\lambda)) \subseteq Y \quad \text{for all} \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Since $Y \cap \overline{C}$ is closed the limit $r \to 0$ shows that $-\beta \in Y \cap \overline{C}$. □

References

[A, B] P. Abramenko, K. S. Brown, Buildings, Grad. Texts in Math. **248**, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[Au, P] M. K. Augustine, M. S. Putcha, Maps into Dynkin diagrams arising from regular monoids, J. Austr. Math. Soc (Series A) **47** (1989), 313-321.

[Brø] A. Brøndsted, An introduction to Convex Polytopes, Grad. Texts in Math. **90**, Springer Verlag, 1983.

[Br, Ku] M. Brion, S. Kumar, Frobenius Splitting Methods in Geometry and Representation Theory, Progress in Math. **231**, Birkhäuser, 2005.

[C, R] P.-E. Caprace, B. Rémy, Groups with a root group datum, Innov. Incidence Geom. **9** (2009), 5-77.

[D] M. Dyer, Imaginary cone and reflection subgroups of Coxeter groups, arXiv:1210.5206.

[F] X. Fu, Nonorthogonal geometric realizations of Coxeter groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **97** (2014), 180-211.

[G] E. Godelle, Generic Hecke algebra for Renner monoids, J. Algebra, **343** (2011), 224-247.

[H, H, L] J. Hilgert, K. H. Hofmann, J. D. Lawson, Lie Groups Convex Cones and Semigroups, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1989.

[H, N] G. Hofmann, K.-H. Neeb, On convex hulls of orbits of Coxeter groups and Weyl groups, arXiv: 1204.2095, to appear in Münster Journal of Mathematics.

[K] V. G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[Kr] D. Krammer, The conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups, Groups Geom. Dyn. **3** (2009), 71-171.

[L] E. J. Looijenga, Invariant theory for generalized root systems, Invent. Math. **61** (1980), 1-32.

[M 1] C. Mokler, Die Monoidvervollständigung einer Kac-Moody-Gruppe, Dissertation, Hamburg 1996.

[M 2] C. Mokler, An analogue of a reductive algebraic monoid whose unit group is a Kac-Moody group, Memoirs of the AMS **823** (2005).

[M 3] C. Mokler, Actions of the face monoid associated to a Kac-Moody group on its building, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 2384-2421.

[Mo, Pi] R. V. Moody, A. Pianzola, Lie Algebras With Triangular Decompositions, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, 1995.

[N] K.-H. Neeb, Toric varieties and algebraic monoids, Seminar Sophus Lie **2** (1992), 159-187.

[P 1] M. S. Putcha, A Semigroup Approach to Linear Algebraic groups, J. Algebra **80** (1983), 164-185.

[P 2] M. S. Putcha, Monoids on groups with BN-pairs, J. Algebra **120** (1989), 139-169.

[P, R] M. S. Putcha, L. E. Renner, The system of idempotents and the lattice of classes of reductive algebraic monoids, J. Algebra **166** (1988), 385-399.

[R 1] L. E. Renner, Classification of semisimple algebraic monoids, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **292** (1985), 193 - 223.

[R 2] L. E. Renner, Analogue of the Bruhat decomposition for algebraic monoids, J. Algebra **101** (1986), 303 -338.

[R 3] L. E. Renner, Linear Algebraic Monoids, Encyclopaedia of Mathematicla Sciences, Vol 134, Springer Verlag, 2005.

[Ro] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princton Univ. Press, 1970.

[S] P. Slodowy, Singularitäten, Kac-Moody-Liealgebren und assoziierte Gruppen, Habilitationsschrift, Bonn 1984.

[V 1] E. B. Vinberg, Discrete linear groups generated by reflections, Math. USSR Izvestija **5** (1971), 1083-1119.

[V 2] E. B. Vinberg, On reductive algebraic semigroups, in: Lie groups and Lie algebras: E. B. Dynkin's Seminar, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **169** (1995), 145-182.